63.213 When do I have to comply with this subpart?

#### Standards and Compliance Requirements

63.214 What are the requirements I must comply with?

### Other Requirements and Information

63.215 What General Provisions apply to me?

63.216 Who administers this subpart? 63.217 What definitions apply to this subpart?

### What This Subpart Covers

## § 63.210 What is the purpose of this subpart?

This subpart establishes national emission standards for hazardous air pollutants (NESHAP) for polyvinyl chloride (PVC) and copolymers production.

#### § 63.211 Am I subject to this subpart?

- (a) You are subject to this subpart if you own or operate a PVC plant, as defined in 40 CFR 61.61(c) that is a major source of hazardous air pollutants (HAP) emissions or that is located at, or is part of, a major source of HAP emissions.
- (b) You are a major source of HAP emissions if you own or operate a plant site that emits or has the potential to emit any single HAP at a rate of 10 tons (9.07 megagrams) or more per year or any combination of HAP at a rate of 25 tons (22.68 megagrams) or more per year.

# § 63.212 What parts of my facility does this subpart cover?

(a) This subpart applies to each new or existing affected source at PVC and copolymer production operations.

- (b) The affected source subject to this subpart is the collection of all equipment and activities necessary to produce PVC and copolymers. This subpart applies to the PVC and copolymers production operations that meet the applicability criteria at 40 CFR 61.60(a)(3).
- (c) An affected source does not include portions of your PVC and copolymers production operations that meet the criteria at 40 CFR 61.60(b) or (c) .
- (d) An affected source is a new affected source if you commenced construction or reconstruction of the affected source after December 8, 2000.
- (e) An affected source is existing if it is not new.

## § 63.213 When do I have to comply with this subpart?

(a) If you have a new affected source, you must comply with this subpart according to paragraphs (a)(1) and (2) of this section:

- (1) If you startup your affected source before [the effective date of this subpart], then you must comply with the standards in this subpart no later than [the effective date of this subpart].
- (2) If you startup your affected source after [the effective date of this subpart], then you must comply with the standards in this subpart upon startup of your affected source.
- (b) If you have an existing affected source, you must be in compliance with the standards in this subpart by [the effective date of this subpart].
- (c) If you have an area source that increases its emissions or its potential to emit such that it becomes a major source of HAP and an affected source subject to this subpart, paragraphs (c)(1) and (2) of this section apply.
- (1) An area source that meets the criteria of a new affected source as specified at § 63.212(d) must be in compliance with this subpart upon becoming a major source.
- (2) An area source that meets the criteria of an existing affected source as specified at § 63.212(e) must be in compliance with this subpart upon becoming a major source.

### Standards and Compliance Requirements

## § 63.214 What are the requirements I must comply with?

You must meet all the requirements in 40 CFR part 61, subpart F, as they pertain to processes that manufacture polymerized vinyl chloride. These requirements include the emission standards and compliance, testing, monitoring, notification, recordkeeping, and reporting requirements.

### Other Requirements and Information

## § 63.215 What General Provisions apply to me?

- (a) All the provisions in 40 CFR part 61, subpart A, apply to this subpart.
- (b) The provisions in subpart A of this part also apply to this subpart as specified in (b)(1) through (3) of this section.
- (1) The general applicability provisions in § 63.1(a)(1) through (8) and (13) through (14).
- (2) The specific applicability provisions in § 63.1(b) through (e) except for the reference to § 63.10 for recordkeeping procedures.
- (3) The construction and reconstruction provisions in § 63.5 except for the references to § 63.6 for compliance procedures and the references to § 63.9 for notification procedures.

### § 63.216 Who administers this subpart?

(a) This subpart can be administered by us, the EPA, or a delegated authority such as your State, local, or tribal agency. If the EPA Administrator has delegated authority to your State, local, or tribal agency, then that agency has the primary authority to administer and enforce this subpart. You should contact your EPA Regional Office to find out if the authority to implement and enforce this subpart is delegated to your State, local, or tribal agency.

(b) In delegating implementation and enforcement authority of this subpart to a State, local, or tribal agency under section subpart E of this part, the authorities contained in paragraphs (b)(1) through (5) of this section are retained by the Administrator of EPA and are not transferred to the State,

local, or tribal agency.

(1) Approval of alternatives to the non-opacity emissions standards in §§ 63.211, 63.212 and 63.214 under 40 CFR 61.12(d). Where these standards reference another subpart, the cited provisions will be delegated according to the delegation provisions of the referenced subpart.

(2) [Reserved]

(3) Approval of major alternatives to test methods under 40 CFR 61.13(h) and as defined in § 63.90.

(4) Approval of major alternatives to monitoring under 40 CFR 61.14(g) and as defined in § 63.90.

(5) Approval of major alternatives to recordkeeping and reporting under 40 CFR 61.10 and as defined in § 63.90.

## § 63.217 What definitions apply to this subpart?

Terms used in this subpart are defined in: the Clean Air Act; 40 CFR 61.02 of this chapter, the NESHAP General Provisions; 40 CFR 61.61, the Vinyl Chloride NESHAP; and, § 63.2, in regard to terms used in §§ 63.1 and 63.5. [FR Doc. 00–31332 Filed 12–7–00; 8:45 am]

# ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY

40 CFR Part 300

[FRL-6913-1]

### National Oil and Hazardous Substances Pollution Contingency Plan; National Priorities List

**AGENCY:** Environmental Protection Agency (EPA).

**ACTION:** Proposed deletion of the University of Minnesota Rosemount Research Center Superfund Site (Site) from the National Priorities List (NPL).

SUMMARY: The EPA proposes to delete the releases from the University of Minnesota Rosemount Research Center Superfund site (Site) from the NPL and requests public comment on this action. The NPL constitutes appendix B to Part 300 of the National and Hazardous Substances Pollution Contingency Plan (NCP), which EPA promulgated pursuant to Section 105 of the Comprehensive Environmental Response, Compensation, and Liability Act of 1980 (CERCLA) as amended. EPA has determined that the Site currently poses no significant threat to public health or the environment, as defined by CERCLA, and therefore, further remedial measures under CERCLA are not appropriate. We are publishing this proposed rule without prior notification because the Agency views this as a noncontroversial revision and anticipates no dissenting comments. A detailed rationale for this approval is set forth in the direct final rule. If no dissenting comments are received, the deletion will become effective. If EPA receives dissenting comments, the direct final action will be withdrawn and all public comments received will be addressed in a subsequent final rule based on this proposed rule. EPA will not institute a second comment period. Any parties interested in commenting should do so at this time.

**DATES:** Comments concerning this Action must be received by January 8, 2001.

ADDRESSES: Comments may be mailed to Gladys Beard, Associate Remedial Project Manager, U.S. Environmental Protection Agency (SR-6J), 77 W. Jackson, Chicago, IL 60604. Comprehensive information on this Site is available through the public docket which is available for viewing at the Site Information Repository at the following location: the Minnesota Pollution Control Agency, 520 Lafayette Road North, Saint Paul, Minnesota 55155-4184.

## FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

Gladys Beard Associate Remedial Project Manager at (312) 886–7253, written correspondence can be directed to Ms. Beard at U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, (SR–6J) 77 W. Jackson Blvd., Chicago, IL 60604.

**SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:** For additional information, see the Direct Final Action which is located in the Rules Section of this **Federal Register**.

**Authority:** 42 U.S.C. 9601–9657; 33 U.S.C. 1321(c)(2); E.O. 12777, 56 FR 54757, 3 CFR., 1991 Comp.; p. 351; E.O. 12580, 52 FR 2923, 3 CFR., 1987 Comp.; p. 193.

Dated: November 28, 2000.

#### Elissa Speizman,

Acting Regional Administrator, EPA Region V.

[FR Doc. 00–31192 Filed 12–7–00; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 6560–50–P

## FEDERAL COMMUNICATIONS COMMISSION

#### 47 CFR Part 80

[PR Docket No. 92-257; RM-9664; FCC 00-370]

#### **Maritime Communications**

**AGENCY:** Federal Communications Commission.

**ACTION:** Proposed rules.

**SUMMARY:** In this document, the Commission proposes to amend the rules governing Automated Maritime Telecommunications Systems (AMTS) and high seas public coast stations. The Commission proposes, among other things, to designate licensing regions and authorize one licensee for each currently unassigned AMTS frequency block on a geographic basis; to allow partitioning and disaggregation for AMTS geographic licensees, disaggregation for site-based AMTS licensees, and partitioning for most high seas public coast station licensees; and to establish competitive bidding procedures to resolve mutually exclusive applications for AMTS and high seas public coast spectrum. These proposed rules should increase the number and types of communications services available to the maritime community.

**DATES:** Comments are due February 6, 2001, Reply Comments are due March 8, 2001.

ADDRESSES: Parties who choose to file comments by paper must file an original and four copies to the Commission's Secretary, Magalie Roman Salas, Office of the Secretary, Federal Communications Commission, 445 12th St., SW., Room TW-A325, Washington, DC 20554. Comments may also be filed using the Commission's Electronic Filing System, which can be accessed via the Internet at www.fcc.gov/e-file/ecfs.html.

## FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

Keith Fickner, Policy and Rules Branch, Public Safety and Private Wireless Division, Wireless Telecommunications Bureau at (202) 418–0680.

## SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

1. The Commission's Third Notice of Proposed Rule Making (3rd NPRM), PR

Docket No. 92-257, FCC 00-370, adopted October 13, 2000, and released on November 16, 2000. The full text of this 3rd NPRM is available for inspection and copying during normal business hours in the FCC Reference Center, Room CY-A257, 445 12th Street, SW., Washington, DC. The complete text may be purchased from the Commission's copy contractor, International Transcription Service, Inc., 1231 20th Street, NW., Washington, DC 20037. The full text may also be downloaded at: http:// www.fcc.gov/Wireless/Orders/2000/ fcc00370.txt. Alternative formats are available to persons with disabilities by contacting Martha Contee at (202) 418-0260 or TTY (202) 418-2555.

### Summary of the 3rd NPRM

2. The Commission proposes a transition from the site-based licensing approach to geographic area licensing because such an approach would speed assignment of subsequent AMTS licenses, reduce processing burdens on the Commission, facilitate the expansion of existing AMTS systems and the development of new AMTS systems, eliminate inefficiencies arising from the intricate web of relationships created by site-specific authorization, and enhance regulatory symmetry.

3. The Commission seeks comment on whether the use of band manager licensing may be an appropriate alternative method of accomplishing the objectives that it strives to achieve through its partitioning and disaggregation rules. Band managers would be a class of Commission licensee that would engage in the business of making spectrum available for use by others through private, written contracts.

4. The Commission seeks comment, in light of its continuing commitment to take measures to ensure that the current and future communications needs of the public safety community are addressed, on whether it should take any steps to facilitate use of AMTS spectrum by public safety entities, including setting aside some channels for public safety use.

5. The Commission proposes to modify the requirement that AMTS stations must serve a waterway because it is inconsistent with geographic licensing and could prevent service from being offered in some licensing areas. Therefore, the Commission seeks comment on its proposal that stations may be placed anywhere within a licensee's service area so long as marine-originating traffic is given priority and incumbent operations are protected. It also seeks comment on its