Ground, MD 21005–5055 tel: (401) 278–5028; fax: (401) 278–5820.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: None.

#### John A. Hall,

Alternate Army Federal Register Liaison Officer.

[FR Doc. 01–767 Filed 1–9–01; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 3710–08–M

# **DEPARTMENT OF DEFENSE**

#### Department of the Army

Availability of U.S. Patents for Non-Exclusive, Exclusive, or Partially-Exclusive Licensing

**AGENCY:** U.S. Army Research Laboratory, Adelphi, Maryland, DoD.

**ACTION:** Notice.

**SUMMARY:** In accordance with 37 CFR 404.6, announcement is made of the availability of the following U.S. patent for non-exclusive, partially exclusive or exclusive licensing. The listed patent has been assigned to the United States of America as represented by the Secretary of the Army, Washington, DC.

This patent covers a wide variety of technical arts including: A method for determining the trajectory of a projectile.

Under the authority of section 11(a)(2) of the Federal Technology Transfer Act of 1986 (Pub. L. 99–502) and section 207 of Title 35, United States Code, the Department of the Army as represented by the U.S. Army Research Laboratory wish to license the U.S. patent listed below in a non-exclusive, exclusive or partially exclusive manner to any party interested in manufacturing, using, and/or selling devices or processes covered by this patent.

*Title:* Method of Passive Determination of Projectile Miss Distance.

*Inventors:* David B. Hills and Jonathan A. Bornstein.

Patent Number: 6,125,308. Issued Date: September 25, 2000.

# FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

Norma Cammaratta, Technology Transfer Office, AMSRL–CS–TT, U.S. Army Research Laboratory, Adelphi, MD 20783–1197 tel: (301) 394–2952; fax: (301) 394–5818.

# $\label{eq:supplementary information:} None.$

### John A. Hall,

Alternate Army Federal Register Liaison Officer.

[FR Doc. 01–768 Filed 1–9–01; 8:45 am] **BILLING CODE 3710–08–M** 

#### **DEPARTMENT OF DEFENSE**

# Department of the Army, Corps of Engineers

Intent To Prepare a Supplement to the 1992 Final Environmental Impact Statement on Modified Water Deliveries to Everglades National Park (MWD Project) To Address a Change in Design of the Levee 67 and Levee 29 Water Conveyance Features Within Water Conservation Area 3 (WCA 3), Including a Combined Operational Plan for the MWD and Canal 111 (C-111) Projects

**AGENCY:** U.S. Army Corps of Engineers, Department of Defense.

**ACTION:** Notice of intent (amendment).

**SUMMARY:** Reference the previous Notice of Intent published in the Federal Register of September 24, 1999 (V.64, No. 185: pages 51740-51741). The congressionally authorized MWD Project consists of structural modifications and additions to the existing C&SF Project required to improve water deliveries for ecosystem restoration of Everglades National Park (Park). The authorized plan calls for construction of six water control structures in Levee 67 (L-67) and its adjacent canal, which partition WCA 3 into two basins, WCA 3A and WCA 3B and two recently constructed structures in L-29. At the request of the local sponsor, the South Florida Water Management District (SFWMD), the Corps will be revaluating the design of the water conveyance features and addressing the need for water seepage control for WCA 3B. The authorized C-111 Project consists of Water Pumping Stations and associated canals and water detention areas within the C-111 Basin immediately south of the MWD Project limits down to tidewater. This amendment provides for the addition to the SEIS of a Combined Operational Plan for the MWD and C-111 Projects. FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: U.S.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: U.S. Army Corps of Engineers, P.O. Box 4970, Jacksonville, Florida 32232; Attn: Mr. Elmar Kurzbach, 904–232–2325.

# SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: 1.

Alternatives to be evaluated involve combinations of gated water control structures, passive structures (fixed-crest weirs), levee removal, and canal filling to convey water from WCA 3A into WCA 3B and from WCA 3B into Northeast Shark River slough and operation of the overall system down to tidewater. Seepage control alternatives involve combinations of new operational and structural elements such as pump stations.

- 2. A Scoping letter and public Scoping Meeting will be used to invite comments on alternatives and issues from Federal, State, and local agencies, affected Indian tribes, and other interested private organizations and individuals.
- 3. The Draft SEIS will analyze issues related to recreational fishing access, WCA 3B tree island flooding, introduction of poor quality water, Everglades National Park ecosystem restoration, and agricultural and residential flood protection.
- 4. The alternative plans will be reviewed under provisions of appropriate laws and regulations, including the Endangered Species Act, Fish and Wildlife Coordination Act, Clean Water Act, and Farmland Protection Policy Act.
- 5. The Draft SEIS is expected to be available for public review in the 3rd quarter CY 2001.

Dated: December 20, 2000.

#### James C. Duck,

Chief, Planning Division.

[FR Doc. 01-770 Filed 1-9-01; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 3710-AJ-M

#### **DEPARTMENT OF DEFENSE**

#### Department of the Army

Intent To Prepare a Draft Environmental impact Statement (DEIS) for a Flood Damage Reduction Study, Kansas Citys, Missouri and Kansas

**AGENCY:** U.S. Army Corps of Engineers, Kansas City District, DoD.

**ACTION:** Notice of intent.

**SUMMARY:** the Kansas Citys, Missouri and Kansas, Local Flood Protection Project is an existing flood damage reduction project comprised of 7 existing levee units: Argentine Levee Unit; Armourdale Levee Unit; Birmingham Levee Unit; Central Industrial District (CID) Levee Unit; East Bottoms Levee Unit; Fairfax-Jersev Creek Levee Unit; and the North Kansas City Levee Unit. The purpose of this study is to consider the economic, environmental, and social impacts that may occur as a result of various alternatives being considered in a flood damage reduction study, concerning flood protection provided by the existing Kansas Citys, Missouri and Kansas, Local Flood Protection Project to determine if increases in flood protection are warranted, under the authority of Section 216 of the 1970 Flood Control Act, Kansas City, Missouri and Kansas City, Kansas.

#### FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

Questions regarding the proposed study and DEIS can be answered by the Project Manager, Ronald G. Jansen, P.E., telephone number (816) 983–3258, Formulation Section, Planning Branch, U.S. Army Corps of Engineers, 700 Federal Building, 601 E. 12th Street, Kansas City, Missouri 64106–2896.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: 1. The Kansas City District (KCD), Corps of Engineers, is undertaking a Flood Damage Reduction Study, to update and verify data on the existing level of flood protection, of the Kansas Citys, Missouri and Kansas, Local Flood Protection Project, to determine if any increases in protection are warranted, under the authority of section 216 of the 1970 Flood Control Act.

- 2. The Kansas Citys, Missouri and Kansas, Local Flood Protection Project is an existing flood damage reduction project which provides local flood protection for the metropolitan areas of Kansas City, Missouri and Kansas City, Kansas. The project is comprised of 7 existing levee units: Argentine Levee Unit located in Kansas City, Wyandotte County, Kansas; Armouradale Levee Unit located in Kansas City, Wyandotte County, Kansas; Birmingham Levee Unit located in Kansas City, Clay County, Missouri; Central Industrial District (CID) Levee Unit located in Kansas City, Wyandotte County, Kansas and Kansas City, Jackson County, Missouri; East Bottoms Levee Unit located in Kansas City, Jackson County, Missouri; Fairfax-Jersey Creek Levee Unit located in Kansas City, Wyandotte County, Kansas; and the North Kansas City Levee Unit located in Kansas City and North Kansas City, Clay County, Missouri. The project extends over the lower 9.5 miles of the Kansas River and on the Missouri River from 6.5 miles upstream to 9.5 miles downstream. The existing project consists principally of levees, floodwalls, bridge and approach alterations, and channel modification and alteration. The project provides protection to a 32-square-mile area of heavily urbanized floodplain at the confluence of the two rivers.
- 3. KCD's study will evaluate the no action alternative as well as various structural and non-structural alternatives to determine:
- a. Flood damage reduction costs and benefits;
- b. regional social and economic impacts; and,
- c. environmental impacts and mitigation measures.

Reasonable alternatives KCD will examine include the feasibility of various structural and non-structural measures to reduce flood damage within areas protected by the existing Kansas Citys, Missouri and Kansas, Local Flood Protection Project. Structural alternatives will involve minor increases in height of the existing levee to protect, in most instances, existing urban development.

- 4. Scoping Process.
- a. A public workshop will be held at Kansas City in Spring 2001. The exact date, time, and location of the workshop will be announced when the details are finalized. Additional workshops will be held as the study progresses to keep the public informed. Coordination meetings will be held as needed with affected/concerned local, State, and Federal governmental entities.

These workshops and meetings, as well as any meetings which were previously held regarding this project, will serve as the collective scoping process for preparation of the DEIS. No formal "scoping" meeting will be held.

Draft documents forthcoming from the study will be distributed to Federal, State, and local agencies, as well as interested members of the general public, for review and comment.

- b. Significant issues to be analyzed in depth include evaluations of:
- (1) level of flood protection provided by the existing flood protection project;
- (2) costs and benefits associated with alternatives that increase the flood protection level of the existing flood protection project,
- (3) impacts to fish and wildlife resources,
  - (4) recreation,
  - (5) cultural resources,
  - (6) navigation and
  - (7) water supply.
- c. Environmental consultation and review will be conducted in accordance with the requirements of the National Environmental Policy Act of 1969, as per regulations of the Council of Environmental Quality (Code of Federal Regulations parts 40 CFR 1500–1508), and other applicable laws, regulations, and guidelines.
- 5. The anticipated date of availability of the DEIS for public review is August 2002.

### John A. Hall,

Alternate Army Federal Register Liaison Officer.

[FR Doc. 01–769 Filed 1–9–01; 8:45 am]

#### **DEPARTMENT OF DEFENSE**

# Department of Army; Corps of Engineers

Intent To Prepare a Draft
Environmental Impact Statement
(DEIS) for a Proposed Green Book
Flood Control Project, Upper Portion of
the Green Brook SubBasin of the
Raritan River Basin Middlesex,
Somerset and Union Counties, State of
New Jersey

**AGENCY:** U.S. Army Corps of Engineers,

DoD.

**ACTION:** Notice of intent.

**SUMMARY:** The U.S. Army Corps of Engineers (USACE), New York District, announces its intent to prepare an Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) pursuant to the National Environmental Policy Act (NEPA), in accordance with the Council on Environmental Quality (CEQ) Regulations for Implementing the Procedural Provisions of NEPA and the Department of the Army, USACE Procedures for Implementing NEPA of New Jersey. Flood protection methods for the three study areas of the Green Brook Sub-basin; the Upper Portion, the Lower Portion and the Stony Brook Portion; were previously evaluated in the USACE 1980 Environmental Impact Statement and the USACE May 1997 Final General Reevaluation Report and Supplemental Environmental Impact Statement. Action within the Upper Portion of the Sub-basin was deferred until this time based on a degree of public concern regarding previously proposed flood control plans for the Upper Portion. The USACE has initiated a Reformulation Study to evaluate reasonable solutions to flooding problems in the Upper Portion previously identified in the May 1977 GRR. In accordance with USACE policies, the Reformulation Study will evaluate a range of alternatives including nonstructural measures, channel modifications, flood control tunnels, surface diversions, and detention structures.

## FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

Questions about the proposed action and draft EIS can be answered by Ms. Megan B. Grubb, (212) 264–5759, U.S. Army Engineer District, New York Planning Division, Attn: CENAN–PL– EA, 26 Federal Plaza, New York, NY 10278–0090.

### SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

#### **Background**

The Green Book Sub-basin of the Raritan River Basin has been subject to frequently severe and sometimes