ability to competitively quote. Also, the Exchange believes the protection mechanisms would increase the liquidity available in the BOX market and would enhance competition because Market-Makers would be better able to quote larger size aggressively with fewer concerns over technological breakdowns.

#### Panic Quote

A Market Maker may simultaneously cancel all its quotes in an assigned class by triggering the Panic Quote function. The Panic Quote function would be triggered by the Market Maker sending a Panic Quote message to the BOX Trading Host through the Panic Quote channel, or otherwise requesting BOX operations staff to manually generate the Panic Quote message to the Trading Host in order to cancel all of the Market Maker's quotes in that class.

Triggering the Panic Quote function would also trigger the Advanced Market Maker Protection Mechanism, and all subsequent bulk quote messages would be rejected by the BOX Trading Host until the Market Maker sends a new Advanced Market Maker Protection

enabling message.

These market maker protections do not relieve a Market Maker's obligations pursuant to Chapter VI, Sections 5 and 6 of the BOX Rules; in particular, Chapter VI, Section 6(d) of the BOX Rules which addresses a Market Maker's obligation to enter continuous quotations for the options classes to which it is appointed. After a market maker protection has been utilized, Market Makers are expected to resume entering continuous quotations for the options classes to which they are appointed as soon as practicable.

## 2. Statutory Basis

The Exchange believes that the proposal is consistent with the requirements of section 6(b) of the Act, 9 in general, and section 6(b)(5) of the Act, 10 in particular, in that it is designed to promote just and equitable principles of trade, to remove impediments to and perfect the mechanism of a free and open market and a national market system, and protect investors and the public interest.

# B. Self-Regulatory Organization's Statement on Burden on Competition

The Exchange does not believe that the proposed rule change will impose any burden on competition not necessary or appropriate in furtherance of the purposes of the Act. C. Self-Regulatory Organization's Statement on Comments on the Proposed Rule Change Received from Members, Participants, or Others

The Exchange has neither solicited nor received comments on the proposed rule change.

### III. Date of Effectiveness of the Proposed Rule Change and Timing for Commission Action

Within 35 days of the date of publication of this notice in the **Federal Register** or within such longer period (i) as the Commission may designate up to 90 days of such date if it finds such longer period to be appropriate and publishes its reasons for so finding, or (ii) as to which the Exchange consents, the Commission will:

(A) By order approve such proposed

rule change; or

(B) Institute proceedings to determine whether the proposed rule change should be disapproved.

#### IV. Solicitation of Comments

Interested persons are invited to submit written data, views, and arguments concerning the foregoing, including whether the proposed rule change is consistent with the Act. Comments may be submitted by any of the following methods:

#### Electronic Comments

- Use the Commission's Internet comment form (http://www.sec.gov/rules/sro.shtml); or
- Send an e-mail to *rule-comments@sec.gov*. Please include File Number SR–BSE–2004–52 on the subject line.

# Paper Comments

• Send paper comments in triplicate to Jonathan G. Katz, Secretary, Securities and Exchange Commission, 450 Fifth Street, NW., Washington, DC 20549–0609.

All submissions should refer to File Number SR-BSE-2004-52. This file number should be included on the subject line if e-mail is used. To help the Commission process and review your comments more efficiently, please use only one method. The Commission will post all comments on the Commission's Internet Web site (http://www.sec.gov/ rules/sro.shtml). Copies of the submission, all subsequent amendments, all written statements with respect to the proposed rule change that are filed with the Commission, and all written communications relating to the proposed rule change between the Commission and any person, other than those that may be withheld from the

public in accordance with the provisions of 5 U.S.C. 552, will be available for inspection and copying in the Commission's Public Reference Section, 450 Fifth Street, NW., Washington, DC 20549. Copies of such filing also will be available for inspection and copying at the principal office of the BSE. All comments received will be posted without change; the Commission does not edit personal identifying information from submissions. You should submit only information that you wish to make publicly available. All submissions should refer to File Number SR-BSE-2004-52 and should be submitted on or before January 4, 2004.

For the Commission, by the Division of Market Regulation, pursuant to delegated authority. <sup>11</sup>

#### Jill M. Peterson,

Assistant Secretary.
[FR Doc. E4–3612 Filed 12–13–04; 8:45 am]
BILLING CODE 8010–01–P

# SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION

[Release No. 34-50813; File No. SR-ISE-2004-31]

Self-Regulatory Organizations; International Securities Exchange, Inc.; Notice of Filing of Proposed Rule Change and Amendment No. 1 Thereto Relating to System-Assisted Quotation Services

December 7, 2004.

Pursuant to section 19(b)(1) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 ("Act") 1 and Rule 19b-4 thereunder,2 notice is hereby given that on September 30, 2004, the International Securities Exchange, Inc. ("ISE" or "Exchange") filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission ("Commission") the proposed rule change as described in items I, II, and III below, which items have been prepared by the Exchange. On November 16, 2004, the ISE filed Amendment No. 1 to the proposed rule change.<sup>3</sup> The Commission is publishing this notice to solicit comments on the proposed rule change, as amended, from interested persons.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>9</sup> 15 U.S.C. 78f(b). <sup>10</sup> 15 U.S.C. 78f(b)(5).

<sup>11 17</sup> CFR 200.30-3(a)(12).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> 15 U.S.C. 78s(b)(1).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> 17 CFR 240.19b-4.

 $<sup>^3\,\</sup>mathrm{Amendment}$  No. 1 replaced and superseded the original filing in its entirety.

## I. Self-Regulatory Organization's Statement of the Terms of Substance of the Proposed Rule Change

The ISE proposes to codify in its rules descriptions of certain functionality it provides to market makers to assist them in meeting their quotation obligations. The text of the proposed rule change, as amended, is below. Proposed new language is in *italics*.

Rule 804. Market Maker Quotations

(a)-(f) No change.

(g) Automated Quotation Adjustments. A market maker may establish parameters by which the Exchange will automatically restate:

(1) the prices of a market maker's quotations in all series of an options class, at prices specified by the market maker, if the market maker trades, in the aggregate, a specified number of contracts (established by the market maker), within an Exchange-established time frame, in that class;

(2) the price of a market maker's quotations in an options series if the number of contracts that the market maker is willing to buy or sell at a specified price is exhausted; and

(3) the size of a market maker's quotation in an options series to 10 contracts if, as a result of an execution in that series, the market maker's quotation is decremented below that size and the Exchange's best bid (offer) would be less than 10 contracts.

# II. Self-Regulatory Organization's Statement of the Purpose of, and Statutory Basis for, the Proposed Rule Change

In its filing with the Commission, the Exchange included statements concerning the purpose of, and basis for, the proposed rule change and discussed any comments it had received on the proposed rule change. The text of these statements may be examined at the places specified in item IV below. The Exchange has prepared summaries, set forth in sections A, B, and C below, of the most significant aspects of such statements.

A. Self-Regulatory Organization's Statement of the Purpose of, and Statutory Basis for, the Proposed Rule Change

## 1. Purpose

The purpose of this rule change is to codify in the ISE's rules certain services the ISE offers market makers to help them manage their quotations. By way of background, ISE Rules 803 and 804 require market makers to maintain

continuous and firm quotations. To comply with this requirement, each ISE market maker employs its own sophisticated proprietary quotation and risk management systems to determine the prices and sizes at which its quotes. The ISE system itself also contains several voluntary tools that market makers can use to assist them in meeting their quotation obligations. ISE market makers are not required to use the ISE-provided functionality and can program their own systems to perform the same functions if they prefer. The three tools the ISE offers are:

• "Speed bump" functionality. A market maker's risk in an options class is not limited to the risk in a single series of that class. Rather, a market maker faces exposure in all series of a class, requiring that the market maker off-set or otherwise hedge its overall position in a class. The speed bump functionality helps a market maker limit this overall exposure and risk. Specifically, the functionality permits a market maker to establish parameters in the central system to move its quotations in all series of an option to an inferior price when the market maker trades a specified number of contracts in that class as a whole within a fixed time period. That time period currently is a rolling 30 seconds. 4 Market Makers can specify a number of contracts ("the exposure limit") by class. For example, if a market maker establishes an exposure limit of 1,000 contracts in XYZ options, the system will move the market maker's quotations in all series of XYZ options to an inferior price following one or more transactions that result in the aggregate execution of 1,000 contracts in XYZ options, regardless of the series in which those trades occur. By limiting its exposure across series, a market maker is better able to quote aggressively in an option, knowing that the speed bump will automatically move all its quotations in a class when its exposure limit is hit.

• "Tick-worse" functionality. Among other things, ISE Rules 803 and 804 require: (1) Primary Market Makers to provide continuous quotations in all their assigned options; and (2) Competitive Market Makers generally to provide continuous quotations in 60 percent of their assigned options. If the size of a market maker's quotation in a series is exhausted, ISE rules effectively require the market maker to immediately establish a new quotation, either at the same or different price. ISE provides market makers with "tick-worse" functionality that allows market

makers to pre-define the prices and sizes at which the system will automatically move their quotation following an execution that exhausts the size of their existing quotation. Having this functionality in the central exchange system—rather than having market makers themselves send refreshed quotations when they receive a report of an execution exhausting their quotations—helps market makers maintain continuous quotations when their displayed quotations are exhausted.

 "Step-up" functionality. Until recently, ISE Rule 804(b) required that all of the ISE's disseminated quotations be for at least 10 contracts. To achieve compliance with that requirement, the rule prohibited market makers from initially entering a quotation of less than 10 contracts. Furthermore, if a market maker's quotation fell below 10 contracts due to executions at the quotation price, and the disseminated ISE quotation would be less than 10 contracts, ISE Rule 804(b) also required market makers to reestablish their quotation for at least 10 contracts (at the same price or a different price). In order to help market makers meet these obligations, the ISE developed the "step-up" functionality permitting a market maker to refresh its quotation to 10 contracts when an execution decrements the quotation below that size (if the best disseminated quotation on the Exchange would be less than 10 contracts). The Commission recently approved amendments to ISE Rule 804 eliminating the requirement that the ISE disseminate quotations of at least 10 contracts.<sup>5</sup> Under ISE Rule 804(b), while market makers still must initially establish quotations of at least 10 contracts, they do not need to reestablish 10-contract quotes if their quotation is decremented due to executions at the quotation price. Although there is no current regulatory need for the step-up functionality, certain market makers continue to use it to maintain 10 contract quotations, and the ISE continues to offer it as a voluntary tool.

### 2. Statutory Basis

The Exchange believes the basis under the Act for this proposed rule change is the requirement under section 6(b)(5) 6 that an exchange have rules that are designed to prevent fraudulent and manipulative acts and practices, to promote just and equitable principles of

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>4</sup> If the ISE were to change this time period it would do so in a notice to market makers.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>5</sup> See Securities Exchange Act Release No. 49602 (April 22, 2004), 69 FR 23841 (April 30, 2004) (SR–ISE–2003–26).

<sup>6 15</sup> U.S.C. 78f(b)(5).

trade, to foster cooperation and coordination with persons engaged in regulating, clearing, settling, processing information with respect to, and facilitating transaction in securities, to remove impediments to and perfect the mechanism for a free and open market and a national market system, and, in general, to protect investors and the public interest. In particular, the rule change will codify the ability of ISE members to use ISE-provided functionality to maintain competitive and liquid quotations.

B. Self-Regulatory Organization's Statement on Burden on Competition

The Exchange believes that the proposed rule change does not impose any burden on competition that is not necessary or appropriate in furtherance of the purposes of the Act.

C. Self-Regulatory Organization's Statement on Comments on the Proposed Rule Change Received From Members, Participants, or Others

The Exchange has not solicited, and does not intend to solicit, comments on this proposed rule change. The Exchange has not received any unsolicited written comments from members or other interested parties.

## III. Date of Effectiveness of the Proposed Rule Change and Timing for Commission Action

Within 35 days of the date of publication of this notice in the **Federal Register** or within such longer period (i) as the Commission may designate up to 90 days of such date if it finds such longer period to be appropriate and publishes its reasons for so finding, or (ii) as to which the Exchange consents, the Commission will:

(A) By order approve such proposed rule change; or

(B) Institute proceedings to determine whether the proposed rule change should be disapproved.

### IV. Solicitation of Comments

Interested persons are invited to submit written data, views, and arguments concerning the foregoing, including whether the proposed rule change is consistent with the Act. Comments may be submitted by any of the following methods:

#### Electronic Comments

- Use the Commission's Internet comment form (http://www.sec.gov/rules/sro.shtml); or
- Send an e-mail to rulecomments@sec.gov. Please include File Number SR-ISE-2004-31 on the subject line.

Paper Comments

• Send paper comments in triplicate to Jonathan G. Katz, Secretary, Securities and Exchange Commission, 450 Fifth Street, NW., Washington, DC 20549–0609.

All submissions should refer to File Number SR-ISE-2004-31. This file number should be included on the subject line if e-mail is used. To help the Commission process and review your comments more efficiently, please use only one method. The Commission will post all comments on the Commission's Internet Web site (http://www.sec.gov/ rules/sro.shtml). Copies of the submission, all subsequent amendments, all written statements with respect to the proposed rule change that are filed with the Commission, and all written communications relating to the proposed rule change between the Commission and any person, other than those that may be withheld from the public in accordance with the provisions of 5 U.S.C. 552, will be available for inspection and copying in the Commission's Public Reference Section, 450 Fifth Street, NW., Washington, DC 20549. Copies of such filing also will be available for inspection and copying at the principal office of the ISE. All comments received will be posted without change; the Commission does not edit personal identifying information from submissions. You should submit only information that you wish to make publicly available. All submissions should refer to File Number SR-ISE-2004-31 and should be submitted on or before January 4, 2005.

For the Commission, by the Division of Market Regulation, pursuant to delegated authority.<sup>7</sup>

# Jill M. Peterson,

Assistant Secretary.

[FR Doc. E4-3611 Filed 12-13-04; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 8010-01-P

# SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION

[Release No. 34–50820; File No. SR–MSRB–2004–06]

Self-Regulatory Organizations; Municipal Securities Rulemaking Board; Order Approving Proposed Rule Change To Create Real-Time Transaction Price Service and Propose Annual Subscription Fee

December 8, 2004.

On October 26, 2004, the Municipal Securities Rulemaking Board ("MSRB" or "Board"), filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission ("SEC" or "Commission"), pursuant to Section 19(b)(1) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 ("Act"),1 and Rule 19b-4 thereunder,2 a proposed rule change to create the Real-Time Transaction Price Service (the "Service") and propose an annual subscription fee for the Service. The proposed rule change was published for comment in the Federal Register on November 4, 2004.3 The Commission received one comment letter regarding the proposal.<sup>4</sup> On November 30, 2004, the MSRB filed a response to the comment letter.<sup>5</sup> This order approves the proposed rule change.

The Commission finds that the proposed rule change is consistent with the requirements of the Act and the rules and regulations thereunder applicable to the MSRB 6 and, in particular, the requirements of Section 15B(b)(2)(C) of the Act and the rules and regulations thereunder.<sup>7</sup> Section 15B(b)(2)(C) of the Act requires, among other things, that the MSRB's rules be designed to prevent fraudulent and manipulative acts and practices, to promote just and equitable principles of trade, to foster cooperation and coordination with persons engaged in regulating, clearing, settling, processing information with respect to, and facilitating transactions in municipal securities, to remove impediments to

<sup>7 17</sup> CFR 200.30-3(a)(12).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> 15 U.S.C. 78s(b)(1).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> 17 CFR 240.19b-4.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup> See Securities Exchange Act Release No. 50605 (October 29, 2004), 69 FR 64346 (November 4, 2004) ("Notice").

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>4</sup> See e-mail letter from Al Adler, CEO, Munibond.com, to *rule-comments@sec.gov*, dated November 4, 2004 ("Mr. Adler's Letter").

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>5</sup> See letter from Jill C. Finder, Assistant General Counsel, MSRB, to Martha M. Haines, Chief, Office of Municipal Securities, Division of Market Regulation, Commission, dated November 30, 2004 ("MSRB's Response Letter").

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>6</sup> In approving this rule the Commission notes that it has considered the proposed rule's impact on efficiency, competition and capital formation. 15 U.S.C. 78cffl.

<sup>7 15</sup> U.S.C. 780-4(b)(2)(C).