

**DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE****International Trade Administration****Extension of Time Limit for Final Results of Expedited Five-Year Reviews**

**AGENCY:** Import Administration, International Trade Administration, Department of Commerce.

**ACTION:** Notice of extension of time limit for final results of expedited five-year ("Sunset") reviews.

**SUMMARY:** The Department of Commerce ("the Department") is extending the time limit for the final results of five expedited sunset reviews initiated on December 1, 1999 (64 FR 67247), covering various antidumping duty orders. Based on adequate responses from domestic interested parties and inadequate responses from respondent interested parties, the Department is conducting expedited sunset reviews to determine whether revocation of the antidumping duty orders would be likely to lead to continuation or recurrence of dumping. As a result of these extensions, the Department intends to issue its final results not later than June 28, 2000.

**EFFECTIVE DATE:** April 6, 2000.

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:**

Mark D. Young, Import Administration, International Trade Administration, U.S. Department of Commerce, 14th Street and Constitution Avenue, NW, Washington, DC 20230; telephone: (202) 482-6397.

**Extension of Final Results**

In accordance with section 751(c)(5)(C)(v) of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended ("the Act"), the Department may treat a sunset review as extraordinarily complicated if it is a review of a transition order (*i.e.*, an order in effect on January 1, 1995). The reviews at issue concern transition orders within the meaning of section 751(c)(6)(C)(ii) of the Act. The Department has determined that the sunset reviews of the following antidumping duty orders are extraordinarily complicated:

A-588-831 Grain-Oriented Electrical Steel from Japan

A-475-811 Grain-Oriented Electrical Steel from Italy

A-570-831 Fresh Garlic from the People's Republic of China

A-570-826 Paper Clips from the People's Republic of China

A-570-827 Cased Pencils from the People's Republic of China

Therefore, the Department is extending the time limit for completion of the final

results of these reviews until not later than June 28, 2000, in accordance with section 751(c)(5)(B) of the Act.

Dated: March 30, 2000.

**Robert S. LaRussa,**

*Assistant Secretary for Import Administration.*

[FR Doc. 00-8561 Filed 4-5-00; 8:45 am]

**BILLING CODE 3510-DS-P**

**DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE****International Trade Administration**

**[A-533-809, A-583-821]**

**Certain Forged Stainless Steel Flanges From India and Taiwan; Final Results of Antidumping Duty Expedited Sunset Reviews**

**AGENCY:** Import Administration, International Trade Administration, Department of Commerce.

**ACTION:** Notice of final results of antidumping duty expedited sunset reviews: Certain forged stainless steel flanges from India and Taiwan.

**SUMMARY:** On December 1, 1999, the Department of Commerce ("the Department") published the notice of initiation of sunset reviews of the antidumping duty orders on forged stainless steel flanges ("flanges") from India and Taiwan. The products covered by these orders are flanges, both finished and unfinished. On the basis of notices of intent to participate and adequate substantive comments filed on behalf of domestic interested parties and inadequate response from Indian respondent interested parties and no response from Taiwanese respondent interested parties, we determined to conduct expedited reviews. Based on our analysis of the comments received, we find that revocation of the antidumping duty orders would be likely to lead to continuation or recurrence of dumping at the levels listed below in the section entitled "Final Results of Reviews."

**EFFECTIVE DATE:** April 6, 2000.

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:**

Mark D. Young, Import Administration, International Trade Administration, U.S. Department of Commerce, Washington, DC 20230; telephone: (202) 482-6397.

**SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:****Statute and Regulations**

Unless otherwise indicated, all citations to the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended ("the Act"), are references to the provisions effective January 1, 1995, the effective date of the amendments

made to the Act by Uruguay Round Agreements Act ("URAA"). In addition, unless otherwise indicated, all citations to the Department of Commerce's ("the Department's") regulations are to 19 CFR part 351 (1999). Guidance on methodological or analytical issues relevant to the Department's conduct of sunset reviews is set forth in the Department's Policy Bulletin 98:3—Policies Regarding the Conduct of Five-year ("Sunset") Reviews of Antidumping and Countervailing Duty Orders; Policy Bulletin, 63 FR 18871 (April 16, 1998) ("Sunset Policy Bulletin").

**Background**

On December 1, 1999, the Department published the notice of initiation of sunset reviews of the antidumping duty orders on flanges from India and Taiwan (64 FR 67247). We received a Notice of Intent to Participate, in each of the two sunset reviews, on behalf of Gerlin, Inc. ("Gerlin"), Ideal Forging Corporation ("Ideal"), Maass Flange Corporation ("Maass"), and Westbrook Flange (collectively, the "domestic interested parties"), by December 16, 1999, within the deadline specified in section 351.218(d)(1)(i) of the Sunset Regulations. Pursuant to section 771(9)(C) of the Act, the domestic interested parties claimed interested party status as U.S. manufacturers of domestic like products. Moreover, Gerlin, Ideal, and Maass claim that they were petitioners in the original investigations.

The Department received a complete substantive response from the domestic interested parties, in each of the two sunset reviews, by January 3, 2000, within the 30-day deadline specified in the Sunset Regulations under section 351.218(d)(3)(i). We did not receive a substantive response from any Taiwanese respondent interested party. We did receive substantive responses from Echjay Forgings Limited and Pushpaman Exports in the sunset review of the Indian order. However, we determined that the responses were inadequate to warrant a full review because respondents did not account for at least 50 percent of the subject merchandise to the U.S. over the last five years, as required by 351.218(e)(1)(ii)(A).<sup>1</sup> As a result, pursuant to 19 CFR 351.218(e)(1)(ii)(C), the Department determined to conduct expedited, 120-day, reviews of these orders.

<sup>1</sup> See Policies Regarding the Conduct of Five-Year ("Sunset") Reviews of Antidumping and Countervailing Duty Orders: Policy Bulletin, 63 FR 18871 (April 16, 1998).