DEPARTMENT OF ENERGY

Federal Energy Regulatory Commission

[Docket No. CP00-426-000]

ONEOK Midstream Pipeline Inc.; Notice of Application

August 9, 2000.

Take notice that on August 1, 2000, ONEOK Midstream Pipeline, Inc. (ONEOK Midstream), 100 West Fifth Street, Tulsa, P.O. Box 871, Oklahoma 74102, filed an application in Docket No. CP00–426–000, pursuant to section 7(b) of the Natural Gas Act (NGA) for permission and approval to abandon all of its jurisdictional facilities and services, all as more fully set forth in the application which is on file with the Commission and open to public inspection. This filing may be viewed on the web at http://www.ferc/us/online/rims.htm (call 202–208–2222).

ONEOK Midstream proposes to abandon its entire pipeline system which consists of 27-miles of 16-inch pipeline in Garvin county, Oklahoma. It is stated that the facilities extend downstream of the Rodman Plant to interconnects with Williams Gas Pipelines Central, Inc. (Williams), ONEOK Gas Transportation, L.L.C. (OGT), Transok, Inc. (Transok), and Reliant Interstate Gas Transmission Company. It is indicated that OGT and Transok are intrastate pipelines. ONEOK Midstream submits that its facilities qualify as a gathering system exempt from Commission jurisdiction under section 1(b) of the Natural Gas Act. ONEOK Midstream points out that the Commission previously determined in an order issued in Docket No. CP92-351-000 that the Rodman Plant and the facilities upstream of the plant were gathering facilities, and cites several Commission cases in which the Commission determined that facilities downstream of a processing plant were likewise gathering facilities.

Any person desiring to be heard or to make protest with reference to said application should on or before August 30, 2000, file with the Federal Energy Regulatory Commission, 888 First Street, NE., Washington, DC 20426, a motion to intervene or a protest in accordance with the requirements of the Commission's Rules of Practice and Procedure (18 CFR 385.211 or 385.214) and the regulations under the Natural Gas Act (18 CFR 157.10). All protests filed with the Commission will be considered by it in determining the appropriate action to be taken but will not serve to make the protestants parties to the proceeding. The Commission's

rules require that protestors provide copies of their protests to the party or parties directly involved. Any person wishing to become a party to a proceeding or to participate as a party in any hearing therein must file a motion to intervene in accordance with the Commission's Rules.

Take further notice that, pursuant to the authority contained in and subject to jurisdiction conferred upon the Commission by sections 7 and 15 of the NGA and the Commission's Rules of Practice and Procedure, a hearing will be held without further notice before the Commission or its designee on this application if no motion to intervene is filed within the time required herein, if the Commission on its own review of the matter finds that a grant of the certificate is required by the public convenience and necessity. If a motion for leave to intervene is timely filed, or if the Commission on its own motion believes that a formal hearing is required, further notice of such hearing will be duly given.

Under the procedure herein provided for, unless otherwise advised, it will be unnecessary for ONEOK Midstream to appear or be represented at the hearing.

David P. Boergers,

Secretary.

[FR Doc. 00–20634 Filed 8–14–00; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 6717–01–M

DEPARTMENT OF ENERGY

Federal Energy Regulatory Commission

[Docket No. RP96-200-057]

Reliant Energy Gas Transmission Company; Notice of Proposed Changes in FERC Gas Tariff

August 9, 2000.

Take notice that on August 3, 2000, Reliant Energy Gas Transmission Company (REGT) tendered for filing as part of its FERC Gas Tariff, Fifth Revised Volume No. 1, the following tariff sheet to be effective August 1, 2000:

Substitute Sixth Revised Sheet No. 8F

REGT states that the purpose of this filing is to replace Sixth Revised Sheet No. 8F submitted in this docket on July 31, 2000 and reflects additional Delivery Points that were inadvertently omitted in REGT's July 31 filing. REGT also states that there has not been any gas delivered to any of the additional Delivery Points prior to this filing.

Any person desiring to protest this filing should file a protest with the Federal Energy Regulatory Commission,

888 First Street, N.E., Washington, D.C. 20426, in accordance with section 385.211 of the Commission's Rules and Regulations. All such protests must be filed as provided in section 154.210 of the Commission's Regulations. Protests will be considered by the Commission in determining the appropriate action to be taken, but will not serve to make protestants parties to the proceedings. Copies of this filing are on file with the Commission and are available for public inspection in the Public Reference Room. This filing may be viewed on the web at http://www.ferc.fed.us/online/ rims.htm (call 202-208-2222 for assistance).

David P. Boergers,

Secretary.

[FR Doc. 00–20629 Filed 8–14–00; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 6717–01–M

DEPARTMENT OF ENERGY

Federal Energy Regulatory Commission

[Docket No. RP98-52-038]

Williams Gas Pipeline-Central, Inc.; Notice of Superseding Offer of Settlement

August 9, 2000.

Take notice that on August 7, 2000, the Missouri Public Services Commission, Williams Gas Pipelines-Central, Inc., formerly Williams Natural Gas Company, Missouri Gas Energy, a division of Southern Union Company, and forth-three working interest owners (collectively called Sponsoring Parties) filed a Superseding Offer of Settlement (Superseding Offer) under Rule 602 of the Commission's Rules of Practice and Procedure in the captioned docket. Sponsoring Parties state the purpose of the Superseding Offer is to facilitate settlement and mitigate administrative burdens resulting from the Commission's implementation of the decision of the United States Court of Appeals for the District of Columbia Circuit in Public Service Company of Colorado.¹ The Sponsoring Parties further state the Superseding Offer replaces and supersedes the Offer of Settlement filed in Docket No. RP98-52-000 on October 1, 1999. A copy of the Superseding Offer is on file with the Commission and is available for public inspection in the Public Reference Room. The Offer of Settlement may be viewed on the web at http://

¹ Public Service Co. of Colorado, et al., 80 FERC ¶ 61,264 (1997), reh'g denied, 82 FERC ¶ 61,058 (1998). Appeal pending. Anadarko Petroleum Corporation v. FERC, Case No. 98–1227 et al.