

### E. Regulatory Flexibility Act

The Regulatory Flexibility Act (RFA) generally requires an agency to conduct a regulatory flexibility analysis of any rule subject to notice and comment rulemaking requirements unless the agency certifies that the rule will not have a significant economic impact on a substantial number of small entities. Small entities include small businesses, small not-for-profit enterprises, and small governmental jurisdictions. This final rule will not have a significant impact on a substantial number of small entities because SIP approvals under section 110 and subchapter I, part D of the Clean Air Act do not create any new requirements but simply approve requirements that the State is already imposing. Therefore, because the Federal SIP approval does not create any new requirements, I certify that this action will not have a significant economic impact on a substantial number of small entities. Moreover, due to the nature of the Federal-State relationship under the Clean Air Act, preparation of flexibility analysis would constitute Federal inquiry into the economic reasonableness of state action. The Clean Air Act forbids EPA to base its actions concerning SIPs on such grounds. *Union Electric Co. v. U.S. EPA*, 427 U.S. 246, 255–66 (1976); 42 U.S.C. 7410(a)(2).

### F. Unfunded Mandates

Under section 202 of the Unfunded Mandates Reform Act of 1995 ("Unfunded Mandates Act"), signed into law on March 22, 1995, EPA must prepare a budgetary impact statement to accompany any proposed or final rule that includes a Federal mandate that may result in estimated annual costs to State, local, or tribal governments in the aggregate; or to private sector, of \$100 million or more. Under section 205, EPA must select the most cost-effective and least burdensome alternative that achieves the objectives of the rule and is consistent with statutory requirements. Section 203 requires EPA to establish a plan for informing and advising any small governments that may be significantly or uniquely impacted by the rule.

EPA has determined that the approval action promulgated does not include a Federal mandate that may result in estimated annual costs of \$100 million or more to either State, local, or tribal governments in the aggregate, or to the private sector. This Federal action approves pre-existing requirements under State or local law, and imposes no new requirements. Accordingly, no additional costs to State, local, or tribal

governments, or to the private sector, result from this action.

### List of Subjects in 40 CFR Part 52

Environmental protection, Air pollution control, Hydrocarbons, Intergovernmental relations, Nitrogen dioxide, Ozone, Reporting and recordkeeping requirements, Volatile organic compounds.

**Authority:** 42 U.S.C. 7401 *et seq.*

Dated: February 17, 2000.

**Felicia Marcus,**

*Regional Administrator Region IX.*

[FR Doc. 00–5041 Filed 3–1–00; 8:45 am]

**BILLING CODE 6560–50–P**

## ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY

### 40 CFR Part 63

[FRL–6545–3]

### Delegation of National Emission Standards for Hazardous Air Pollutants for Source Categories; State of Arizona; Arizona Department of Environmental Quality; Maricopa County Environmental Services Department

**AGENCY:** Environmental Protection Agency (EPA).

**ACTION:** Proposed rule.

**SUMMARY:** Pursuant to section 112(l) of the 1990 Clean Air Act (CAA), the Maricopa County Environmental Services Department (MC) in Arizona requested delegation of specific national emission standards for hazardous air pollutants (NESHAPs). In the Rules section of this **Federal Register**, EPA is granting MC the authority to implement and enforce specified NESHAPs. The direct final rule also explains the procedure for future delegation of NESHAPs to MC. EPA is taking direct final action without prior proposal because the Agency views this as a noncontroversial action and anticipates no adverse comments. A detailed rationale for this approval is set forth in the direct final rule. If no adverse comments are received, no further activity is contemplated. If EPA receives adverse comments, the direct final rule will be withdrawn and all public comments received will be addressed in a subsequent final rule based on this proposed rule. The EPA will not institute a second comment period. Any parties interested in commenting should do so at this time.

**DATES:** Written comments must be received by April 3, 2000.

**ADDRESSES:** Written comments should be addressed to: Andrew Steckel, Rulemaking Office (AIR–4), Air Division, U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, Region IX, 75 Hawthorne Street, San Francisco, CA 94105–3901.

Copies of the submitted requests are available for public inspection at EPA's Region IX office during normal business hours (docket number A–96–25).

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:** Mae Wang, Rulemaking Office (AIR–4), Air Division, U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, Region IX, 75 Hawthorne Street, San Francisco, CA 94105–3901; Telephone: (415) 744–1200.

**SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:** This document concerns delegation of unchanged NESHAPs to the Maricopa County Environmental Services Department and the Arizona Department of Environmental Quality. For further information, please see the information provided in the direct final action which is located in the Rules section of this **Federal Register**.

**Authority:** This action is issued under the authority of Section 112 of the Clean Air Act, as amended, 42 U.S.C. 7412.

Dated: February 18, 2000.

**David P. Howekamp,**

*Director, Air Division, Region IX.*

[FR Doc. 00–5037 Filed 3–1–00; 8:45 am]

**BILLING CODE 6560–50–U**

## ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY

### 40 CFR Part 503

[FRL –6546–3]

**RIN 2040–AC25**

### Standards for the Use or Disposal of Sewage Sludge; Reopening of Comment Period

**AGENCY:** Environmental Protection Agency (EPA).

**ACTION:** Proposed rule; Reopening of Comment Period.

**SUMMARY:** The Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) is today announcing a reopening of the public comment period to March 23, 2000 for its Proposed Rule on Standards for the Use or Disposal of Sewage Sludge which was published in the **Federal Register** on December 23, 1999 at (64 FR 72045).

**DATES:** The comment period is reopened until March 23, 2000.

**ADDRESSES:** Written comments and enclosures should be mailed or hand-delivered to: Part 503 Sewage Sludge Use or Disposal Rule; Docket Number W–99–18, Comment Clerk, Water