Signed at Washington, DC this 30th day of January 2004.

#### Linda G. Poole,

Certifying Officer, Division of Trade Adjustment Assistance.

[FR Doc. 04–3317 Filed 2–13–04; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4510-30-P

### **DEPARTMENT OF LABOR**

# **Employment and Training Administration**

[TA-W-53,951]

## Millenium A.R. Haire, Thomasville, NC; Notice of Termination of Investigation

Pursuant to section 221 of the Trade Act of 1974, as amended, an investigation was initiated on January 7, 2004 in response to a petition filed by a company official on behalf of workers at Millenium A.R. Haire, Thomasville, North Carolina.

The petition regarding the investigation has been deemed invalid. Consequently, further investigation in this case would serve no purpose, and the investigation has been terminated.

Signed at Washington, DC this 30th day of January, 2004.

#### Linda G. Poole,

Certifying Officer, Division of Trade Adjustment Assistance.

[FR Doc. 04–3315 Filed 2–13–04; 8:45 am]

# **DEPARTMENT OF LABOR**

# **Employment and Training Administration**

[TA-W-51,595]

### Paradise Fisheries, Kodiak, Alaska; Notice of Revised Determination On Remand

The United States Court of International Trade (USCIT) granted the Secretary of Labor's motion for voluntary remand for further investigation of the negative determination in Former Employees of Paradise Fisheries v. U.S. Secretary of Labor (Court No. 03–00758).

On May 5, 2003, the Department issued a negative determination regarding eligibility for workers of Paradise Fisheries, Kodiak, Alaska, to apply for worker adjustment assistance because the Department determined that the worker group eligibility requirements of section (a)(2)(A)(I.C) and section (a)(2)(B)(II.B) of section 222 of the Trade Act of 1974, as amended, were not met. There were no company imports of fresh or chilled salmon, nor

was there a shift in production of fresh or chilled salmon from the workers' firm to a foreign country. A survey of the customers determined that there were no increases in imports of salmon that contributed importantly to worker separations at Paradise Fisheries. The notice was published in the **Federal Register** on May 19, 2003 (68 FR 27106).

In addition to above cited petition, filed by a company official and dated April 21, 2003, the same company official submitted another TAA petition dated April 24, 2003, indicating that the workers were secondarily affected. The information provided on the latter petition was considered before making the negative determination for worker eligibility to apply for adjustment assistance.

On May 15, 2003, the company official requested administrative reconsideration of the Department's denial of TA–W–51,595, citing that the workers were secondarily affected because the firm sold salmon to a salmon processor. On August 7, 2003, the review of the request resulted in a dismissal of the application, because no new information was provided that had not been considered during the initial investigation.

Based on new information submitted by Paradise Fisheries to the U.S. Court of International Trade, the Department has determined that the subject firm did supply salmon to a salmon processing firm whose workers were certified eligible to apply for adjustment assistance. The loss of business with the primary firm whose workers were certified eligible to apply for adjustment assistance contributed importantly to worker separations at Paradise Fisheries, Kodiak, Alaska.

## Conclusion

After careful review of the additional facts obtained on remand, I conclude that workers of Paradise Fisheries, Kodiak, Alaska, qualify as adversely affected secondary workers under section 222 of the Trade Act of 1974, as amended.

In accordance with the provisions of the Trade Act, I make the following revised determination:

All workers of Paradise Fisheries, Kodiak, Alaska, who became totally or partially separated from employment on or after April 21, 2002, through two years from the issuance of this revised determination, are eligible to apply for adjustment assistance under section 223 of the Trade Act of 1974.

Signed at Washington, DC, this 6th day of February, 2004.

# Linda G. Poole,

Certifying Officer, Division of Trade Adjustment Assistance.

[FR Doc. 04–3328 Filed 2–13–04; 8:45 am]

### **DEPARTMENT OF LABOR**

# **Employment and Training Administration**

[TA-W-51,242]

# PolyOne Corporation; O'Sullivan Plastic Division; Yerington, NV; Notice of Revised Determination on Reconsideration

On November 21, 2003, the Department issued an Affirmative Determination Regarding Application on Reconsideration applicable to workers and former workers of the subject firm. The notice was published in the **Federal Register** on December 19, 2003 (68 FR 70838).

On May 5, 2003 the Department initially denied TAA to workers of PolyOne Corporation, O'Sullivan Plastics Division, Yerington, Nevada producing calendared vinyl because the "contributed importantly" group eligibility requirement of Section 222 of the Trade Act of 1974 was not met.

On reconsideration, the department surveyed additional customers of the subject plant regarding their purchases of calendared vinyl during the relevant period. The survey revealed that major declining customer(s) increased their imports of calendared vinyl, while decreasing their purchases from the subject plant during the relevant period. These survey results, in combination with the volume of imports reported by the company in the initial investigation, indicate that imports contributed importantly to layoffs in the relevant period.

#### Conclusion

After careful review of the additional facts obtained on reconsideration, I conclude that increased imports of articles like or directly competitive with calendared vinyl, contributed importantly to the declines in sales or production and to the total or partial separation of workers of PolyOne Corporation, O'Sullivan Plastics Division, Yerington, Nevada. In accordance with the provisions of the Act, I make the following certification:

All workers of PolyOne Corporation, O'Sullivan Plastics Division, Yerington, Nevada who became totally or partially separated from employment on or after