

County Housing Authority. The list of proposed committee members is as follows:

Housing Agencies

1. Atlanta Housing Authority, Atlanta, GA
2. New York City Housing Authority, NYC, NY
3. Puerto Rico Housing Authority, San Juan, PR
4. Chicago Housing Authority, Chicago, IL
5. Dallas Housing Authority, Dallas, TX
6. Anne Arundel Housing Authority, Anne Arundel, MD
7. Indianapolis Housing Authority, Indianapolis, IN
8. Albany Housing Authority, Albany, NY
9. Jackson Housing Authority, Jackson, MS
10. Boise City/Ada County Housing Authority, Boise City, ID
11. Reno Housing Authority, Reno, NV
12. Alameda County Housing Authority, Hayward, CA
13. Athens Housing Authority, Athens, GA
14. Housing Authority of East Baton Rouge, Baton Rouge, LA
15. Housing Authority of the City of Montgomery, Montgomery, AL

Tenant Organizations

1. Jack Cooper, Massachusetts Union of Public Housing Tenants, Needham, MA

Other Interests/Policy Groups

1. Ned Epstein, Housing Partners, Inc.
2. Howard Husock, Director of Kennedy School Case Program
3. Greg Byrne, Project Director for Harvard Cost Study
4. Dan Anderson, Bank of America
5. David Land, Lindsey and Company
6. Council of Large Public Housing Agencies
7. National Association of Housing and Redevelopment Officials
8. Public Housing Authorities Directors Association
9. National Organization of African Americans in Housing

Federal Government

1. Assistant Secretary Michael Liu, U.S. Department of Housing and Urban Development
2. Deputy Assistant Secretary William Russell, U.S. Department of Housing and Urban Development

Dated: January 30, 2004.

William O. Russell III,

Deputy Assistant Secretary, Office of Public Housing and Voucher Programs.

[FR Doc. 04-2543 Filed 2-5-04; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4210-33-P

DEPARTMENT OF THE TREASURY

Internal Revenue Service

26 CFR Part 1

[REG-160330-02]

RIN 1545-BB65

Section 704(c), Installment Obligations and Contributed Contracts; Correction

AGENCY: Internal Revenue Service (IRS), Treasury.

ACTION: Correction to notice of proposed rulemaking.

SUMMARY: This document contains corrections to a notice of proposed rulemaking (REG-106330-02) that was published in the **Federal Register** on Monday, November 24, 2003 (68 FR 65864). These proposed regulations relate to the tax treatment of installment obligations and property acquired pursuant to a contract under sections 704(c) and 737.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Christopher L. Trump, (202) 622-3070 (not a toll-free number).

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

Background

This notice of proposed rulemaking that is the subject of this correction is under sections 704(c) and 737 of the Internal Revenue Code.

Need for Correction

As published REG-160330-02 contain errors which may prove to be misleading and are in need of clarification.

Correction of Publication

Accordingly, the publication of the notice of proposed rulemaking (REG-160330-02), which is the subject of FR Doc. 03-29323, is corrected as follows:

1. On page 65865, column 2, under the paragraph heading "Part 1—INCOME TAXES", Par. 2 amendatory instruction 6a, line four, the language "paragraphs (a)(8)(ii), and (a)(8)(ii) and" is corrected to read "paragraphs (a)(8)(ii), and (a)(8)(iii) and".

§ 1.704-4 [Corrected]

2. On page 65865, column 3, § 1.704-4, paragraph (g) Effective date, line four the language "except that paragraphs (d)(1)(i) and (ii)" is corrected to read "except that paragraphs (d)(1)(ii) and (iii)".

§ 1.737-5 [Corrected]

3. On page 65866, column 1, § 1.737-5 under the section heading, § 1.737-5 Effective dates., line five, the language "2(d)(3)(ii) and (ii) apply to

distributions" is corrected to read "2(d)(3)(ii) and (iii) apply to distributions".

Cynthia Grigsby,

Acting Chief, Publications and Regulations Branch, Legal Processing Division, Associate Chief Counsel (Procedures and Administration).

[FR Doc. 04-2502 Filed 2-5-04; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4830-01-P

SELECTIVE SERVICE SYSTEM

32 CFR Parts 1602, 1605, 1609 and 1656

RIN 3240-AA01

Alternative Service Worker Appeals of Denied Job Reassignments

AGENCY: Selective Service System.

ACTION: Notice of proposed rulemaking.

SUMMARY: The Selective Service System (SSS) proposes to amend its regulations regarding the procedures for conscientious objectors, who have been placed in the Alternative Service Program as Alternative Service Workers (ASW), to appeal denied requests for job reassignments during a military draft. Civilian Review Boards (CRB), whose sole responsibility is to decide ASW appeals of denied job reassignments, would be abolished with their responsibilities transferred to the more numerous District Appeal Boards (DAB). Under existing regulations, the sole responsibility of DABs is to decide appeals of local board classification decisions. This organization change is necessary to ensure a more efficient and economical administration of the SSS. Its primary intended effect is to eliminate the administrative costs of maintaining separate appeal boards for ASWs without adversely impacting on the Agency's ability to expeditiously decide appeals of denied job reassignments or appeals of local board classification decisions. A secondary intended effect is to improve customer service to ASWs during a military draft.

DATES: Comments must be received on or before April 6, 2004.

ADDRESSES: You may submit comments by any of the following methods:

- Federal eRulemaking Portal: <http://www.regulations.gov> Follow the instructions for submitting comments.
- E-mail: information@sss.gov
- Mail: Rudy G. Sanchez, Jr., Office of the General Counsel, Selective Service System, Arlington, VA 22209-2425.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

Technical Information: Thomas J. Hornada, (703) 605-4074; Mary B. Lawson, (703) 605-4077.

Legal Information: Rudy G. Sanchez, Jr., (703) 605-4012.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

Background

These proposed amendments to Selective Service regulations are published pursuant to section 13(b) of the Military Selective Service Act (MSSA), 50 U.S.C. App. 463(b), and Executive Order 11623. The regulations implement the MSSA (50 U.S.C. App. 451 *et seq.*), which authorizes the President to create and establish within the Selective Service System civilian local boards, civilian appeal boards, and such other civilian agencies, including agencies of appeal, as may be necessary to carry out its functions [50 U.S.C. App. 460(b)(3)]. Executive Order 11623 delegates to the Director of Selective Service the authority to prescribe the necessary rules and regulations to carry out the provisions of the MSSA.

Under existing regulations, 48 Civilian Review Boards (CRB) have been established to decide appeals of denied requests for job reassignments made by conscientious objectors who have been placed in the Alternative Service Program as Alternative Service Workers (ASW). The sole function of CRBs is to decide such appeals during a military draft. A determination has been made that maintaining CRBs is unnecessary for the Selective Service to carry out its functions.

Discussion of Proposal

It is proposed that the 48 CRBs be abolished with their responsibilities transferred to the 96 DABs, which are currently solely responsible for deciding appeals of local board classification decisions during a military draft. This conversion would have three significant benefits. First, it would eliminate the unnecessary administrative costs of maintaining separate boards for ASW appeals of denied job reassignments. Second, it would result in more frequent pre-mobilization training of board members on the requirements for deciding ASW appeals. Finally, customer service to ASWs would be improved by doubling the number of locations for them to appeal denied job reassignments. It has been determined that the proposed rule changes would not result in a significant increase in the workload of DABs, and its primary responsibility of deciding appeals of local board decisions would be unimpeded. If necessary to accommodate an unexpectedly high workload during a draft, the number of

members on a DAB could be increased to create separate panels thereof.

To implement the proposed conversion, the following parts and sections in 32 CFR Chapter XVI must be amended: § 1602.11—To change the definition of “District Appeal Board” to include the ability to act on cases in accordance with part 1656 (Alternative Service); § 1605.24—To give DABs jurisdiction to decide appeals of denied job reassignments; § 1609.1—To remove members of “civilian review boards” as uncompensated positions within Selective Service; § 1656.1—To remove the definition of “Civilian Review Board” and renumber the section’s definitions accordingly; § 1656.3—To remove the paragraph establishing CRBs, and renumber the paragraphs accordingly; § 1656.13—To remove paragraph “e”, which requires the establishment of CRBs, and to re-letter the section’s paragraphs accordingly; § 1656.18—To amend paragraph “c” for conformity of citations therein to the re-lettering of paragraphs in § 1656.13; Finally, throughout part 1656 several sections must be amended to remove the words, “Civilian Review Board”, and add the words, “District Appeal Board” in their place.

Matters of Proposed Rule Making Procedure

In promulgating these proposed amendments to Selective Service regulations, I have adhered to the regulatory philosophy and the applicable principles of regulation set forth in section 1 of Executive Order 12866, Regulatory Planning and Review. The proposed amendments have not been reviewed by the Office of Management and Budget under that Executive Order, as they are not deemed “significant” thereunder.

Pursuant to the requirements of the Regulatory Flexibility Act (Pub. L. 96-354, 94 Stat. 1164, 5 U.S.C. 601-612), I have determined that the proposed amendments do not have a significant economic impact on a substantial number of small entities.

The Paperwork Reduction Act (44 U.S.C. chapter 35) does not apply because this rule does not contain an information collection requirement that requires approval of the Office of Management and Budget.

Interested persons are invited to submit written comments on the proposed rule changes. All written comments received in response to this notice of proposed rulemaking will be available for public inspection in the Office of the General Counsel from 8:30 a.m. to 3:30 a.m., Monday through Friday, excluding legal holidays.

List of Subjects in 32 CFR Parts 1602, 1605, 1609, and 1656

Selective Service System.

For the reasons stated in the preamble, the Selective Service System proposes to amend 32 CFR parts 1602, 1605, 1609, and 1656 as follows:

PART 1602—DEFINITIONS

1. The authority citation for part 1602 continues to read as follows:

Authority: Military Selective Service Act (50 U.S.C. App. 451 *et seq.*); E.O. 11623.

2. Revise § 1602.11 to read as follows:

§ 1602.11 District appeal board.

A district appeal board or a panel thereof of the Selective Service System is a group of not less than three civilian members appointed by the President to act on cases of registrants in accord with the provisions of parts 1651 and 1656 of this chapter.

PART 1605—SELECTIVE SERVICE SYSTEM ORGANIZATION

1. The authority citation for part 1605 continues to read as follows:

Authority: Military Selective Service Act (50 U.S.C. App. 451 *et seq.*); E.O. 11623.

2. Amend § 1605.24 by redesignating the introductory text, paragraph (a), paragraph (b), and paragraph (c) as paragraph (a) introductory text, paragraph (a)(1), paragraph (a)(2) and paragraph (a)(3), respectively, and by adding paragraph (b) to read as follows:

§ 1605.24 Jurisdiction.

* * * * *

(b) The district appeal board shall have jurisdiction to review and to affirm or change any Alternative Service Office Manager decision appealed to it by an Alternative Service Worker pursuant to part 1656 of this chapter.

PART 1609—UNCOMPENSATED PERSONNEL

1. The authority citation for part 1609 continues to read as follows:

Authority: Military Selective Service Act (50 U.S.C. App. 451 *et seq.*); E.O. 11623.

2. Amend § 1609.1 by revising the first sentence to read as follows:

§ 1609.1 Uncompensated positions.

Members of local boards, district appeal boards, and all other persons volunteering their services to assist in the administration of the Selective Service Law shall be uncompensated.

* * *

PART 1656—ALTERNATIVE SERVICE

1. The authority citation for part 1656 continues to read as follows:

Authority: Military Selective Service Act (50 U.S.C. App. 451 *et seq.*); E.O. 11623.

2. Amend part 1656, Alternative Service, to remove the words "Civilian Review Board" and add, in their place, the words "District Appeal Board", in the following places:

- a. Section 1656.11(b)(4)
- b. Section 1656.13(d) and (f) and (g) and (h)
- c. Section 1656.18(c)

§ 1656.1 [Amended]

3. Amend § 1656.1 to remove paragraph (b)(6) and redesignate paragraphs (b)(7) through (14) as paragraphs (b)(6) through (13).

§ 1656.3 [Amended]

4.–5. Amend § 1656.3 to remove paragraph (a)(10) and redesignate paragraphs (a)(11) through (13) as paragraphs (a)(10) through (12).

§ 1656.13 [Amended]

6.–7. Amend § 1656.13 by removing paragraph (e) and by redesignating paragraphs (f) through (h) as paragraphs (e) through (g).

§ 1656.18 [Amended]

8. Amend § 1656.18(c) by revising the phrase "\$ 1656.13(c) or (g)" to read "\$ 1656.13(c) or (f)".

Dated: January 28, 2004.

Lewis C. Brodsky,

Acting Director of Selective Service.

[FR Doc. 04–2427 Filed 2–5–04; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 8015–01–P

DEPARTMENT OF THE INTERIOR**National Park Service****36 CFR Part 7****RIN 1024–AD01****Lake Roosevelt National Recreation Area, Personal Watercraft Use**

AGENCY: National Park Service, Interior.

ACTION: Proposed rule.

SUMMARY: The National Park Service (NPS) is proposing to designate areas where personal watercraft (PWC) may be used in Lake Roosevelt National Recreation Area, Washington. This proposed rule implements the provisions of the NPS general regulations authorizing park areas to allow the use of PWC by promulgating a special regulation. The NPS *Management Policies 2001* require

individual parks to determine whether PWC use is appropriate for a specific park area based on an evaluation of that area's enabling legislation, resources and values, other visitor uses, and overall management objectives.

DATES: Comments must be received by April 6, 2004.

ADDRESSES: Comments on the proposed rule should be sent or hand delivered to Superintendent, Lake Roosevelt National Recreation Area, 1008 Crest Drive, Coulee Dam, WA 99116. E-mail comments may also be sent to laro@den.nps.gov. If you comment by e-mail, please include "PWC rule" in the subject line and your name and return address in the body of your Internet message.

For additional information see "Public Participation" under **SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION** below.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Kym Hall, Special Assistant, National Park Service, 1849 C Street, NW., Room 3145, Washington, DC 20240. Phone: (202) 208–4206. e-mail: Kym_Hall@nps.gov.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:**Background***Additional Alternatives*

The information contained in this proposed rule supports implementation of portions of the preferred alternative in the Environmental Assessment published April 28, 2003. The public should be aware that two other alternatives were presented in the EA, including a no-PWC alternative, and those alternatives should also be reviewed and considered when making comments on this proposed rule.

Personal Watercraft Regulation

On March 21, 2000, the National Park Service published a regulation (36 CFR 3.24) on the management of personal watercraft (PWC) use within all units of the national park system (65 FR 15077). This regulation prohibits PWC use in all national park units unless the NPS determines that this type of water-based recreational activity is appropriate for the specific park unit based on the legislation establishing that park, the park's resources and values, other visitor uses of the area, and overall management objectives. The regulation banned PWC use in all park units effective April 20, 2000. The regulation established a 2-year grace period for 21 park units with existing PWC use to consider whether PWC use should be permitted to continue.

Description of Lake Roosevelt National Recreation Area

Lake Roosevelt National Recreation Area was established in eastern Washington State in 1946 following the Secretary of the Interior's approval of a Tri-Party Agreement among the National Park Service, the Bureau of Reclamation, and the Bureau of Indian Affairs. The reservoir and related lands were administered as the recreation area under this agreement until 1974 when Interior Secretary Rogers C.B. Morton directed that the agreement for the management of the lake be expanded to include the Confederated Tribes of the Colville Reservation and the Spokane Tribe of Indians. Secretary Morton's directive was prompted by the Interior Solicitor's opinion that the tribes have exclusive rights to hunting, boating, and fishing within those areas of the reservoir that are within the boundaries of the two Indian reservations. An accord was reached on April 5, 1990, when the Secretary of the Interior approved the Lake Roosevelt Cooperative Management Agreement. The agreement confirmed and established management authority of the two Indian tribes over the portions of Lake Roosevelt and related lands within the boundaries of their respective reservations that were previously administered as part of the national recreation area. In 1997, the name of the park was changed from Coulee Dam National Recreation Area to Lake Roosevelt National Recreation Area.

With the approval of the Lake Roosevelt Cooperative Management Agreement, Lake Roosevelt National Recreation Area was defined as the waters and lands managed by the National Park Service. Lake Roosevelt National Recreation Area consists of 312 miles of shoreline along the Columbia River. The National Park Service administers 47,438 acres of the 81,389-acre water surface (at full pool), and 12,936 acres of adjacent land. The lands of Lake Roosevelt National Recreation Area consist primarily of a narrow band of shore above the maximum high water mark (1,290 feet), which was originally purchased by the Bureau of Reclamation for construction of the reservoir. The national recreation area also includes shoreline along about 29 miles of the Spokane River Arm of the lake and about 7 miles along the Kettle River Arm. Most of the remainder of the shoreline and surface area of Lake Roosevelt lies within the reservation boundaries of the Spokane Tribe and the Colville Confederated Tribes and is not part of the national recreation area. The Bureau of Reclamation retains the