Air Act. Accordingly, this proposed action merely approves state law as meeting Federal requirements and does not impose additional requirements beyond those imposed by state law. For that reason, this proposed action:

- Is not a significant regulatory action subject to review by the Office of Management and Budget under Executive Orders 12866 (58 FR 51735, October 4, 1993);
- Is not subject to Executive Order 14192 (90 FR 9065, February 6, 2025) because SIP actions are exempt from review under Executive Order 12866;
- Does not impose an information collection burden under the provisions of the Paperwork Reduction Act (44 U.S.C. 3501 *et seq.*);
- Is certified as not having a significant economic impact on a substantial number of small entities under the Regulatory Flexibility Act (5 U.S.C. 601 *et seq.*);
- Does not contain any unfunded mandate or significantly or uniquely affect small governments, as described in the Unfunded Mandates Reform Act of 1995 (Pub. L. 104–4);
- Does not have federalism implications as specified in Executive Order 13132 (64 FR 43255, August 10, 1999);
- Is not subject to Executive Order 13045 (62 FR 19885, April 23, 1997) because it approves a state program;
- Is not a significant regulatory action subject to Executive Order 13211 (66 FR 28355, May 22, 2001); and
- Is not subject to requirements of Section 12(d) of the National Technology Transfer and Advancement Act of 1995 (15 U.S.C. 272 note) because application of those requirements would be inconsistent with the Clean Air Act.

In addition, the SIP is not approved to apply on any Indian reservation land or in any other area where EPA or an Indian tribe has demonstrated that a tribe has jurisdiction. In those areas of Indian country, the rule does not have tribal implications and will not impose substantial direct costs on tribal governments or preempt tribal law as specified by Executive Order 13175 (65 FR 67249, November 9, 2000).

### List of Subjects in 40 CFR Part 52

Environmental protection, Air pollution control, Carbon monoxide, Incorporation by reference, Intergovernmental relations, Lead, Nitrogen dioxide, Ozone, Particulate matter, Reporting and recordkeeping requirements, Sulfur oxides, Volatile organic compounds.

Authority: 42 U.S.C. 7401 et seq.

Dated: August 4, 2025.

#### Mark Sanborn,

Regional Administrator, EPA Region 1. [FR Doc. 2025–15459 Filed 8–13–25; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 6560–50–P

## ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY

### 40 CFR Part 52

[EPA-R04-OAR-2024-0163; FRL-12823-01-R4]

Air Plan Approval; North Carolina; Revisions to Regulations for Sulfur Dioxide Emissions From Combustion Sources

**AGENCY:** Environmental Protection Agency (EPA).

**ACTION:** Proposed rule.

SUMMARY: The Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) is proposing to approve a State Implementation Plan (SIP) revision submitted by the North Carolina Division of Environmental Quality (NCDEQ), Division of Air Quality (DAQ) on November 28, 2023, for the purpose of revising regulations that establish sulfur dioxide (SO<sub>2</sub>) emission limits and compliance parameters for SO<sub>2</sub>-emitting combustion sources in the State. EPA is proposing to approve these changes pursuant to the Clean Air Act (CAA or Act) and EPA regulations.

**DATES:** Comments must be received on or before September 15, 2025.

ADDRESSES: Submit your comments, identified by Docket ID No. EPA-R04-OAR-2024-0163 at regulations.gov. Follow the online instructions for submitting comments. Once submitted, comments cannot be edited or removed from Regulations.gov. EPA may publish any comment received to its public docket. Do not submit electronically any information you consider to be Confidential Business Information (CBI) or other information whose disclosure is restricted by statute. Multimedia submissions (audio, video, etc.) must be accompanied by a written comment. The written comment is considered the official comment and should include discussion of all points you wish to make. EPA will generally not consider comments or comment contents located outside of the primary submission (i.e., on the web, cloud, or other file sharing system). For additional submission methods, the full EPA public comment policy, information about CBI or multimedia submissions, and general guidance on making effective comments, please visit https://

www.epa.gov/dockets/commenting-epadockets.

### FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

Matthew Bloemer, Multi-Air Pollutant Coordination Section, Air Planning and Implementation Branch, Air and Radiation Division, U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, Region 4, 61 Forsyth Street SW, Atlanta, Georgia 30303–8960. The telephone number is (404) 562–9653. Mr. Bloemer can also be reached via electronic mail at Bloemer.Matthew@epa.gov.

### SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

## I. Background

EPA is proposing to approve a SIP revision submitted by the NCDEQ, on November 28, 2023, that revises Rule 15A North Carolina Administrative Code (NCAC) 02D .0516, Sulfur Dioxide Emissions from Combustible Sources. Rule 02D .0516 requires sources emitting SO<sub>2</sub> through combustion and discharging through a vent, stack, or chimney to comply with an emission standard of 2.3 pounds per million British thermal unit (lbs/MMBtu). The Rule also provides criteria for how affected sources should determine compliance with the emission standard. North Carolina's November 28, 2023, SIP revision seeks to modify the Rule 02D .0516 applicability criteria respecting control devices and the provisions for determining compliance with the SO<sub>2</sub> emission standard. More specifically, the SIP revision seeks to provide clarity and consistency with North Carolina's position that the use of supplemental fuels in combustion units beyond what is needed for proper operation is not a means for compliance with the SO<sub>2</sub> emission standard at Rule 02D .0516.

 $SO_2$  is one of the group of gases called sulfur oxides  $(SO_X)$ . EPA's  $SO_2$  national ambient air quality standards (NAAQS) are designed to protect against exposure to the entire group of  $SO_X$ . Thus, control measures that reduce  $SO_2$  emissions can generally be expected to reduce exposure to all gaseous  $SO_X$ . Emissions of  $SO_2$  are mostly generated through the combustion of fuel or waste that contain sulfur.

North Carolina's November 28, 2023, SIP revision was developed in response

 $<sup>^{1}\,\</sup>mathrm{The}$  primary SO<sub>2</sub> NAAQS is 75 parts per billion (ppb) (measured as the 99th percentile of 1-hour daily maximum concentrations, averaged over 3 years). See 40 CFR 50.17. Short-term exposures to SO<sub>2</sub> can harm the respiratory system and make breathing difficult. People with asthma, particularly children, are sensitive to these effects of SO<sub>2</sub>. At high concentrations, gaseous SO<sub>X</sub> can harm trees and plants by damaging foliage and decreasing growth.

to a declaratory ruling <sup>2</sup> issued by the State's Environmental Management Commission (EMC) that the plain language of Rule 02D .0516 does not prohibit the use of supplemental fuels, including natural gas, to determine compliance with the 2.3 lbs/MMBtu SO<sub>2</sub> emission standard. As a result, NCDEQ revised Rule 02D .0516 to align with its position that sources cannot demonstrate compliance with the SO<sub>2</sub> emission standard by burning excess supplemental fuel beyond what is necessary for proper operation of a combustion unit.

# II. North Carolina's SIP Revision and EPA's Analysis

North Carolina's SIP revision modifies and reformats Rule 02D .0516 such that paragraph (a) is split into two separate paragraphs, (a) and (b); renumbers existing paragraph 02D .0516(b) to new paragraph 02D .0516(c); and includes minor grammatical and rewording edits for clarity. Changes to paragraph 02D .0516(a) include the addition of the phrase "air pollution control devices" and the term "flare" as well as minor grammatical edits due to these additions. These amendments clarify that any source of combustion includes air pollution control devices and that the rule applies to subject emissions discharged through a flare as well as a chimney, vent, or stack.

The compliance language at paragraph 02D. 0516(a) in the SIP states, "Sulfur dioxide formed by the combustion of sulfur in fuels, wastes, ores and other substances shall be included when determining compliance with this standard. Sulfur dioxide formed or reduced as a result of treating flue gases with sulfur trioxide or other materials shall also be accounted for when determining compliance with this standard." This language is moved to paragraph 02D. 0516(b) as subparagraphs (b)(1)1 and (b)(1)2. The criteria at subparagraph (b)(1) is further amended by removing the phrase "included when determining

compliance with this standard." This language is repurposed as the introduction of paragraph (b), "When determining compliance with this standard" (i.e., the SO<sub>2</sub> emission standard at paragraph 02D. 0516(a)). The criteria at paragraph (b)(2) is revised by replacing the phrase "also be accounted for when determining compliance with this standard" with the phrase "be included in the computation of emissions." This amendment clarifies the discharge or reduction of SO<sub>2</sub> through the stated process shall be included in the computation of emissions to determine compliance with the SO<sub>2</sub> emission standard.

The SIP revision also adds the following new compliance requirement at paragraph 02D. 0516(b)(3): "the determination of Btu input shall not include the contribution from any portion of fuels used exclusively to inflate the heat input value used to demonstrate compliance with the emission standard in Paragraph (a) of this Rule." The addition of paragraph (b)(3) provides that the computation of emissions to determine compliance with the emission standard shall not include SO<sub>2</sub> emissions from the use of excess supplemental fuel beyond what is necessary to operate a combustion unit. The revisions to paragraph (b)(3) provide clarity and consistency with the State's position that the use of supplemental fuel beyond what is needed for proper operation of a combustion unit is not a means for determining compliance with the 2.3 lbs/MMBtu SO<sub>2</sub> emission limit.

Former paragraph 02D. 0516(b) is renumbered to paragraph 02D. 0516(c) with no modifications to the original language. Lastly, DAQ's SIP revision also makes non-substantive grammatical or typographical changes because of modifications to Rule 02D .0516. DAQ's revisions to Rule 15A NCAC 02D .0516 became state-effective on June 1, 2023.

For the reasons discussed above, these proposed changes will not interfere with any applicable requirement concerning attainment and reasonable further progress, or any other applicable requirement of the Act. Therefore, EPA is proposing to approve North Carolina's November 28, 2023, SIP revision.

### III. Incorporation by Reference

In this document, EPA is proposing to include in a final EPA rule regulatory text that includes incorporation by reference. In accordance with requirements of 1 CFR 51.5, and as discussed in Sections I and II of this preamble, EPA is proposing to incorporate by reference North Carolina Rule 15A NCAC 02D .0516, Sulfur

Dioxide Emissions from Combustible Sources, effective June 1, 2023, which clarifies that sources cannot demonstrate compliance with the SO<sub>2</sub> emission standard in the rule by burning excess supplemental fuel beyond what is necessary for proper operation of the combustion unit. EPA has made, and will continue to make, these materials generally available through www.regulations.gov and at the EPA Region 4 office (please contact the person identified in the "For Further Information Contact" section of this preamble for more information).

## IV. Proposed Action

EPA is proposing to approve North Carolina's November 28, 2023, SIP revision at Rule 15A NCAC 02D .0516 to provide clarity and consistency with the State's position that the use of supplemental fuels in combustion units beyond what is needed for proper operation is not a means for compliance with the 2.3 lbs/MMBtu  $SO_2$  emission standard at Rule 02D .0516.

## V. Statutory and Executive Order Reviews

Under the CAA, the Administrator is required to approve a SIP submission that complies with the provisions of the CAA and applicable Federal regulations. See 42 U.S.C. 7410(k); 40 CFR 52.02(a). Thus, in reviewing SIP submissions, EPA's role is to approve state choices, provided that they meet the criteria of the CAA. Accordingly, this proposed action merely proposes to approve state law as meeting Federal requirements and does not impose additional requirements beyond those imposed by state law. For that reason, this proposed action:

- Is not a significant regulatory action subject to review by the Office of Management and Budget under Executive Order 12866 (58 FR 51735, October 4, 1993);
- Is not subject to Executive Order 14192 (90 FR 9065, February 6, 2025) because SIP actions are exempt from review under Executive Order 12866;
- Does not impose an information collection burden under the provisions of the Paperwork Reduction Act (44 U.S.C. 3501 *et seq.*);
- Is certified as not having a significant economic impact on a substantial number of small entities under the Regulatory Flexibility Act (5 U.S.C. 601 *et seq.*);
- Does not contain any unfunded mandate or significantly or uniquely affect small governments, as described in the Unfunded Mandates Reform Act of 1995 (Pub. L. 104–4);

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> North Carolina's declaratory rulings are authorized by the State's Administrative Procedure Act pursuant to N.C. Gen. Stat. § 150B-4. Using this process, an individual aggrieved may request that an agency, in relevant part, "issue a declaratory ruling as to the validity of a rule or as to the applicability to a given state of facts of a statute administered by the agency or of a rule or order of the agency." N.C. Gen. Stat. § 150B–4(a). As set forth in N.C. Gen. Stat. § 150B–4(a), a declaratory ruling must fall into one of three categories: (1) a request regarding the validity of a rule, (2) a request regarding the application of a statute, rule, or order within the agency's purview "to a given state of facts," or (3) a request to resolve inconsistent interpretations of the agency's rules. See North Carolina's November 28, 2023, SIP revision in the docket.

- Does not have federalism implications as specified in Executive Order 13132 (64 FR 43255, August 10, 1999);
- Is not subject to Executive Order 13045 (62 FR 19885, April 23, 1997) because it approves a state program;
- Is not a significant regulatory action subject to Executive Order 13211 (66 FR 28355, May 22, 2001); and
- Is not subject to requirements of Section 12(d) of the National Technology Transfer and Advancement Act of 1995 (15 U.S.C. 272 note) because application of those requirements would be inconsistent with the CAA.

In addition, the SIP is not approved to apply on any Indian reservation land or in any other area where EPA or an Indian tribe has demonstrated that a tribe has jurisdiction. In those areas of Indian country, the rule does not have Tribal implications and will not impose substantial direct costs on Tribal governments or preempt Tribal law as specified by Executive Order 13175 (65 FR 67249, November 9, 2000).

### List of Subjects in 40 CFR Part 52

Environmental protection, Air pollution control, Carbon monoxide, Incorporation by reference, Intergovernmental relations, Nitrogen dioxide, Reporting and recordkeeping requirements, Sulfur oxides.

(Authority: 42 U.S.C. 7401 et seq.)

Dated: August 6, 2025.

### Kevin McOmber,

Regional Administrator, Region 4.

[FR Doc. 2025–15460 Filed 8–13–25; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6560–50–P

BILLING CODE 6560-50-P

## ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY

### 40 CFR Part 52

[EPA-R04-OAR-2024-0606; FRL-12862-01-R4]

# Air Plan Approval; GA; Updates to the Cross-State Air Pollution Rule

AGENCY: Environmental Protection

Agency (EPA).

**ACTION:** Proposed rule.

SUMMARY: The Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) is proposing to approve a State Implementation Plan (SIP) revision submitted through the Georgia Environmental Protection Division (GA EPD) on July 18, 2024, regarding updates to the State's Cross-State Air Pollution Rule (CSAPR) emissions trading programs. The SIP revision incorporates by reference (IBRs) certain amendments EPA has made to the

regulations for the Federal CSAPR trading programs for annual emissions of nitrogen oxides (NO<sub>X</sub>) and sulfur dioxide (SO<sub>2</sub>) and the Federal CSAPR trading program for NO<sub>X</sub> emissions during the ozone season from May 1 to September 30, all three of which apply to large electric generating units (EGUs). EPA created these Federal trading programs in 2011 as market-based mechanisms for Georgia and certain other states to address their obligations to downwind states under the Clean Air Act's (CAA's) good neighbor provision with respect to the national ambient air quality standards (NAAQS) for fine particulate matter (PM<sub>2.5</sub>) and groundlevel ozone. The SIP revision also updates the definition for "Volatile Organic Compound." EPA is proposing to approve Georgia's July 18, 2024, SIP revision because it is consistent with EPA's good neighbor CSAPR trading programs and the CAA.

**DATES:** Comments must be received on or before September 15, 2025.

**ADDRESSES:** Submit your comments, identified by Docket ID No. EPA-R04-OAR-2024-0606 at

www.regulations.gov. Follow the online instructions for submitting comments. Once submitted, comments cannot be edited or removed from Regulations.gov. EPA may publish any comment received to its public docket. Do not submit electronically any information vou consider to be Confidential Business Information (CBI) or other information whose disclosure is restricted by statute. Multimedia submissions (audio, video, etc.) must be accompanied by a written comment. The written comment is considered the official comment and should include discussion of all points you wish to make. EPA will generally not consider comments or comment contents located outside of the primary submission (i.e., on the web, cloud, or other file sharing system). For additional submission methods, the full EPA public comment policy, information about CBI or multimedia submissions, and general guidance on making effective comments, please visit https://www.epa.gov/dockets/ commenting-epa-dockets.

## FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

Josue Ortiz Borrero, Air Regulatory Management Section, Air Planning and Implementation Branch, Air and Radiation Division, U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, Region 4, 61 Forsyth Street SW, Atlanta, Georgia 30303–8960. Mr. Ortiz can be reached via phone number (404) 562–8085 or via electronic mail at ortizborrero.josue@epa.gov.

### SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

#### I. Overview

EPA is proposing to approve GA EPD's July 18, 2024, SIP submission which updates the State's regulations for trading programs at Rule 391-3-1-.02(12), "Cross State Air Pollution Rule NO<sub>X</sub> Annual Trading Program;" Rule 391-3-1-.02(13), "Cross State Air Pollution Rule SO<sub>2</sub> Annual Trading Program;" and Rule 391–3–1–.02(14), "Cross State Air Pollution Rule NO<sub>X</sub> Ozone Season Trading Program." Large EGUs in Georgia are subject to these three State CSAPR trading programs for annual NO<sub>x</sub> and SO<sub>2</sub> emissions—which are precursors to PM<sub>2.5</sub>—and ozone season NO<sub>X</sub> emissions—which are precursors to ground-level ozone—to address the State's good neighbor obligations with respect to the 1997 annual fine particulate matter (PM<sub>2.5</sub>) NAAQS, the 2006 24-hour PM<sub>2.5</sub> NAAQS, and the 1997 8-hour ozone NAAQS. Pursuant to CAA section 110(a)(2)(D)(i)(I), known as the "good neighbor" provision, states are required to address air pollution from sources within their jurisdiction that affects downwind states' ability to attain and maintain the NAAOS. Šee 42 U.S.C. 7410(a)(2)(D)(i)(I). The State CSAPR trading programs are integrated with the Federal CSAPR NO<sub>X</sub> Annual Trading Program, the Federal CSAPR NO<sub>X</sub> Ozone Season Group 1 Trading Program, and the Federal CSAPR SO<sub>2</sub> Group 2 Trading Program established by EPA's regulations at 40 CFR part 97, subparts AAAAA, BBBBB, and DDDDD, respectively. As adopted by the State before this SIP revision, and as previously approved by EPA into Georgia's SIP, Georgia's CSAPR trading program regulations generally IBR the Federal CSAPR trading program regulations as the Federal regulations had been amended through October 26, 2016.1

Georgia's July 18, 2024, SIP submission updates the IBR language to reflect amendments EPA made to the Federal CSAPR trading program regulations in the 2021 Revised CSAPR Update,<sup>2</sup> the 2022 Recordation Rule,<sup>3</sup> the 2023 Good Neighbor Plan <sup>4</sup> (GNP), and a subsequent interim final rule

 $<sup>^{1}\,\</sup>rm On~October~26,~2016, EPA~published~the~CSAPR$  Update. See Cross-State Air Pollution Rule Update for the 2008 Ozone NAAQS, 81 FR 74504.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> Revised Cross-State Air Pollution Rule Update for the 2008 Ozone NAAQS, 86 FR 23054 (April 30, 2021)

 $<sup>^3</sup>$  Deadlines for Submission and Recordation of Allowance Allocations Under the Cross-State Air Pollution Rule (CSAPR) Trading Programs and the Texas SO<sub>2</sub> Trading Program, 87 FR 52473 (August 26, 2022).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>4</sup> Federal "Good Neighbor Plan" for the 2015 Ozone National Ambient Air Quality Standards, 88 FR 36654 (June 5, 2023).