

The Issues and Decision Memorandum is a public document and is on file electronically via the Enforcement and Compliance Antidumping and Countervailing Duty Centralized Electronic Services System (ACCESS). ACCESS is available to registered users at <http://access.trade.gov>. A list of topics discussed in the Issues and Decision Memorandum is included as an Appendix to this notice. In addition, a complete version of the Issues and Decision Memorandum can be accessed directly at <http://enforcement.trade.gov/frn/index.html>.

Final Results of Review

Pursuant to sections 751(c)(1) and 752(c)(1) and (3) of the Act, Commerce determines that revocation of the AD order on potassium permanganate from China would likely lead to continuation or recurrence of dumping, and that the magnitude of margins likely to prevail is up to 128.94 percent.⁸

Administrative Protective Order

This notice serves as the only reminder to parties subject to administrative protective order (APO) of their responsibility concerning the destruction of proprietary information disclosed under APO in accordance with 19 CFR 351.305(a). Timely notification of the return or destruction of APO materials, or conversion to judicial protective orders is hereby requested. Failure to comply with the regulations and terms of an APO is a violation which is subject to sanction.

Notifications to Interested Parties

We are issuing and publishing these final results in accordance with sections 751(c), 752(c), and 777(i)(1) of the Act, and 19 CFR 351.221(c)(5)(ii).

Dated: June 1, 2021.

Christian Marsh,

Acting Assistant Secretary for Enforcement and Compliance.

Appendix

List of Topics Discussed in the Issues and Decision Memorandum

- I. Summary
- II. Background
- III. Scope of the Order
- IV. History of the Order
- V. Legal Framework
- VI. Discussion of the Issues
 1. Likelihood of Continuation or Recurrence of Dumping
 2. Magnitude of the Margin of Dumping Likely to Prevail
- VII. Final Results of Sunset Review

Republic of China," dated concurrently with this notice (Issues and Decisions Memorandum).

⁸ *Id.*

VIII. Recommendation

[FR Doc. 2021-11852 Filed 6-4-21; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 3510-DS-P

DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

International Trade Administration

[A-583-856]

Certain Corrosion-Resistant Steel Products From Taiwan: Affirmative Final Determination of Circumvention Involving Malaysia

AGENCY: Enforcement and Compliance, International Trade Administration, Department of Commerce.

SUMMARY: The Department of Commerce (Commerce) determines that imports of certain corrosion-resistant steel products (CORE), completed in Malaysia, using carbon hot-rolled steel (HRS) and/or cold-rolled steel (CRS) flat products (substrate) manufactured in Taiwan, are circumventing the antidumping duty (AD) order on CORE from Taiwan.

DATES: Applicable June 7, 2021.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Joy Zhang, AD/CVD Operations, Office III, Enforcement and Compliance, International Trade Administration, U.S. Department of Commerce, 1401 Constitution Avenue NW, Washington, DC 20230; telephone: (202) 482-1168.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

Background

On February 18, 2020, Commerce published the *Preliminary Determination*¹ of circumvention of the *Taiwan CORE Order*.² A summary of events that occurred since Commerce published the *Preliminary Determination*, as well as a full discussion of the issues raised by parties for this final determination, may be found in the Issues and Decision Memorandum.³ The Issues and Decision Memorandum is a public document and is on file electronically via Enforcement

and Compliance's Antidumping and Countervailing Duty Centralized Electronic Service System (ACCESS). ACCESS is available to registered users at <https://access.trade.gov>. In addition, a complete version of the Issues and Decision Memorandum can be accessed directly at <http://enforcement.trade.gov/frn/>.

Scope of the Order

The products covered by this order are certain flat-rolled steel products, either clad, plated, or coated with corrosion-resistant metals such as zinc, aluminum, or zinc-, aluminum-, nickel- or iron-based alloys, whether or not corrugated or painted, varnished, laminated, or coated with plastics or other non-metallic substances in addition to the metallic coating. For a complete description of the scope of the order, see the Issues and Decision Memorandum.

Scope of the Anti-Circumvention Inquiry

This anti-circumvention inquiry covers CORE completed in Malaysia from HRS and/or CRS substrate input manufactured in Taiwan and subsequently exported to the United States (merchandise subject to this inquiry). This final ruling applies to all shipments of merchandise subject to this inquiry entered on or after the date of the initiation of this inquiry.⁴ Importers and exporters of CORE produced in Malaysia using: (1) HRS manufactured in Malaysia or other third countries, (2) CRS manufactured in Malaysia using HRS produced in Malaysia or other third countries, or (3) CRS manufactured in other third countries, must certify that the HRS and/or CRS processed into CORE in Malaysia did not originate in Taiwan, as provided for in the certifications attached to this **Federal Register** notice. Otherwise, their merchandise will be subject to AD requirements.

Methodology

Commerce is conducting this anti-circumvention inquiry in accordance with section 781(b) of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended (the Act). Because certain interested parties did not cooperate to the best of their abilities in responding to Commerce's requests for

¹ See *Certain Corrosion-Resistant Steel Products from Taiwan: Affirmative Preliminary Determination of Circumvention Involving Malaysia*, 85 FR 8815 (February 18, 2020) (*Preliminary Determination*), and accompanying Preliminary Decision Memorandum.

² See *Certain Corrosion-Resistant Steel Products from India, Italy, the People's Republic of China, the Republic of Korea and Taiwan: Amended Final Affirmative Antidumping Determination for India and Taiwan, and Antidumping Duty Orders*, 81 FR 48390 (July 25, 2016) (*Taiwan CORE Order*).

³ See Memorandum, "Issues and Decision Memorandum for Anti-Circumvention Inquiry involving Taiwan on the Antidumping Duty Order on Certain Corrosion-Resistant Steel Products from Taiwan," dated concurrently with, and hereby adopted by, this notice (Issues and Decision Memorandum).

⁴ See *Corrosion-Resistant Steel Products from the People's Republic of China: Initiation of Anti-Circumvention Inquiries on the Antidumping Duty and Countervailing Duty Orders*, 84 FR 43585 (August 21, 2019) (*Initiation Notice*), and accompanying Memorandum, "Certain Corrosion-Resistant Steel Products from the People's Republic of China: Initiation of Anti-Circumvention Inquiries on the Antidumping Duty and Countervailing Duty Orders," dated August 12, 2019.

information, we continue to base parts of our final determination on the facts available, with adverse inferences, pursuant to sections 776(a) and (b) of the Act. The Preliminary Decision Memorandum contains a full description of the methodology.⁵ We incorporate by reference this description of the methodology for our final determination.

Analysis of Comments Received

All issues raised in the case and rebuttal briefs by parties in this inquiry are addressed in the Issues and Decision Memorandum. A list of the issues raised is attached to this notice as Appendix I.

Based on our analysis of the comments received from interested parties, we made no revisions to the *Preliminary Determination* with regard to our analysis under the anti-circumvention factors of section 781(b) of the Act.⁶ We have made certain changes to the language in the certifications to provide guidance on who should complete the exporter certification, and to allow importers and exporters to clearly identify the parties involved in the sale(s) involving the export to the United States.

Final Affirmative Determination of Circumvention

We determine that exports to the United States of CORE completed in Malaysia from HRS and/or CRS substrate manufactured in Taiwan are circumventing the *Taiwan CORE Order*. We therefore find it appropriate to determine that merchandise subject to this inquiry should be considered to be within the scope of the *Taiwan CORE Order*, and to instruct U.S. Customs and Border Protection (CBP) to continue to suspend liquidation of any entries of CORE completed in Malaysia using HRS and/or CRS substrate manufactured in Taiwan.

⁵ See Memorandum, "Preliminary Decision Memorandum for the Anti-Circumvention Inquiries of the Antidumping Duty and Countervailing Duty Orders on Certain Corrosion-Resistant Steel Products from Taiwan," dated February 7, 2020.

⁶ We made certain adjustments to our calculations with respect to certain prongs of the analysis for CSC Steel Sdn. Bhd. (CSCM), a cooperative mandatory respondent in this inquiry, based on revisions provided in a post-preliminary response from the respondent. See Memorandum, "Anti-Circumvention Inquiry of the Antidumping Duty Order of Certain Corrosion-Resistant Steel Products from Taiwan: China Steel Sdn. Bhd.—Final Analysis Memorandum," dated concurrently with this memorandum (CSCM Final Analysis Memorandum). However, we note that as the updated information does not result in material changes to the calculations from the *Preliminary Determination*, the conclusions of the analysis from the *Preliminary Determination* remain unchanged. See Issues and Decision Memorandum.

Continuation of Suspension of Liquidation

As stated above, Commerce has made an affirmative determination of circumvention of the *Taiwan CORE Order* by exports to the United States of CORE completed in Malaysia using Taiwanese-origin HRS and/or CRS substrate. In accordance with 19 CFR 351.225(1)(3), Commerce will direct CBP to continue to suspend liquidation and to require a cash deposit of estimated duties on unliquidated entries of CORE completed in Malaysia using Taiwanese-origin HRS and/or CRS substrate that were entered, or withdrawn from warehouse, for consumption on or after August 12, 2019, the date of initiation of this anti-circumvention inquiry. The suspension of liquidation and cash deposit instructions will remain in effect until further notice.

CORE produced in Malaysia from HRS or CRS substrate that is not of Taiwanese-origin is not subject to this inquiry. However, imports of such merchandise are subject to certification requirements, and cash deposits may be required if the certification requirements are not satisfied. Additionally, CORE completed in Malaysia from HRS and/or CRS from China also has been found to be circumventing the AD/CVD orders on CORE from China and such merchandise is subject to similar certification requirements.⁷ Accordingly, if an importer imports CORE from Malaysia and claims that the CORE was not produced from HRS and/or CRS substrate manufactured in Taiwan, in order not to be subject to AD and/or CVD requirements, the importer and exporter are required to meet the certification and documentation requirements described in Appendices II, III and IV, in order for cash deposits pursuant to the *Taiwan CORE Order* not to be required. The party that made the sale to the United States should fill out the exporter certification.

In the situation where no certification is provided for an entry, and AD/CVD orders on CORE from China or the AD order on CORE from Taiwan potentially apply to that entry, Commerce intends to instruct CBP to suspend liquidation of the entry and collect cash deposits at the rates applicable to the *China CORE Orders* (i.e., the AD rate established for the China-wide entity (199.43 percent) and the CVD rate established for all-

other Chinese producers/exporters (39.05 percent)).⁸ This is to prevent evasion, given that the rates applicable to the AD/CVD orders on CORE from China are higher than the all-others rate established by the AD order on CORE from Taiwan. In the situation where a certification is provided for the AD/CVD orders on CORE from China (stating that the merchandise was not produced from HRS and/or CRS from China), but no other certification is provided, then Commerce intends to instruct CBP to suspend the entry and collect cash deposits at the AD all-others rate applicable under the AD order on CORE from Taiwan (i.e., 3.66 percent).

Further, for this final determination, we continue to determine that the following non-responsive companies are not eligible for the certification process: Hsin Kuang Steel Co Ltd; FIW Steel Sdn Bhd; NS BlueScope Malaysia Sdn Bhd; and YKGI/Yung Kong Galv. Ind/Starshine Holdings Sdn Bhd/ASTEEL Sdn Bhd (collectively, non-responsive companies).⁹ Accordingly, importers of CORE from Malaysia produced and/or exported by these ineligible companies are similarly ineligible for the certification process with regard to their imports of CORE produced by or sourced from these companies. Additionally, exporters are not eligible to certify shipments of merchandise produced by the above-listed companies. Accordingly, CBP shall suspend the entry and collect cash deposits for entries of merchandise produced and/or exported by non-responsive companies at the AD rate established for the China-wide entity (199.43 percent) and the CVD rate established for all other Chinese producers and/or exporters (39.05 percent) pursuant to the *China CORE Orders*.

Notification Regarding Administrative Protective Order

This notice will serve as the only reminder to all parties subject to administrative protective order (APO) of their responsibility concerning the destruction of proprietary information disclosed under APO in accordance

⁸ See *Certain Corrosion-Resistant Steel Flat Products from India, Italy, the People's Republic of China, the Republic of Korea, and Taiwan: Amended Final Affirmative Antidumping Duty Determination for India and Taiwan, and Antidumping Duty Orders*, 81 FR 48390 (July 25, 2016); see also *Certain Corrosion-Resistant Steel Products from India, Italy, Republic of Korea, and the People's Republic of China: Countervailing Duty Order*, 81 FR 48387 (July 25, 2016) (collectively, *China CORE Orders*).

⁹ See *Preliminary Determination*, 85 FR at 8816, and accompanying Preliminary Decision Memorandum at 8 and 22–23.

with 19 CFR 351.305(a)(3). Timely written notification of return/destruction of APO materials or conversion to judicial protective order is hereby requested. Failure to comply with the regulations and the terms of an APO is a sanctionable violation.

Notification to Interested Parties

This determination is issued and published in accordance with section 781(b) of the Act and 19 CFR 351.225(f).

Dated: June 1, 2021.

Christian Marsh,

Acting Assistant Secretary for Enforcement and Compliance.

Appendix I—List of Topics Discussed in the Issues and Decision Memorandum

- I. Summary
- II. Background
- III. Scope of the Order
- IV. Scope of the Anti-Circumvention Inquiry
- V. Verification
- VI. Use of Facts Available With an Adverse Inference
- VII. Changes Since the Preliminary Determination
- VIII. Statutory Framework
- IX. Statutory Analysis
- X. Discussion of the Issues
 - Comment: Whether CSCM's Manufacturing Operations in Malaysia Constitute Circumvention Under the Statutory Criteria Established in Section 781(b)(2) of the Act
- XI. Recommendation

Appendix II—Certification Requirements

If an importer imports certain corrosion-resistant steel products (CORE) from Malaysia and claims that the CORE was not produced from hot-rolled steel and/or cold-rolled steel substrate (substrate) manufactured in Taiwan, the importer is required to complete and maintain the importer certification attached hereto as Appendix III and all supporting documentation. Where the importer uses a broker to facilitate the entry process, it should obtain the entry summary number from the broker. Agents of the importer, such as brokers, however, are not permitted to make this certification on behalf of the importer.

The exporter of such merchandise is required to complete and maintain the exporter certification, attached as Appendix IV, and is further required to provide the importer a copy of that certification and all supporting documentation. The party that made the sale to the United States should fill out the exporter certification.

For any such certifications completed on the date of publication of this final determination through 20 days after the date of publication, exporters and importers should use the certifications attached to the *Preliminary Determination*. For any such certifications completed on or after 21 days after the date of publication of this final determination, exporters and importers

should use the certifications contained below that have changed from the certifications issued with the *Preliminary Determination*.

For entries on or after the date of publication of this notice in the **Federal Register**, for which certifications are required, importers should complete the required certification at or prior to the date of entry summary, and exporters should complete the required certification and provide it to the importer at or prior to the date of shipment. For all such entries made within the first 20 days after publication of this notice, exporters and importers should use the certifications attached to the *Preliminary Determination*. For all entries made on or after 21 days after publication of this notice, exporters and importers should use the certifications contained below that have changed from the certifications issued with the *Preliminary Determination*.

The importer and exporter are also required to maintain sufficient documentation supporting their certifications. The importer will not be required to submit the certifications or supporting documentation to U.S. Customs and Border Protection (CBP) as part of the entry process at this time. However, the importer and the exporter will be required to present the certifications and supporting documentation to Commerce and/or CBP, as applicable, upon request by the respective agency. Additionally, the claims made in the certifications and any supporting documentation are subject to verification by Commerce and/or CBP. The importer and exporter are required to maintain the certifications and supporting documentation for the later of: (1) A period of five years from the date of entry or (2) a period of three years after the conclusion of any litigation in United States courts regarding such entries.

In the situation where no certification is provided for an entry, and AD/CVD orders on CORE from China or the AD order on CORE from Taiwan potentially apply to that entry, Commerce intends to instruct CBP to suspend the entry and collect cash deposits at the rate under the *China CORE Orders* (i.e., the AD rate established for the China-wide entity (199.43 percent) and the CVD rate established for all-other Chinese producers/exporters (39.05 percent)).¹⁰ In the situation where a certification is provided for the AD/CVD orders on CORE from China (stating that the merchandise was not produced from HRS and/or CRS from China), but no other certification is provided, then Commerce intends to instruct CBP to suspend the entry and collect cash deposits at the AD all-others rate applicable to the AD order on CORE from Taiwan (i.e., 3.66 percent).

Appendix III—Importer Certification

I hereby certify that:

(A) My name is {IMPORTING COMPANY OFFICIAL'S NAME} and I am an official of {NAME OF IMPORTING COMPANY}, located at {ADDRESS OF IMPORTING COMPANY}.

(B) I have direct personal knowledge of the facts regarding the importation into the Customs territory of the United States of the

corrosion resistant steel products produced in Malaysia that entered under entry number(s), identified below, and which are covered by this certification. "Direct personal knowledge" refers to facts the certifying party is expected to have in its own records. For example, the importer should have direct personal knowledge of the importation of the product (e.g., the name of the exporter) in its records.

(C) If the importer is acting on behalf of the first U.S. customer, complete this paragraph, if not put "NA" at the end of this paragraph:

The corrosion resistant steel products covered by this certification were imported by {NAME OF IMPORTING COMPANY} on behalf of {NAME OF U.S. CUSTOMER}, located at {ADDRESS OF U.S. CUSTOMER}.

(D) The corrosion resistant steel products covered by this certification were shipped to {NAME OF PARTY TO WHOM MERCHANDISE WAS FIRST SHIPPED IN THE UNITED STATES}, located at {ADDRESS OF SHIPMENT}.

(E) I have personal knowledge of the facts regarding the production of the corrosion resistant steel products identified below. "Personal knowledge" includes facts obtained from another party (e.g., correspondence received by the importer (or exporter) from the producer regarding the country of manufacture of the imported products).

(F) The corrosion resistant steel products covered by this certification were not manufactured using hot-rolled steel and/or cold-rolled steel substrate produced in Taiwan.

(G) This certification applies to the following entries (repeat this block as many times as necessary):

Entry Summary #: _____
 Entry Summary Line Item #: _____
 Foreign Seller: _____
 Foreign Seller's address: _____
 Foreign Seller's Invoice #: _____
 Foreign Seller's Invoice Line Item #: _____
 Producer: _____
 Producer's Address: _____

(H) I understand that {NAME OF IMPORTING COMPANY} is required to maintain a copy of this certification and sufficient documentation supporting this certification (i.e., documents maintained in the normal course of business, or documents obtained by the certifying party, for example, mill certificates, production records, invoices, etc.) for the later of: (1) A period of five years from the date of entry or (2) a period of three years after the conclusion of any litigation in the United States courts regarding such entries.

(I) I understand that {NAME OF IMPORTING COMPANY} is required to provide this certification and supporting records, upon request, to U.S. Customs and Border Protection (CBP) and/or the Department of Commerce (Commerce).

(J) I understand that {NAME OF IMPORTING COMPANY} is required to maintain a copy of the exporter's certification (attesting to the production and/or export of the imported merchandise identified above), and any supporting records provided by the exporter to the importer, for the later of: (1) A period of five years from the date of entry

¹⁰ See *China CORE Orders*.

or (2) a period of three years after the conclusion of any litigation in United States courts regarding such entries.

(K) I understand that {NAME OF IMPORTING COMPANY} is required, upon request, to provide a copy of the exporter's certification and any supporting records provided by the exporter to the importer, to CBP and/or Commerce.

(L) I understand that the claims made herein, and the substantiating documentation, are subject to verification by CBP and/or Commerce.

(M) I understand that failure to maintain the required certifications, and/or failure to substantiate the claims made herein, and/or failure to allow CBP and/or Commerce to verify the claims made herein, may result in a de facto determination that all entries to which this certification applies are within the scope of the antidumping/countervailing duty order on corrosion resistant steel products from Taiwan. I understand that such finding will result in:

(i) Suspension of liquidation of all unliquidated entries (and entries for which liquidation has not become final) for which these requirements were not met;

(ii) the requirement that the importer post applicable antidumping duty and/or countervailing duty cash deposits (as appropriate) equal to the rates determined by Commerce; and

(iii) the revocation of {NAME OF IMPORTING COMPANY}'s privilege to certify future imports of corrosion resistant steel products from Malaysia as not manufactured using hot-rolled steel and/or cold-rolled steel substrate from Taiwan.

(N) I understand that agents of the importer, such as brokers, are not permitted to make this certification.

(O) This certification was completed at or prior to the date of entry summary.

(P) I am aware that U.S. law (including, but not limited to, 18 U.S.C. 1001) imposes criminal sanctions on individuals who knowingly and willfully make material false statements to the U.S. government.

Signature

NAME OF COMPANY OFFICIAL

TITLE

DATE

Appendix IV—Exporter Certification

SPECIAL INSTRUCTIONS: The party that made the sale to the United States should fill out the exporter certification.

I hereby certify that:

(A) My name is {COMPANY OFFICIAL'S NAME} and I am an official of {NAME OF COMPANY}, located at {ADDRESS};

(B) I have direct personal knowledge of the facts regarding the production and exportation of the corrosion resistant steel products identified below. "Direct personal knowledge" refers to facts the certifying party is expected to have in its own books and records. For example, an exporter should have direct personal knowledge of the producer's identity and location.

(C) The corrosion resistant steel products produced in Malaysia and covered by this certification were not manufactured using hot-rolled steel and/or cold-rolled steel substrate produced in Taiwan.

(D) This certification applies to the following sales to {NAME OF U.S. CUSTOMER}, located at {ADDRESS OF U.S. CUSTOMER}. (repeat this block as many times as necessary):

Foreign Seller's Invoice # to U.S. Customer:

Foreign Seller's Invoice to U.S. Customer

Line item #:

Producer Name:

Producer's Address:

Producer's Invoice # to Foreign Seller: (If the foreign seller and the producer are the same party, put NA here.)

(E) The corrosion resistant steel products covered by this certification were shipped to {NAME OF U.S. PARTY TO WHOM MERCHANDISE WAS SHIPPED}, located at {U.S. ADDRESS TO WHICH MERCHANDISE WAS SHIPPED}.

(F) I understand that {NAME OF EXPORTING COMPANY} is required to maintain a copy of this certification and sufficient documentation supporting this certification (i.e., documents maintained in the normal course of business, or documents obtained by the certifying party, for example, mill certificates, production records, invoices, etc.) for the later of: (1) A period of five years from the date of entry or (2) a period of three years after the conclusion of any litigation in the United States courts regarding such entries.

(G) I understand that {NAME OF EXPORTING COMPANY} must provide a copy of this Exporter Certification to the U.S. importer by the date of shipment;

(H) I understand that {NAME OF EXPORTING COMPANY} is required to provide a copy of this certification and supporting records, upon request, to U.S. Customs and Border Protection (CBP) and/or the Department of Commerce (Commerce).

(I) I understand that the claims made herein, and the substantiating documentation, are subject to verification by CBP and/or Commerce.

(J) I understand that failure to maintain the required certification, and/or failure to substantiate the claims made herein, and/or failure to allow CBP and/or Commerce to verify the claims made herein, may result in a de facto determination that all sales to which this certification applies are within the scope of the antidumping/countervailing duty order on corrosion resistant steel products from Taiwan. I understand that such finding will result in:

(i) Suspension of all unliquidated entries (and entries for which liquidation has not become final) for which these requirements were not met; and

(ii) the requirement that the importer post applicable antidumping duty and/or countervailing duty cash deposits (as appropriate) equal to the rates as determined by Commerce; and

(iii) the revocation of {NAME OF EXPORTING COMPANY}'s privilege to certify future exports of corrosion resistant steel products from Malaysia as not manufactured using hot-rolled steel and/or cold-rolled steel substrate from Taiwan.

(K) This certification was completed at or prior to the date of shipment.

(L) I am aware that U.S. law (including, but not limited to, 18 U.S.C. 1001) imposes

criminal sanctions on individuals who knowingly and willfully make material false statements to the U.S. government.

Signature

NAME OF COMPANY OFFICIAL

TITLE

DATE

[FR Doc. 2021-11850 Filed 6-4-21; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 3510-DS-P

DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

International Trade Administration

[C-570-023]

Certain Uncoated Paper From the People's Republic of China: Final Results of the Expedited Five-Year Sunset Review of the Countervailing Duty Order

AGENCY: Enforcement and Compliance, International Trade Administration, Department of Commerce.

SUMMARY: As a result of this sunset review, the Department of Commerce (Commerce) finds that revoking the countervailing duty (CVD) order on certain uncoated paper (uncoated paper) from the People's Republic of China (China) would likely lead to continuation or recurrence of countervailable subsidies at the levels indicated in the "Final Results of Review" section of this notice.

DATES: Applicable June 7, 2021.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Peter Zukowski, AD/CVD Operations, Office III, Enforcement and Compliance, International Trade Administration, U.S. Department of Commerce, 1401 Constitution Avenue NW, Washington, DC 20230; telephone: (202) 482-0189.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

Background

On March 3, 2016, Commerce published in the **Federal Register** the CVD *Order* on uncoated paper from China.¹ On February 1, 2021, Commerce published the notice of initiation of the first sunset review of the *Order*, pursuant to section 751(c) of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended (the Act).² On February 12, 2021, Commerce received a notice of intent to participate from Domtar Corporation (Domtar), Finch Paper LLC (Finch Paper), and North Pacific Paper Company (NORPAC),

¹ See *Certain Uncoated Paper from Indonesia and the People's Republic of China: Amended Final Affirmative Countervailing Duty Determination and Countervailing Duty Order (Indonesia) and Countervailing Duty Order (People's Republic of China)*, 81 FR 11187 (Order).

² See *Initiation of Five-Year ("Sunset") Reviews*, 86 FR 7709 (February 1, 2021).