

burden of the collection of information on respondents, including through the use of automated collection techniques or other forms of information technology.

Comments submitted in response to this notice will be summarized and/or included in the request for OMB approval of this information collection; they also will become a matter of public record.

Dated: May 1, 2003.

Madeleine Clayton,

Management Analyst, Office of the Chief Information Officer.

[FR Doc. 03-11238 Filed 5-6-03; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 3510-07-P

DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

International Trade Administration

[A-570-827]

Certain Cased Pencils from the People's Republic of China: Extension of Time Limit for Final Results of Antidumping Duty Administrative Review

AGENCY: Import Administration, International Trade Administration, Department of Commerce.

EFFECTIVE DATE: May 7, 2003.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Paul Stolz or Crystal Crittenden, AD/CVD Enforcement, Office 4, Group II, Import Administration, International Trade Administration, U.S. Department of Commerce, 14th Street and Constitution Avenue, NW, Washington, DC 20230; telephone (202) 482-4474 or (202) 482-0989, respectively.

Time Limits

Statutory Time Limits

Section 751(a)(3)(A) of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended (the Act), requires the Department of Commerce (the Department) to make a preliminary determination within 245 days after the last day of the anniversary month of an order or finding for which a review is requested and a final determination within 120 days after the date on which the preliminary determination is published. However, if it is not practicable to complete the review within these time periods, section 751(a)(3)(A) of the Act allows the Department to extend the 245-day time limit for the preliminary determination to a maximum of 365 days and the time limit for the final determination to 180 days (or 300 days if the Department does not extend the time limit for the preliminary determination) from the

date of publication of the preliminary determination.

Background

On January 29, 2002, the Department published a notice of initiation of administrative review of the antidumping duty order on certain cased pencils from the People's Republic of China, covering the period December 1, 2000, through November 30, 2001. *See Initiation of Antidumping and Countervailing Duty Administrative Reviews*, 67 FR 4236. On January 13, 2003, we published the preliminary results of review (68 FR 1591). In our notice of preliminary results, we stated our intention to issue the final results of this review no later than 120 days from the date of publication of the preliminary results.

Extension of Time Limit for Final Results of Review

We determine that it is not practicable to complete the final results of this review within the original time limit. Therefore, the Department is extending the time limit for completion of the final results until no later than July 12, 2003. *See Decision Memorandum from Thomas Futtner to Holly A. Kuga, dated concurrently with this notice, which is on file in the Central Records Unit, Room B-099 of the Department's main building. This extension is in accordance with section 751(a)(3)(A) of the Act.*

May 1, 2003.

Holly A. Kuga,

Acting Deputy Assistant Secretary for Import Administration, Group II.

[FR Doc. 03-11356 Filed 5-6-03; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 3510-DS-S

DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

International Trade Administration

[A-823-808]

Final Results of Five-Year Sunset Review of Suspended Antidumping Duty Investigation on Certain Cut-to-Length Carbon Steel Plate from Ukraine

AGENCY: Import Administration, International Trade Administration, Department of Commerce.

ACTION: Notice of Final Results of Full Sunset Review: Certain Cut-to-Length Carbon Steel Plate from Ukraine.

SUMMARY: On December 31, 2002, the Department of Commerce ("the Department") published a notice of preliminary results of the full sunset review of the suspended antidumping

duty investigation on certain cut-to-length carbon plate steel ("CTL plate") from Ukraine (67 FR 79901), in accordance with section 751(c) of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended ("the Act"). We provided interested parties an opportunity to comment on our preliminary results. We received a case brief from the Embassy of Ukraine ("the Embassy"). In addition, we received a rebuttal brief from domestic interested parties Bethlehem Steel Corporation and United States Steel Corporation. As a result of this review, the Department finds that termination of the suspended antidumping duty investigation on CTL plate from Ukraine would likely lead to continuation or recurrence of dumping at the levels indicated in the Final Results of Review section of this notice.

EFFECTIVE DATE: May 7, 2003.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

Shannon M. McCormack or James P. Maeder, Jr., Office of Policy for Import Administration, International Trade Administration, U.S. Department of Commerce, 14th Street and Constitution Avenue, NW, Washington, DC 20230; telephone: (202) 482-2539 or (202) 482-3330, respectively.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

Statute and Regulations:

This review is being conducted pursuant to sections 751(c) and 752 of the Act. The Department's procedures for the conduct of sunset reviews are set forth in *Procedures for Conducting Five-year ("Sunset") Reviews of Antidumping and Countervailing Duty Orders*, 63 FR 13516 (March 20, 1998) ("Sunset Regulations") and in 19 CFR Part 351 (2000) in general. Guidance on methodological or analytical issues relevant to the Department's conduct of sunset reviews is set forth in the Department's Policy Bulletin 98.3 *Policies Regarding the Conduct of Five-year ("Sunset") Reviews of Antidumping and Countervailing Duty Orders; Policy Bulletin*, 63 FR 18871 (April 16, 1998) ("Sunset Policy Bulletin").

Background:

In our preliminary results, published on December 31, 2002 (67 FR 79901), we found that the termination of the suspended antidumping duty investigation on CTL plate from Ukraine would be likely to lead to continuation or recurrence of dumping, at margins determined in the final determination of the original investigation.

On February 10, 2003, the Department received a case brief from the Embassy of Ukraine. *See Case Brief from the Embassy of Ukraine, Trade and*