

system of trails that provides access to the Ansel Adams and John Muir Wildernesses and identifies the appropriate maintenance and management levels of the trail system such that the wilderness values are protected.

This proposal responds in part to the Court Order of November 1, 2001, (and modified January 10, 2002) which requires that the Forest Service complete a cumulative impacts analysis within the NEPA process, and that it consider limits on numbers of stock animals used in conjunction with commercial operators, limits on the group size (both people and number of stock both on and off trail), trail suitability for various use types, and designation of campsites for use by commercial pack stations.

Proposed Action: To meet the purpose and need, the Forest Service proposes to amend the 2001 Ansel Adams, John Muir, and Dinkey Lakes Wildernesses Management Plan to provide further standards and guidelines for commercial pack stock activities. The standards and guidelines proposed for modification from existing Wilderness Plan direction relate to use levels; trail suitability for commercial pack stock operations; grazing suitability and utilization levels; and, use of campsites and campfires. Currently, commercial pack stock use accounts for approximately 15% of total use in these two wildernesses, with around 3500 people a year being serviced to over 400 destinations. The proposed action will also establish a system of trails and trail management levels for each system trail, consistent with the desired condition of areas within the two wildernesses as identified in the 2001 Wilderness Plan and Record of Decision. Approximately 960 miles of trails are being proposed to be managed as a system trail.

Responsible Official: The responsible officials are Jeffrey E. Bailey, Forest Supervisor, Inyo National Forest, 351 Pacu Lane, Suite 200, Bishop, CA 93514 and Edward C. Cole, Forest Supervisor, Sierra National Forest, 1600 Tollhouse Road, Clovis, CA 93611.

Early Notice of Importance of Public Participation in Subsequent Environmental Review: The Forest Service believes, at this early stage, it is important to give reviewers notice of several court rulings related to public participation in the environmental review process. First, reviewers of draft environmental impact statements must structure their participation in the environmental review of the proposal so that it is meaningful and alerts an agency to the reviewer's position and contentions. Vermont *Yankee Nuclear*

Power Corp. v. NRDC, 435 U.S. 519, 553 (1978). Also, environmental objections that could be raised at the draft environmental impact statement stage but that are not raised until after completion of the final environmental impact statement may be waived or dismissed by the courts. *City of Angoon v. Hodel*, 803 F.2d 1016, 1022 (9th Cir. 1986) and *Wisconsin Heritages, Inc. v. Harris*, 490 F. Supp. 1334, 1338 (E.D. Wis. 1980). Because of these court rulings, it is very important that those interested in this proposed action participate by the close of the comment period so that substantive comments and objections are made available to the Forest Service at a time when it can meaningfully consider them and respond to them in the final environmental impact statement.

To assist the Forest Service in identifying and considering issues and concerns on the proposed action, comments on the draft environmental impact statement should be as specific as possible. It is also helpful if comments refer to specific pages or chapters of the draft statement. Comments may also address the adequacy of the draft environmental impact statement or the merits of the alternatives formulated and discussed in the statement. Reviewers may wish to refer to the Council on Environmental Quality Regulations for implementing the procedural provisions of the National Environmental Policy Act at 40 CFR 1503.3 in addressing these points.

Comments received, including the names and addresses of those who comment, will be considered part of the public record on this proposal and will be available for public inspection.

(Authority: 40 CFR 1501.7 and 1508.22; Forest Service Handbook 1909.15, Section 21.)

Dated: January 18, 2005.

Jeffrey E. Bailey,

Forest Supervisor, Inyo National Forest.

Dated: January 13, 2005.

Edward C. Cole,

Forest Supervisor, Sierra National Forest.

[FR Doc. 05-1295 Filed 1-24-05; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 3410-11-P

DEPARTMENT OF AGRICULTURE

Forest Service

Olympic Provincial Advisory Committee

AGENCY: Forest Service, USDA.

ACTION: Notice of meeting.

SUMMARY: The Olympic Province Advisory Committee (OPAC) will meet

on Friday, February 18, 2005. The meeting will be held at the Jamestown S'Klallam Tribal Conference Center, Highway 101, Blyn, Washington. The meeting will begin at 9:30 a.m. and end at approximately 3:30 p.m. Agenda topics are: Current status of key Forest issues; 2004 Planning Rule update; NW Forest Plan Land Use Designations and appropriate management activities; Hood Canal District 2005 Program of Work; Forest Law Enforcement Issues; Open forum; and Public comments.

All Olympic Province Advisory Committee Meetings are open to the public. Interested citizens are encouraged to attend.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

Direct questions regarding this meeting to Ken Eldredge, Province Liaison, USDA, Olympic National Forest Headquarters, 1835 Black Lake Blvd., Olympia, WA 98512-5623, (360) 956-2323 or Dale Hom, Forest Supervisor, at (360) 956-2301.

Dated: January 18, 2005.

Dale Hom,

Forest Supervisor, Olympic National Forest.

[FR Doc. 05-1291 Filed 1-24-05; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 3410-11-M

DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

International Trade Administration

[A-570-895]

Antidumping Duty Order: Certain Crepe Paper From the People's Republic of China

AGENCY: Import Administration, International Trade Administration, Department of Commerce.

EFFECTIVE DATE: January 25, 2005.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Alex Villanueva at (202) 482-3208 or Hallie Noel Zink at (202) 482-6907; AD/CVD Operations, Office 9, Import Administration, International Trade Administration, U.S. Department of Commerce, 14th Street and Constitution Avenue NW., Washington, DC 20230.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

Scope of Order

For purposes of this order, the term "certain crepe paper" includes crepe paper products that have a basis weight not exceeding 29 grams per square meter prior to being creped and, if appropriate, flame-proofed. Crepe paper has a finely wrinkled surface texture and typically but not exclusively is treated to be flame-retardant. Crepe paper is typically but not exclusively produced as streamers in roll form and