however, were adopted after 2007, when the exemptions at issue were initially granted. Consequently, the new provisions played no part in the NRC's decision-making on the current decision to grant the exemptions.

Publication of the draft EA and FONSI for the requested exemptions included a brief discussion of this regulatory amendment to inform the public of a topically-relevant change in the NRC's regulations occurring since the NRC approved the requested exemptions in 2007 (78 FR 20144: April 3, 2013). The NRC included this information because these changes will be relevant to future exemption requests, but did not suggest that 10 CFR 51.22(c)(9) applies to the requested exemptions. Moreover, the NRC observed in the discussion that "[a]lthough NRC approval of exemptions that meet the criteria of this section no longer require preparation of an EA/FONSI, the NRC retains discretion to prepare an EA and FONSI, including an opportunity for public comment, where special circumstances exist." Finally, we note that the NRC recently published an editorial correction to 10 CFR 51.22(c)(9) (78 FR 34245: June 7, 2013) to clarify that this provision categorically excludes certain kinds of stand-alone exemptions from environmental review, not just exemptions issued as a license amendment.

III. Finding of No Significant Impact

On the basis of the environmental assessment, the NRC concludes that the proposed action will not have a significant effect on the quality of the human environment. Accordingly, the NRC has determined not to prepare an environmental impact statement for the proposed action.

For further details with respect to the proposed action, see the licensee's letters dated July 24, 2006, April 30, 2007, May 23, 2007, and August 16, 2007 (ADAMS Accession Nos. ML062140057, ML071280504, ML071520177, ML072400369, respectively); the EA and FONSI, dated September 24, 2007 (ADAMS Accession No. ML072110018); the NRC letter dated September 28, 2007, approving the exemption (ADAMS Accession No. ML072410254); and the draft EA and FONSI, dated March 26, 2013 (ADAMS Accession No. ML13066A275).

Dated at Rockville, Maryland, this 19th day of August 2013.

For the Nuclear Regulatory Commission. **Michele G. Evans**,

Director, Division of Operating Reactor Licensing, Office of Nuclear Reactor Regulation.

[FR Doc. 2013–20703 Filed 8–26–13; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 7590-01-P

NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION

Sunshine Act Meetings Notice

AGENCY HOLDING THE MEETINGS: Nuclear Regulatory Commission, [NRC–2013–0001].

DATE: Weeks of August 26, September 2, 9, 16, 23, 30, 2013.

PLACE: Commissioners' Conference Room, 11555 Rockville Pike, Rockville, Maryland.

STATUS: Public and Closed.

Week of August 26, 2013

Monday August 26, 2013

2:00 p.m. Discussion of Management and Personnel Issues (Closed—Ex. 2 and 6).

Tuesday, August 27, 2013

9:00 a.m. Briefing on NRC's Construction Activities (Public Meeting) (Contact: Michelle Hayes, 301–415–8375).

This meeting will be webcast live at the Web address—www.nrc.gov.

3:00 p.m. Briefing on NRC International Activities (Closed—Ex. 1 & 9) (Contact: Karen Henderson, 301–415–0202).

Week of September 2, 2013—Tentative

There are no meetings scheduled for the week of September 2, 2013.

Week of September 9, 2013—Tentative

There are no meetings scheduled for the week of September 9, 2013.

Week of September 16, 2013—Tentative

There are no meetings scheduled for the week of September 16, 2013.

Week of September 23, 2013—Tentative

There are no meetings scheduled for the week of September 23, 2013.

Week of September 30, 2013—Tentative

There are no meetings scheduled for the week of September 30, 2013.

The schedule for Commission meetings is subject to change on short notice. To verify the status of meetings, call (recording)—301–415–1292. Contact person for more information: Rochelle Bavol, 301–415–1651.

* * * * *

The NRC Commission Meeting Schedule can be found on the Internet at: http://www.nrc.gov/public-involve/public-meetings/schedule.html.

The NRC provides reasonable accommodation to individuals with disabilities where appropriate. If you need a reasonable accommodation to participate in these public meetings, or need this meeting notice or the transcript or other information from the public meetings in another format (e.g. braille, large print), please notify Kimberly Meyer, NRC Disability Program Manager, at 301–287–0727, or by email at kimberly.meyer-chambers@nrc.gov. Determinations on requests for reasonable accommodation will be made on a case-by-case basis.

This notice is distributed electronically to subscribers. If you no longer wish to receive it, or would like to be added to the distribution, please contact the Office of the Secretary, Washington, DC 20555 (301–415–1969), or send an email to darlene.wright@nrc.gov.

Dated: August 22, 2013.

Rochelle C. Bavol,

Policy Coordinator, Office of the Secretary. [FR Doc. 2013–20972 Filed 8–23–13; 4:15 pm] BILLING CODE 7590–01–P

SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION

Sunshine Act Meeting

Notice is hereby given, pursuant to the provisions of the Government in the Sunshine Act, Public Law 94–409, that the Securities and Exchange Commission will hold a Closed Meeting on Thursday, August 29, 2013 at 2:00 p.m.

Commissioners, Counsel to the Commissioners, the Secretary to the Commission, and recording secretaries will attend the Closed Meeting. Certain staff members who have an interest in the matters also may be present.

The General Counsel of the Commission, or her designee, has certified that, in her opinion, one or more of the exemptions set forth in 5 U.S.C. 552b(c)(3), (5), (7), 9(B) and (10) and 17 CFR 200.402(a)(3), (5), (7), 9(ii) and (10), permit consideration of the scheduled matters at the Closed Meeting.

Commissioner Aguilar, as duty officer, voted to consider the items listed for the Closed Meeting in a closed session.

The subject matter of the Closed Meeting will be:

Institution and settlement of injunctive actions;

institution and settlement of administrative proceedings; an adjudicatory matter; and

other matters relating to enforcement proceedings.

At times, changes in Commission priorities require alterations in the scheduling of meeting items.

For further information and to ascertain what, if any, matters have been added, deleted or postponed, please contact the Office of the Secretary at (202) 551–5400.

Dated: August 22, 2013.

Kevin M. O'Neill,

Deputy Secretary.

[FR Doc. 2013-20935 Filed 8-23-13; 11:15 am]

BILLING CODE 8011-01-P

SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION

[Release No. 34–70242; File No. SR–Phlx–2013–76]

Self-Regulatory Organizations; NASDAQ OMX PHLX LLC; Notice of Filing of Proposed Rule Change Relating to the Discontinuation of the Differentiation of Price Improvement XL Orders of Less Than 50 Contracts

August 21, 2013.

Pursuant to Section 19(b)(1) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 ("Act"),¹ and Rule 19b–4² thereunder, notice is hereby given that on August 16, 2013, NASDAQ OMX PHLX LLC ("Phlx" or "Exchange") filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission ("SEC" or "Commission") the proposed rule change as described in Items I, II, and III below, which Items have been prepared by the Exchange. The Commission is publishing this notice to solicit comments on the proposed rule change from interested persons.

I. Self-Regulatory Organization's Statement of the Terms of the Substance of the Proposed Rule Change

The Exchange proposes to discontinue the differentiation in subsection (n)(i)(A)(2) and subsection (n)(i)(B)(2) of Rule 1080 (Phlx XL and Phlx XL II) regarding Price Improvement XL ("PIXL") Orders that are for a size of less than 50 contracts.³ The text of the

proposed rule change is available on the Exchange's Web site at http://
nasdaqomxphlx.cchwallstreet.com/
nasdaqomxphlx/phlx at the principal office of the Exchange, and at the Commission's Public Reference Room.

II. Self-Regulatory Organization's Statement of the Purpose of, and Statutory Basis for, the Proposed Rule Change

In its filing with the Commission, the Exchange included statements concerning the purpose of and basis for the proposed rule change and discussed any comments it received on the proposed rule change. The text of these statements may be examined at the places specified in Item IV below. The Exchange has prepared summaries, set forth in sections A, B, and C below, of the most significant aspects of such statements.

A. Self-Regulatory Organization's Statement of the Purpose of, and Statutory Basis for, the Proposed Rule Change

1. Purpose

The purpose of the proposed rule change is to discontinue the differentiation in subsection (n)(i)(A)(2) and subsection (n)(i)(B)(2) of Rule 1080 regarding PIXL Orders that are for a size of less than 50 contracts.

The PIXL program in Rule 1080(n) provides a price-improvement mechanism in which a member (an "Initiating Member") may electronically submit for execution an order it represents as agent on behalf of a public customer, broker-dealer, or any other entity (known as the "PIXL Order") against principal interest or against any other order it represents as agent, provided that such Initiating Member submits the PIXL Order for electronic execution into the one-second long PIXL Auction ("Auction") pursuant to the rule. In addition, PIXL provides for the automatic execution, under certain conditions, of a crossing transaction where there is a public customer order in the same options series on each side.

Currently, subsection (n)(i)(A) of Rule 1080 states that for public customer orders, if a PIXL Order is for 50 contracts or more, the Initiating Member must stop the entire PIXL Order at a price that is equal to or better than the National Best Bid or Offer ("NBBO") on the opposite side of the market from the PIXL Order, provided that such price must be at least one minimum price improvement increment (as determined by the Exchange but not smaller than one cent) better than any limit order on the limit order book on the same side of

the market as the PIXL Order. Subsection (n)(i)(B) states that for nonpublic customer orders (i.e., where the order is for the account of a brokerdealer or any other person or entity that is not a public customer), if the order is for 50 contracts or more, the Initiating Member must stop the entire PIXL Order at a price that is the better of: (i) The PBBO price improved by at least one minimum price improvement increment on the same side of the market as the PIXL Order; or (ii) the PIXL Order's limit price (if the order is a limit order), provided in either case that such price is at or better than the NBBO.

Two subsections of Rule 1080 ((n)(i)(A)(2) and (n)(i)(B)(2) currently require, on a pilot basis expiring July 18, 2014, a separate price improvement process for public customer and nonpublic customer PIXL Orders that are less than 50 contracts in size. Subsection (n)(i)(A)(2) states that if the PIXL Order is for less than 50 contracts, the Initiating Member must stop the entire PIXL Order at a price that is the better of: (i) The PBBO price on the opposite side of the market from the PIXL Order, improved by at least one minimum price improvement increment; or (ii) the PIXL Order's limit price (if the order is a limit order), provided in either case that such price is at or better than the NBBO, and at least one price improvement increment better than any limit order on the book on the same side of the market as the PIXL Order. Subsection (n)(i)(B)(2) states that if the PIXL Order is for less than 50 contracts, the Initiating Member must stop the entire PIXL Order at a price that is the better of: (i) The PBBO price improved by at least one minimum price improvement increment on the same side of the market as the PIXL Order; or (ii) the PIXL Order's limit price (if the order is a limit order), provided in either case that such price is at or better than the NBBO and at least one price improvement increment better than the PBBO on the opposite side of the market from the PIXL Order. Subsections (n)(i)(A)(2) and (n)(i)(B)(2)are together known as the "Differentiation Provision".

The Exchange is proposing to discontinue the Differentiation Provision and the disparate treatment for PIXL Orders for less than 50 contracts.⁴ As a result, all PIXL Orders regardless of their size will be treated the same as PIXL Orders that are 50

¹ 15 U.S.C. 78s(b)(1).

² 17 CFR 240.19b–4.

³ See Exchange Act Release No. 63027 (October 1, 2010), 75 FR 62160 (October 7, 2010) (SR-Phlx—2010–108) (order approving the PIXL electronic price improvement program and the noted pilot programs) (the "PIXL Filing").

⁴ The Exchange is making conforming changes throughout subsection (n) of Rule 1080 to delete any rule text that differentiates PIXL procedures based on size.