

international calls to the point-of-contact in the United States.

**SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:** The Southeast Oregon RAC is chartered, and the 15 members are appointed by the Secretary of the Interior. Their diverse perspectives are represented in commodity, non-commodity, and local interests. The RAC serves in an advisory capacity to BLM and U.S. Forest Service officials concerning planning and management of public land and national forest resources located, in whole or part, within the boundaries of the BLM's Vale Field Office of the Vale District, Burns District, Lakeview District, and Fremont-Winema and Malheur National Forests. All meetings are open to the public in their entirety. Information to be distributed to the RAC is requested before the start of each meeting.

The meeting will include an orientation on travel management planning; updates regarding the Southeast Oregon and Lakeview Resource Management Plan amendment processes; discussion of wild horse and burro herd management; review of recommendations regarding proposed actions by the Burns, Vale, and Lakeview BLM Districts; and any other business that may reasonably come before the RAC. A field tour of the Burns BLM Wild Horse Corrals will be held to familiarize RAC members with the care, conditions, and management of gathered wild horses and burros.

As noted earlier (see **DATES**), the public may address the Southeast Oregon RAC during the public comment portions of the meeting on February 28 and March 1, 2023. Depending on the number of persons wishing to speak, the time for individual comments may be limited. Individuals who plan to attend and need special assistance, such as sign language interpretation or other reasonable accommodations, should contact the BLM (see **FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT**).

Before including your address, phone number, email address, or other personal identifying information in your comments, please be aware that your entire comment—including your personal identifying information—may be made publicly available at any time. While you can ask us in your comment to withhold your personal identifying information from public review, we cannot guarantee we will be able to do so.

The Designated Federal Officer will attend the meeting, take minutes, and publish these minutes on the RAC's web page at: <https://www.blm.gov/get-involved/resource-advisory-council/near-you/oregon-washington/southeast-oregon-rac>.

(Authority: 43 CFR 1784.4–2)

**Darrel W. Monger,**  
*Vale District Manager.*

[FR Doc. 2023–00302 Filed 1–9–23; 8:45 am]

**BILLING CODE 4310–33–P**

## INTERNATIONAL TRADE COMMISSION

[Investigation No. 337–TA–1349]

### Components for Certain Environmentally-Protected LCD Digital Displays and Products Containing Same; Notice of Institution of Investigation

**AGENCY:** U.S. International Trade Commission.

**ACTION:** Notice.

**SUMMARY:** Notice is hereby given that a complaint was filed with the U.S. International Trade Commission on December 5, 2022, under section 337 of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended, on behalf of Samsung Electronics Co., Ltd. of the Republic of Korea; Samsung Electronics America, Inc. of Ridgefield Park, New Jersey; Samsung Research America, Inc. of Mountain View, California; and Samsung International, Inc. of Chula Vista, California. On December 19, 2022, complainants filed a letter supplementing the complaint. The complaint alleges violations of section 337 based upon the importation into the United States, the sale for importation, and the sale within the United States after importation of components for certain environmentally-protected LCD digital displays and products containing same by reason of the infringement of certain claims of U.S. Patent No. 7,948,575 (“the ‘575 patent”), U.S. Patent No. 8,111,348 (“the ‘348 patent”), U.S. Patent No. RE45,117 (“the ‘117 patent”), U.S. Patent No. 8,842,253 (“the ‘253 patent”), and U.S. Patent No. 8,223,311 (“the ‘311 patent”). The complaint further alleges that an industry in the United States exists as required by the applicable Federal Statute.

The complainants request that the Commission institute an investigation and, after the investigation, issue a limited exclusion order and a cease and desist order.

**ADDRESSES:** The complaint, except for any confidential information contained therein, may be viewed on the Commission's electronic docket (EDIS) at <https://edis.usitc.gov>. For help accessing EDIS, please email [EDIS3Help@usitc.gov](mailto:EDIS3Help@usitc.gov). Hearing impaired individuals are advised that information on this matter can be obtained by

contacting the Commission's TDD terminal on (202) 205–1810. Persons with mobility impairments who will need special assistance in gaining access to the Commission should contact the Office of the Secretary at (202) 205–2000. General information concerning the Commission may also be obtained by accessing its internet server at <https://www.usitc.gov>.

### FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

Jessica Mullan, Office of the Secretary, Docket Services Division, U.S. International Trade Commission, telephone (202) 205–1802.

### SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

*Authority:* The authority for institution of this investigation is contained in section 337 of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended, 19 U.S.C. 1337, and in section 210.10 of the Commission's Rules of Practice and Procedure, 19 CFR 210.10 (2021).

*Scope of Investigation:* Having considered the amended complaint, the U.S. International Trade Commission, on January 4, 2023, *ordered that—*

(1) Pursuant to subsection (b) of section 337 of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended, an investigation be instituted to determine whether there is a violation of subsection (a)(1)(B) of section 337 in the importation into the United States, the sale for importation, or the sale within the United States after importation of certain products identified in paragraph (2) by reason of infringement of one or more of claims 1–4 and 11–13 of the ‘575 patent; claims 1–3 and 6–9 of the ‘348 patent; claims 1, 2, and 5 of the ‘117 patent; claims 1, 10–12, and 16–19 of the ‘253 patent; and claims 1–4 and 6–13 of the ‘311 patent, and whether an industry in the United States exists as required by subsection (a)(2) of section 337;

(2) Pursuant to section 210.10(b)(1) of the Commission's Rules of Practice and Procedure, 19 CFR 210.10(b)(1), the plain language description of the accused products or category of accused products, which defines the scope of the investigation, is “environmentally protected digital displays (as well as components thereof such as large format LCDs, including LCD modules, TFT–LCD modules, LCD panels, and LCD monitors) that include certain features such as polarizing filters and/or thermal management cooling paths to assist the display's operation and the viewing experience”;

(3) For the purpose of the investigation so instituted, the following are hereby named as parties upon which this notice of investigation shall be served:

(a) The complainants are:

Samsung Electronics Co., Ltd., 129 Samsung ro (Maetan-dong), Yeongtong-gu Suwon-Si, Gyeonggi-do 16677 Republic of Korea

Samsung Electronics America, Inc., 85 Challenger Road, Ridgefield Park, NJ 07660

Samsung Research America, Inc., 665 Clyde Avenue, Mountain View, CA 94043

Samsung International, Inc., 333 H St. Ste. 6000, Chula Vista, CA 91910–5565

(b) The respondent is the following entity alleged to be in violation of section 337, and is the party upon which the amended complaint is to be served:

Manufacturing Resources International, Inc., 6415 Shiloh Road East, Alpharetta, GA 30005

(4) For the investigation so instituted, the Chief Administrative Law Judge, U.S. International Trade Commission, shall designate the presiding Administrative Law Judge.

The Office of Unfair Import Investigations will not participate as a party to this investigation.

Responses to the amended complaint and the notice of investigation must be submitted by the named respondent in accordance with section 210.13 of the Commission's Rules of Practice and Procedure, 19 CFR 210.13. Pursuant to 19 CFR 201.16(e) and 210.13(a), as amended in 85 FR 15798 (March 19, 2020), such responses will be considered by the Commission if received not later than 20 days after the date of service by the complainants of the amended complaint and the notice of investigation. Extensions of time for submitting responses to the amended complaint and the notice of investigation will not be granted unless good cause therefor is shown.

Failure of the respondent to file a timely response to each allegation in the amended complaint and in this notice may be deemed to constitute a waiver of the right to appear and contest the allegations of the amended complaint and this notice, and to authorize the administrative law judge and the Commission, without further notice to the respondent, to find the facts to be as alleged in the amended complaint and this notice and to enter an initial determination and a final determination containing such findings, and may result in the issuance of an exclusion order or a cease and desist order or both directed against the respondent.

By order of the Commission.

Issued: January 4, 2023.

**Katherine Hiner,**

*Acting Secretary to the Commission.*

[FR Doc. 2023–00233 Filed 1–9–23; 8:45 am]

**BILLING CODE 7020–02–P**

## INTERNATIONAL TRADE COMMISSION

[Investigation No. 337–TA–1252]

### Certain Robotic Floor Cleaning Devices and Components Thereof; Notice of a Commission Determination To Review in Part a Final Initial Determination Finding a Violation of Section 337; Request for Written Submissions on the Issues Under Review and on Remedy, the Public Interest, and Bonding; and Extension of the Target Date for Completion of the Investigation

**AGENCY:** U.S. International Trade Commission.

**ACTION:** Notice.

**SUMMARY:** Notice is hereby given that the U.S. International Trade Commission has determined to review in part a final initial determination (“ID”) of the presiding administrative law judge (“ALJ”) finding a violation of section 337 by the accused products of respondents. The Commission requests written submissions from the parties on the issues under review and from the parties, interested government agencies, and other interested persons on the issues of remedy, the public interest, and bonding, under the schedule set forth below. The Commission has also extended the target date for completion of the investigation to March 6, 2023.

#### FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

Clint Gerdine, Esq., Office of the General Counsel, U.S. International Trade Commission, 500 E Street SW, Washington, DC 20436, telephone (202) 708–2310. Copies of non-confidential documents filed in connection with this investigation may be viewed on the Commission's electronic docket (EDIS) at <https://edis.usitc.gov>. For help accessing EDIS, please email [EDIS3Help@usitc.gov](mailto:EDIS3Help@usitc.gov). General information concerning the Commission may also be obtained by accessing its internet server at <https://www.usitc.gov>. Hearing-impaired persons are advised that information on this matter can be obtained by contacting the Commission's TDD terminal, telephone 202–205–1810.

**SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:** The Commission instituted this investigation on March 2, 2021, based on a complaint filed on behalf of iRobot Corporation

(“iRobot”) of Bedford, Massachusetts. 86 FR 12206–07 (Mar. 2, 2021). The complaint alleged violations of section 337 of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended, 19 U.S.C. 1337, based upon the importation into the United States, the sale for importation, and the sale within the United States after importation of certain robotic floor cleaning devices and components thereof based on the infringement of certain claims of U.S. Patent Nos. 9,884,423 (“the ‘423 patent”); 7,571,511 (“the ‘511 patent”); 10,813,517 (“the ‘517 patent”); 10,835,096 (“the ‘096 patent”); and 10,296,007 (“the ‘007 patent”). The Commission's notice of investigation named SharkNinja Operating LLC, SharkNinja Management LLC, SharkNinja Management Co., SharkNinja Sales Co., and EP Midco LLC, all of Needham, Massachusetts; and SharkNinja Hong Kong Co. Ltd. of Hong Kong Island, Hong Kong as respondents (collectively, the “Respondents” or “SharkNinja”). The Office of Unfair Import Investigations is not participating in the investigation.

The ‘007 patent has been terminated from the investigation. *See* Order No. 23 (Sept. 13, 2021), *unreviewed by Comm'n Notice* (Oct. 5, 2021); Order No. 38 (Jan. 4, 2022), *unreviewed by Comm'n Notice* (Jan. 25, 2022). Accordingly, at the ALJ's evidentiary hearing, claims 9, 12, and 23 of the ‘423 patent; claims 12 and 23 of the ‘511 patent; claims 1 and 9 of the ‘517 patent; and claims 17 and 26 of the ‘096 patent were still pending.

On December 30, 2021, the ALJ issued a *Markman* Order (Order No. 37) construing the terms in dispute for all asserted patents.

On October 7, 2022, the ALJ issued the final ID finding: (1) a violation of section 337 based on infringement (*i.e.*, direct and induced) of asserted claims 9 and 12 of the ‘423 patent and direct infringement of asserted claims 1 and 9 of the ‘517 patent; (2) no infringement of claim 23 of the ‘423 patent; (3) no violation as to claims 17 and 26 of the ‘096 patent; and (4) no violation as to claims 12 and 23 of the ‘511 patent. The ID further found that: (1) the second category of SharkNinja's Series 3 redesigned products is not subject to adjudication; (2) iRobot has satisfied the domestic industry requirement with respect to all remaining patents in the investigation; (3) SharkNinja failed to prove, by clear and convincing evidence, that asserted claims 9, 12, and 23 of the ‘423 patent are invalid under 35 U.S.C. 101, 102, or 103. The ALJ recommended, should the Commission find a violation, issuing a limited exclusion order directed to SharkNinja's infringing products and a cease and