

Repetitive Inspections

(d) Thereafter, inspect, clean, and moisture purge, and if necessary, blend any high metal, nicks, or burrs on fitting threads of each engine in accordance with the Accomplishment Instructions, Section 3, of GE ASB No. GE90 73-A0060, Revision 3, dated September 14, 2000, within:

- (1) 30 CIS since-last-inspection, or,
- (2) If applicable, 125 CIS since-last-inspection for one-engine-only per airplane.

Replacement Engines

(e) For replacement engines, perform the initial inspection, cleaning, and moisture purging, and if necessary, blend any high metal, nicks, or burrs on fitting threads as specified in paragraph (b) of this AD, except perform initial inspection before accumulating 30 CIS or 125 CIS, depending on the existing inspection interval for the engine that was replaced.

Idle Leak Check or Dual Signoff Procedure Check

(f) After accomplishing the inspection and maintenance actions specified in paragraphs (b) through (e) of this AD, and before entry into service, do either of the following:

- (1) Perform an idle leak check to confirm no P3B or Ps3 sense system faults in accordance with Accomplishment Instructions, Section 3, paragraph (15), of GE ASB No. GE90 73-A0060, Revision 3, dated September 14, 2000, or,
- (2) Perform a dual signoff procedure check to confirm there are no loose fittings that could cause P3B and Ps3 sense system faults, in accordance with Accomplishment Instructions, Section 3, paragraph (15), of GE ASB No. GE90 73-A0060, Revision 3, dated September 14, 2000. Idle leak checks that were performed using GE ASB No. GE90 73-A0060, dated December 23, 1999, and idle leak checks or dual signoff procedure checks that were performed using GE ASB No. GE90 73-A0060, Revision 1, dated March 1, 2000, or GE ASB No. GE90 73-A0060, Revision 2, dated May 12, 2000, may be considered as alternative methods of compliance for this requirement.

Installation of Redesigned Hardware

(g) At the next engine shop visit after the effective date of this AD, but not later than October 31, 2001, install the redesigned P3B and Ps3 tubes, hoses, clamps, and bracket assembly in accordance with Accomplishment Instructions, Section 3.A. through 3.H. of GE ASB No. GE90 S/B 75-0031, Revision 3, dated March 30, 2001.

Definition

(h) For the purposes of this AD, an engine shop visit is defined as any time an engine has maintenance performed that involves separation of a major flange, such as removal of the low pressure turbine module, or high pressure compressor top case half.

Credit for Installation of Redesigned Hardware

(i) Hardware installation that was performed using GE ASB No. GE90 S/B 75-0031, Revision 2, dated September 14, 2000; or GE ASB No. GE90 S/B 75-0031, Revision

1, dated August 29, 2000, may be considered as alternative methods of compliance for this requirement.

No Simultaneous Actions

(j) Do not perform the actions required by this AD concurrently on both engines installed on Boeing 777 series aircraft.

Old Configuration Hardware

(k) After the effective date of this AD, do not install any of the old configuration hardware listed in the following table.

OLD CONFIGURATION HARDWARE NOT TO BE INSTALLED

Part	Part No.
Ps3 Tube	350-151-505-0 350-184-806-0 350-114-005-0
Ps3 Hose	649-794-573-0
P3B Tube	350-151-604-0 350-184-904-0 350-114-105-0
P3B Hose	649-794-572-0
Single Tube Clamp	2151M51P107
Double Tube Clamp	2151M52P102
Bracket Assembly	350-178-309-0 350-178-311-0

Terminating Action

(l) Installation of redesigned hardware as specified in paragraph (g) of this AD constitutes terminating action for requirements of paragraph (d) and paragraph (e) of this AD.

Alternative Methods of Compliance

(m) An alternative method of compliance or adjustment of the compliance time that provides an acceptable level of safety may be used if approved by the Manager, Engine Certification Office (ECO). Operators shall submit their requests through an appropriate FAA Principal Maintenance Inspector, who may add comments and then send it to the Manager, ECO.

Note 2: Information concerning the existence of approved alternative methods of compliance with this airworthiness directive, if any, may be obtained from the ECO.

Special Flight Permits

(n) Special flight permits may be issued in accordance with sections 21.197 and 21.199 of the Federal Aviation Regulations (14 CFR 21.197 and 21.199) to operate the aircraft to a location where the requirements of this AD can be accomplished.

Issued in Burlington, Massachusetts, on June 4, 2001.

Francis A. Favara,

Acting Manager, Engine and Propeller Directorate, Aircraft Certification Service.

[FR Doc. 01-14671 Filed 6-11-01; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4910-13-P

DEPARTMENT OF THE INTERIOR**Office of Surface Mining Reclamation and Enforcement****30 CFR Part 920**

[MD-050-FOR]

Maryland Regulatory Program

AGENCY: Office of Surface Mining Reclamation and Enforcement (OSM), Interior.

ACTION: Proposed rule; public comment period and opportunity for public hearing.

SUMMARY: OSM is announcing receipt of a proposed amendment to the Maryland regulatory program (Maryland program) under the Surface Mining Control and Reclamation Act of 1977 (SMCRA). The proposed amendment consists of a revision to the Maryland statutes pertaining to the use of financial disclosure forms by the Land Reclamation Committee to satisfy a required program amendment at 30 CFR 920.16(l). The amendment is intended to revise the Maryland program to be no less effective than the corresponding Federal regulations.

DATES: If you submit written comments, they must be received by 4 p.m., E.D.T., July 12, 2001. If requested, a public hearing on the proposed amendment will be held on July 9, 2001. Requests to speak at the hearing must be received by 4:00 p.m., E.D.T., on June 27, 2001.

ADDRESSES: Mail or hand-deliver your written comments and requests to speak at the hearing to Mr. George Rieger, Manager, Oversight and Inspection Office, at the address listed below. You may review copies of the Maryland program, the proposed amendment, a listing of any scheduled public hearings, and all written comments received in response to this document at the addresses listed below during normal business hours, Monday through Friday, excluding holidays. You may receive one free copy of the proposed amendment by contacting OSM's Appalachian Regional Coordinating Center.

George Rieger, Manager, Oversight and Inspection Office
Appalachian Regional Coordinating Center

Office of Surface Mining Reclamation and Enforcement 3 Parkway Center,
Pittsburgh PA 15220

Telephone: (412) 937-2153

E-mail: grieger@osmre.gov

Maryland Bureau of Mines
160 South Water Street
Frostburg, Maryland 21532

Telephone: (301) 689-4136

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

George Rieger, Manager, Oversight and Inspection Office, Appalachian Regional Coordinating Center, Telephone: (412) 937-2153.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

I. Background on the Maryland Program

On February 18, 1982, the Secretary of the Interior approved the Maryland program. You can find background information on the Maryland program, including the Secretary's findings, the disposition of comments, and the conditions of approval in the February 18, 1982, **Federal Register** (47 FR 7214). You can find subsequent actions concerning the conditions of approval and program amendments at 30 CFR 920.15 and 920.16.

II. Description of the Proposed Amendment

By an undated letter received by OSM on May 7, 2001 (Administrative Record No. 578-12), Maryland submitted a copy of House Bill 984 as a formal proposed amendment to its program. The House Bill was enacted to require members of the Land Reclamation Committee to file a United States Department of Interior State Employee Statement of Employment and Financial Interests. Maryland submitted the formal amendment to satisfy a required amendment at 30 CFR 920.16(l).

Maryland proposes to add new paragraph 4. to Section 15-204 of the Annotated Code of the Public General Laws of Maryland, Environment, as follows:

(4) Members of the Land Reclamation Committee shall file a United States Department of Interior State Employee Statement of Employment and Financial Interests.

As a result of the proposed addition above, existing paragraph (4) is re-numbered as paragraph (5).

III. Public Comment Procedures

In accordance with the provisions of 30 CFR 732.17(h), OSM is seeking comments on whether the proposed amendment satisfies the applicable program approval criteria of 30 CFR 732.15. If the amendment is deemed adequate, it will become part of the Maryland program.

Written Comments: If you submit written or electronic comments on the proposed rule during the 30-day comment period, they should be specific, should be confined to issues pertinent to the notice, and should explain the reason for your recommendation(s). We may not be able

to consider or include in the Administrative Record comments delivered to an address other than the one listed above (see **ADDRESSES**).

Electronic comments: Please submit Internet comments as an ASCII, WordPerfect, or Word file avoiding the use of special characters and any form of encryption. Please also include Attn: SPATS NO. MD-050-FOR" and your name and return address in your Internet message. If you do not receive a confirmation that we have received your Internet message, contact the Appalachian Regional Coordinating Center at (412) 937-2153.

Availability of Comments: Our practice is to make comments, including names and home addresses of respondents, available for public review during regular business hours at the OSM Administrative Record Room (see **ADDRESSES**). Individual respondents may request that we withhold their home address from the rulemaking record, which we will honor to the extent allowable by law. There also may be circumstances in which we would withhold from the rulemaking record a respondent's identity, as allowable by law. If you wish us to withhold your name and/or address, you must state this prominently at the beginning of your comment. However, we will not consider anonymous comments. We will make all submissions from organizations or businesses, and from individuals identifying themselves as representatives or officials of organizations or businesses, available for public inspection in their entirety.

Public Hearing: If you wish to speak at the public hearing, you should contact the person listed under **FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT** by 4:00 p.m., E.D.T. on June 27, 2001. The location and time of the hearing will be arranged with those persons requesting the hearing. If no one requests an opportunity to speak at the public hearing, the hearing will not be held.

To assist the transcriber and ensure an accurate record, we request, if possible, that each person who testifies at a public hearing provide us with a written copy of his or her testimony. The public hearing will continue on the specified date until all persons scheduled to speak have been heard. If you are in the audience and have not been scheduled to speak and wish to do so, will be allowed to speak after those who have been scheduled. We will end the hearing after all persons scheduled to speak and persons present in the audience who wish to speak have been heard.

Any disabled individual who has need for a special accommodation to

attend a public hearing should contact the individual listed under **FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT**.

Public Meeting: If only one person requests an opportunity to speak at a hearing, a public meeting, rather than a public hearing, may be held. If you wish to meet with OSM representatives to discuss the proposed amendment, you may request a meeting by contacting the person listed under **FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT**. All such meetings will be open to the public and, if possible, notices of meetings will be posted at the locations listed under **ADDRESSES**. A written summary of each meeting will be made a part of the Administrative Record.

IV. Procedural Determinations

Executive Order 12866—Regulatory Planning and Review

This rule is exempted from review by the Office of Management and Budget (OMB) under Executive Order 12866.

Executive Order 12630—Takings

This rule does not have takings implications. This determination is based on the analysis performed for the counterpart federal regulation.

Executive Order 13132—Federalism

This rule does not have federalism implications. SMCRA delineates the roles of the federal and state governments with regard to the regulation of surface coal mining and reclamation operations. One of the purposes of SMCRA is to "establish a nationwide program to protect society and the environment from the adverse effects of surface coal mining operations." Section 503(a)(1) of SMCRA requires that state laws regulating surface coal mining and reclamation operations be "in accordance with" the requirements of SMCRA, and section 503(a)(7) requires that state programs contain rules and regulations "consistent with" regulations issued by the Secretary pursuant to SMCRA.

Executive Order 12988—Civil Justice Reform

The Department of the Interior has conducted the reviews required by section 3 of Executive Order 12988 and has determined that, to the extent allowed by law, this rule meets the applicable standards of subsections (a) and (b) of that section. However, these standards are not applicable to the actual language of state regulatory programs and program amendments since each such program is drafted and promulgated by a specific state, not by OSM. Under sections 503 and 505 of

SMCRA (30 U.S.C. 1253 and 1255) and 30 CFR 730.11, 732.15, and 732.17(h)(10), decisions on proposed state regulatory programs and program amendments submitted by the states must be based solely on a determination of whether the submittal is consistent with SMCRA and its implementing federal regulations and whether the other requirements of 30 CFR parts 730, 731, and 732 have been met.

National Environmental Policy Act

Section 702(d) of SMCRA (30 U.S.C. 1292(d)) provides that a decision on a proposed state regulatory program provision does not constitute a major federal action within the meaning of section 102(2)(C) of the National Environmental Policy Act (NEPA) (42 U.S.C. 4332(2)(C)). A determination has been made that such decisions are categorically excluded from the NEPA process (516 DM 8.4.A).

Paperwork Reduction Act

This rule does not contain information collection requirements that require approval by the Office of Management and Budget under the Paperwork Reduction Act (44 U.S.C. 3507 *et seq.*).

Regulatory Flexibility Act

The Department of the Interior has determined that this rule will not have a significant economic impact on a substantial number of small entities under the Regulatory Flexibility Act (5 U.S.C. 601 *et seq.*). The State submittal which is the subject of this rule is based upon counterpart Federal regulations for which an economic analysis was prepared and certification made that such regulations would not have a significant economic effect upon a substantial number of small entities. Accordingly, this rule will ensure that existing requirements previously promulgated by OSM will be implemented by the State. In making the determination as to whether this rule would have a significant economic impact, the Department relied upon the data and assumptions for the counterpart Federal regulations.

Small Business Regulatory Enforcement Fairness Act

This rule is not a major rule under 5 U.S.C. 804(2), the Small Business Regulatory Enforcement Fairness Act. This rule:

- a. Does not have an annual effect on the economy of \$100 million.
- b. Will not cause a major increase in costs or prices for consumers, individual industries, federal, state, or

local government agencies, or geographic regions.

c. Does not have significant adverse effects on competition, employment, investment, productivity, innovation, or the ability of U.S. based enterprises to compete with foreign-based enterprises.

This determination is based upon the fact that the state submittal which is the subject of this rule is based upon counterpart federal regulations for which an analysis was prepared and a determination made that the federal regulation was not considered a major rule.

Unfunded Mandates

This rule will not impose a cost of \$100 million or more in any given year on any governmental entity or the private sector.

List of Subjects in 30 CFR Part 920

Intergovernmental relations, Surface mining, Underground mining.

Dated: May 22, 2001.

Allen D. Klein,

Regional Director, Appalachian Regional Coordinating Center.

[FR Doc. 01-14713 Filed 6-11-01; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4310-05-P

ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY

40 CFR Part 52

[MN68-01b; FRL-6991-8]

Approval and Promulgation of Implementation Plans; Minnesota

AGENCY: Environmental Protection Agency (EPA).

ACTION: Proposed rule.

SUMMARY: We are proposing to approve a State Implementation Plan (SIP) revision for Dakota County, Minnesota, for the control of emissions of sulfur dioxide (SO₂) in the Pine Bend Area of Rosemount. The site-specific SIP revision for Koch Petroleum Group, LP (Koch) was submitted by the Minnesota Pollution Control Agency on December 20, 2000, and is approvable because it satisfies the requirements of the Clean Air Act. Specifically, EPA is proposing to approve into the SO₂ SIP Amendment No. 4 to the Administrative Order for Koch. In the final rules section of this **Federal Register**, we are approving the SIP revision as a direct final rule without prior proposal, because we view this as a noncontroversial revision amendment and anticipate no adverse comments. A detailed rationale for the approval is set forth in the direct final

rule. If no adverse comments are received in response to this proposed rule, no further activity is contemplated in relation to this proposed rule. If we receive adverse comments, the direct final rule will be withdrawn and all public comments received will be addressed in a subsequent final rule based on this proposed rule. We will not institute a second comment period on this action. Any parties interested in commenting on this action should do so at this time.

DATES: Written comments must be received on or before July 12, 2001.

ADDRESSES: Written comments should be sent to: Canton T. Nash, Chief, Regulation Development Section, Air Programs Branch (AR-18J), EPA Region 5, 77 West Jackson Boulevard, Chicago, Illinois 60604-3590.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Christos Panos, Regulation Development Section, Air Programs Branch (AR-18J), EPA Region 5, 77 West Jackson Boulevard, Chicago, Illinois 60604, (312) 353-8328.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: For additional information, see the Direct Final rule document which is located in the Rules section of this **Federal Register**. Copies of the request and the EPA's analysis are available for inspection at the above address. (Please telephone Christos Panos at (312) 353-8328 before visiting the Region 5 Office.)

Dated: May 8, 2001.

Norman Niedergang,

Acting Regional Administrator, Region 5.

[FR Doc. 01-14615 Filed 6-11-01; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6560-50-P

ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY

40 CFR Part 52

[SIP NO. MT-001-0034b, MT-001-0035b; FRL-6991-2]

Approval and Promulgation of Air Quality Implementation Plans; Montana; Emergency Episode Avoidance Plan and Cascade County Open Burning Rule

AGENCY: Environmental Protection Agency (EPA).

ACTION: Proposed rule.

SUMMARY: EPA is proposing to take direct final action approving State Implementation Plan (SIP) revisions submitted by the State of Montana on February 9, 2001. This submittal revises the State's Emergency Episode Avoidance Plan and Cascade County's