

Phase II of ESPH

Child intercepts—200 respondents × 4 locations = 800 respondents (padded to 1000)

Adult intercepts—200 respondents × 4 locations = 800 respondents (padded to 1000)

Child focus groups—24 grps × 12 respondents/grp = 288 respondents (padded to 300)

Adult focus groups—32 grps × 12 respondents/grp = 384 respondents (padded to 400)

Professional staff—120 respondents
Total = 2272 respondents (padded up to 2700)

Phase II of ESPH Web Site Development

Total = 300 respondents

Dietary Guidelines Low-Literacy Materials

English-speaking intercepts—80 respondents (padded to 100)

Spanish-speaking intercepts—80 respondents (padded to 100)

English-speaking focus groups—12 groups × 10 respondents = 120 respondents

Spanish-speaking focus groups—12 groups × 10 respondents = 120 respondents

Professional staff—30 respondents (padded to 60)

Total = 430 respondents (padded up to 500)

Spanish Conversion

Spanish focus groups—12 groups × 10 respondents = 120 respondents

Spanish intercepts—112 respondents (padded to 130)

Total = 232 respondents (padded to 250)

Grand Total = 3750 respondents.

Number of Responses per

Respondent: 15.

Estimated Time per Response:

Total Interviews (one on one interviews) = 2810 × 30 min (per contractor) = 84,300/60 = 1405 hours.

Total Focus Groups = 1060 × 2 hrs (per contractor) = 2120 hours.

Total Estimated Hours of Burden not to exceed = 3525 hours.

Estimated Total Annual Burden on Respondents: Public reporting burden for this collection of information is estimated to average 3750 respondents with a total estimated burden of 3525 hours.

Dated: December 5, 2001.

George A. Braley,

Acting Administrator, Food and Nutrition Service.

[FR Doc. 01-30835 Filed 12-13-01; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 3410-30-U

DEPARTMENT OF AGRICULTURE**Forest Service****Newspapers Used for Publication of Legal Notice of Appealable Decisions for the Northern Region; Idaho, Montana, North Dakota, and Portions of South Dakota and Eastern Washington**

AGENCY: Forest Service, USDA.

ACTION: Notice.

SUMMARY: This notice lists the newspapers that will be used by all Ranger Districts, Forests, and the Regional Office of the Northern Region to publish legal notice of all decisions subject to appeal under 36 CFR parts 215 and 217 and to publish notices for public comment and notice of decision subject to the provisions of 36 CFR part 215. The intended effect of this action is to inform interested members of the public which newspapers will be used to publish legal notices for public comment or decisions; thereby allowing them to receive constructive notice of a decision, to provide clear evidence of timely notice, and to achieve consistency in administering the appeals process.

DATES: Publication of legal notices in the listed newspapers will begin with decisions subject to appeal that are made on or after December 14, 2001. The list of newspapers will remain in effect until another notice is published in the **Federal Register**.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

Appeals and Litigation Group Leader; Northern Region; PO Box 7669; Missoula, Montana 59807. Phone: (406) 329-3696.

The newspapers to be used are as follows:

Northern Regional Office.—Regional Forester decisions in Montana:

The Missoulian, Great Falls Tribune, and the Billings Gazette.

Regional Forester decisions in Northern Idaho and Eastern Washington: The Spokesman Review. Regional Forester decisions in North Dakota: Bismarck Tribune.

Regional Forester decisions in South Dakota: Rapid City Journal.

Beaverhead/Deerlodge—Montana

Standard

Bitterroot—Ravalli Republic

Clearwater—Lewiston Morning Tribune

Custer—Billings Gazette (Montana)

Rapid City Journal (South Dakota)

Dakota Prairie National Grasslands—

Bismarck Tribune (North Dakota)

Rapid City Journal (South Dakota)

Flathead—Daily Interlake

Gallatin—Bozeman Chronicle

Helena—Independent Record
Idaho Panhandle—Spokesman Review
Kootenai—Daily Interlake
Lewis & Clark—Great Falls Tribune
Lolo—Missoulian
Nez Perce—Lewiston Morning Tribune

Supplemental notices may be placed in any newspaper, but time frames/deadlines will be calculated based upon notices in newspapers of record listed above.

Dated: December 7, 2001.

Kathleen A. McAllister,

Deputy Regional Forester.

[FR Doc. 01-30861 Filed 12-13-01; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 3410-11-M

DEPARTMENT OF AGRICULTURE**Forest Service****Salmon-Challis National Forest Noxious Weed Environmental Impact Statement; Intent To Prepare a Draft Environmental Impact Statement (DEIS) for a Proposed Forest-Wide Noxious Weed Management Program**

AGENCY: Forest Service, USDA.

ACTION: Notice of intent.

SUMMARY: The USDA, Forest Service is gathering information and preparing an Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for a forest-wide noxious weed management program. The intent of this program is to: Protect the natural condition and biodiversity of ecosystems by preventing and/or limiting the introduction and subsequent spread of invasive, non-native plant species that displace native vegetation; eliminate new invaders before they become established; contain and reduce known and potential weed seed sources throughout the forest; prevent or limit the spread of established weeds into areas containing little or no infestation; protect sensitive and unique habitats including research natural areas, wetlands, riparian areas, and sensitive plant populations; and develop criteria to prioritize invasive weed species and treatment areas. Prioritization will be given to treating areas that may contribute to the spread of weeds into Lemhi, Custer, and Butte Counties within the Salmon-Challis National Forest (S-CNF).

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:**Background**

The Salmon-Challis NF embraces Integrated Pest Management (IPM) practices (as defined in Forest Service Handbook 3409) in managing various pests, including noxious and invasive non-native weeds. This philosophy is