

reference section to facilitate public access to the documents.

## II. Backfitting and Issue Finality

Issuance of this final regulatory guide does not constitute backfitting as defined in 10 CFR 50.109 (the Backfit Rule) and is not otherwise inconsistent with the issue finality provisions in 10 CFR part 52. The changes in Revision 2 of RG 1.57 are limited to editorial changes to improve clarity and the correction of a title. These changes do not fall within the kinds of agency actions that constitute backfitting or are subject to limitations in the issue finality provisions of part 52. Accordingly, the NRC did not address the Backfit Rule or issue finality provisions of part 52.

## III. Congressional Review Act

This regulatory guide is a rule as designated in the Congressional Review Act (5 U.S.C. 801–808). However, the Office of Management and Budget has not found it to be a major rule as designated in the Congressional Review Act.

## IV. Submitting Suggestions for Improvement of Regulatory Guides

Revision 2 of RG 1.57 is being issued without public comment. However, you may at any time submit suggestions to the NRC for improvement of existing regulatory guides or for the development of new regulatory guides to address new issues. Suggestions can be submitted by the form available online at <http://www.nrc.gov/reading-rm/doc-collections/reg-guides/contactus.html>. Suggestions will be considered in future updates and enhancements of the regulatory guide.

Dated at Rockville, Maryland, this 8th day of May, 2013.

For the Nuclear Regulatory Commission.

**Thomas H. Boyce,**

*Chief, Regulatory Guide Development Branch, Division of Engineering, Office of Nuclear Regulatory Research.*

[FR Doc. 2013–11710 Filed 5–15–13; 8:45 am]

**BILLING CODE 7590–01–P**

## NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION

[Docket No. 040–09068; License SUA–1598; NRC–2008–0391]

**Lost Creek ISR, LLC, Lost Creek Uranium In-Situ Recovery Project; Sweetwater County, Wyoming**

**AGENCY:** Nuclear Regulatory Commission.

**ACTION:** Environmental assessment and finding of no significant impact for license amendment, correction.

**SUMMARY:** This document corrects a notice appearing in the **Federal Register** on April 3, 2013 [78 FR 20146], that listed, in tabular format, documents that related to the notice. This action will correct an incorrect listing of an Agencywide Document Access and Management System (ADAMS) Accession Number contained in the table found on page 20147.

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:** Mr. Alan B. Bjornsen, Project Manager, Office of Federal and State Materials and Environmental Management Programs, U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission, Washington, DC 20555–0001; telephone: 301–415–1195; email: [Alan.Bjornsen@nrc.gov](mailto:Alan.Bjornsen@nrc.gov).

**SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:** On page 20147, in the table found at the bottom of the page, the item “Letter WDEQ, Request for Comments, ADAMS Accession No. ML12305A410” was inadvertently included, and should be removed. The document contains pre-conditional information that was not to be made publicly available.

Dated at Rockville, Maryland, this 9th day of May, 2013.

For the Nuclear Regulatory Commission.

**Kevin Hsueh,**

*Chief, Environmental Review Branch, Division of Waste Management and Environmental Protection, Office of Federal and State Materials and Environmental Management Programs.*

[FR Doc. 2013–11709 Filed 5–15–13; 8:45 am]

**BILLING CODE 7590–01–P**

## NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION

[Docket Nos. 50–327–LR, 50–328–LR; ASLBP No. 13–927–01–LR–BD01]

**Tennessee Valley Authority; Establishment of Atomic Safety and Licensing Board**

Pursuant to delegation by the Commission dated December 29, 1972, see 37 FR 28710 (1972), and the Commission’s regulations, see, e.g., 10 CFR 2.104, 2.105, 2.300, 2.309, 2.313, 2.318, and 2.321, notice is hereby given that an Atomic Safety and Licensing Board (Board) is being established to preside over the following proceeding:

**Tennessee Valley Authority (Sequoyah Nuclear Plant, Units 1 and 2)**

This proceeding involves an application by Tennessee Valley Authority to renew for twenty years its

operating licenses for Sequoyah Nuclear Plant, Units 1 and 2, which are located in Soddy-Daisy, Tennessee. The current Unit 1 and Unit 2 operating licenses expire, respectively, on September 17, 2020 and September 15, 2021. In response to a “Notice of Acceptance for Docketing of Application and Notice of Opportunity for Hearing Regarding Renewal of Sequoyah Nuclear Plants, Units 1 and 2,” see 78 Fed. Reg. 14,362 (Mar. 5, 2013), a “Petition for Leave to Intervene and Request for Hearing” was filed on May 6, 2013 by the Blue Ridge Environmental Defense League, Bellefonte Efficiency and Sustainability Team, and Mothers Against Tennessee River Radiation.

The Board is comprised of the following administrative judges:

Alex S. Karlin, Chairman, Atomic Safety and Licensing Board Panel, U.S.

Nuclear Regulatory Commission, Washington, DC 20555–0001

Dr. Paul B. Abramson, Atomic Safety and Licensing Board Panel, U.S.

Nuclear Regulatory Commission, Washington, DC 20555–0001

Dr. Gary S. Arnold, Atomic Safety and Licensing Board Panel, U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission, Washington, DC 20555–0001

All correspondence, documents, and other materials shall be filed in accordance with the NRC E-Filing rule. See 10 CFR. 2.302.

Issued at Rockville, Maryland, this 10th day of May 2013.

**E. Roy Hawkens,**

*Chief Administrative Judge, Atomic Safety and Licensing Board Panel.*

[FR Doc. 2013–11712 Filed 5–15–13; 8:45 am]

**BILLING CODE 7590–01–P**

## OVERSEAS PRIVATE INVESTMENT CORPORATION

### Sunshine Act Meetings

**TIME AND DATE:** 2:00 p.m., Wednesday, June 5, 2013.

**PLACE:** Offices of the Corporation, Twelfth Floor Board Room, 1100 New York Avenue NW., Washington, DC.

**STATUS:** Hearing OPEN to the Public at 2:00 p.m.

**PURPOSE:** Public Hearing in conjunction with each meeting of OPIC’s Board of Directors, to afford an opportunity for any person to present views regarding the activities of the Corporation.

### PROCEDURES:

Individuals wishing to address the hearing orally must provide advance notice to OPIC’s Corporate Secretary no later than 5 p.m. Friday, May 31, 2013.

The notice must include the individual's name, title, organization, address, and telephone number, and a concise summary of the subject matter to be presented.

Oral presentations may not exceed ten (10) minutes. The time for individual presentations may be reduced proportionately, if necessary, to afford all participants who have submitted a timely request an opportunity to be heard.

Participants wishing to submit a written statement for the record must submit a copy of such statement to OPIC's Corporate Secretary no later than 5 p.m. Friday, May 31, 2013. Such statement must be typewritten, double-spaced, and may not exceed twenty-five (25) pages.

Upon receipt of the required notice, OPIC will prepare an agenda, which will be available at the hearing, that identifies speakers, the subject on which each participant will speak, and the time allotted for each presentation.

A written summary of the hearing will be compiled, and such summary will be made available, upon written request to OPIC's Corporate Secretary, at the cost of reproduction.

Written summaries of the projects to be presented at the June 13, 2013 Board meeting will be posted on OPIC's Web site on or about Thursday, May 23, 2013.

#### **CONTACT PERSON FOR INFORMATION:**

Information on the hearing may be obtained from Connie M. Downs at (202) 336-8438, via facsimile at (202) 408-0297, or via email at [Connie.Downs@opic.gov](mailto:Connie.Downs@opic.gov).

Dated: May 14, 2013.

**Connie M. Downs,**

*OPIC Corporate Secretary.*

[FR Doc. 2013-11816 Filed 5-14-13; 4:15 pm]

**BILLING CODE 3210-01-P**

## **SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION**

### **Proposed Collection; Comment Request**

#### *Upon Written Request, Copies Available*

From: Securities and Exchange Commission, Office of Investor Education and Advocacy, Washington, DC 20549-0213.

#### *Extension:*

Rule 303; SEC File No. 270-450, OMB Control No. 3235-0505.

Notice is hereby given that, pursuant to the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995 ("PRA") (44 U.S.C. 3501 *et seq.*), the Securities and Exchange Commission ("Commission") is soliciting comments

on the existing collection of information provided for in Rule 303 (17 CFR 242.303) of Regulation ATS (17 CFR 242.300 *et seq.*) under the Securities and Exchange Act of 1934 ("Act") (15 U.S.C. 78a *et seq.*). The Commission plans to submit this existing collection of information to the Office of Management and Budget ("OMB") for extension and approval.

Regulation ATS sets forth a regulatory regime for "alternative trading systems" ("ATSs"), which are entities that carry out exchange functions but which are not required to register as national securities exchanges under the Act. In lieu of exchange registration, an ATS can instead opt to register with the Commission as a broker-dealer and, as a condition to not having to register as an exchange, must instead comply with Regulation ATS. Rule 303 of Regulation ATS (17 CFR 242.303) describes the record preservation requirements for ATSs. Rule 303 also describes how such records must be maintained, what entities may perform this function, and how long records must be preserved.

Under Rule 303, ATSs are required to preserve all records made pursuant to Rule 302, which includes information relating to subscribers, trading summaries, and time-sequenced order information. Rule 303 also requires ATSs to preserve any notices provided to subscribers, including, but not limited to, notices regarding the ATSs operations and subscriber access. For an ATS subject to the fair access requirements described in Rule 301(b)(5)(ii) of Regulation ATS, Rule 303 further requires the ATS to preserve at least one copy of its standards for access to trading, all documents relevant to the ATS's decision to grant, deny, or limit access to any person, and all other documents made or received by the ATS in the course of complying with Rule 301(b)(5) of Regulation ATS. For an ATS subject to the capacity, integrity, and security requirements for automated systems under Rule 301(b)(6) of Regulation ATS, Rule 303 requires an ATS to preserve all documents made or received by the ATS related to its compliance, including all correspondence, memoranda, papers, books, notices, accounts, reports, test scripts, test results, and other similar records. As provided in Rule 303(a)(1), ATSs are required to keep all of these records, as applicable, for a period of at least three years, the first two in an easily accessible place. In addition, Rule 303 requires ATSs to preserve records of partnership articles, articles of incorporation or charter, minute books, stock certificate books, copies of reports filed pursuant to Rule 301(b)(2), and

records made pursuant to Rule 301(b)(5) for the life of the ATS.

The information contained in the records required to be preserved by Rule 303 will be used by examiners and other representatives of the Commission, state securities regulatory authorities, and the self-regulatory organizations to ensure that ATSs are in compliance with Regulation ATS as well as other applicable rules and regulations. Without the data required by the Rule, regulators would be limited in their ability to comply with their statutory obligations, provide for the protection of investors, and promote the maintenance of fair and orderly markets.

Respondents consist of ATSs that choose to register as broker-dealers and comply with the requirements of Regulation ATS. There are currently 92 respondents. To comply with the record preservation requirements of Rule 303, these respondents will spend approximately 1,380 hours per year (92 respondents at 15 burden hours/respondent). At an average cost per burden hour of \$104.20, the resultant total related cost of compliance for these respondents is \$143,796 per year (1,380 burden hours multiplied by \$104.20/hour).

Written comments are invited on (a) Whether the proposed collection of information is necessary for the proper performance of the functions of the Commission, including whether the information shall have practical utility; (b) the accuracy of the Commission's estimates of the burden of the proposed collection of information; (c) ways to enhance the quality, utility, and clarity of the information collected; and (d) ways to minimize the burden of the collection of information on respondents, including through the use of automated collection techniques or other forms of information technology. Consideration will be given to comments and suggestions submitted in writing within 60 days of this publication.

An agency may not conduct or sponsor, and a person is not required to respond to, a collection of information under the PRA unless it displays a currently valid OMB control number.

Please direct your written comments to: Thomas Bayer, Director/Chief Information Officer, Securities and Exchange Commission, c/o Remi Pavlik-Simon, 6432 General Green Way, Alexandria, VA 22312 or send an email to: [PRA\\_Mailbox@sec.gov](mailto:PRA_Mailbox@sec.gov).