

## DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE

**Notice of Lodging Proposed Consent Decree**

In accordance with Departmental Policy, 28 CFR 50.7, notice is hereby given that a proposed Consent Decree in *United States, et al. v. Greer Industries, Inc., et al.*, Case No. 1:17-cv-00004-IMK, was lodged with the United States District Court for the Northern District of West Virginia on January 9, 2017.

This proposed Consent Decree concerns a complaint filed by the United States and the State of West Virginia against Greer Industries, Inc., Deckers Creek Limestone Company, and Pikewood, Inc., pursuant to 33 U.S.C. Sections 1319(b) and (d) of the Clean Water Act and W. Va. Code Section 22-11-22, to obtain injunctive relief from and impose civil penalties against the Defendants for violating the Clean Water Act by discharging pollutants without a permit into waters of the United States and waters of the State of West Virginia. The proposed Consent Decree resolves these allegations by requiring the Defendants to restore the impacted areas and/or perform mitigation and to pay a civil penalty.

The Department of Justice will accept written comments relating to this proposed Consent Decree for thirty (30) days from the date of publication of this Notice. Please address comments to Austin Saylor, Trial Attorney, United States Department of Justice, Environment and Natural Resources Division, Environmental Defense Section, Post Office Box 7611, Washington, DC 20044, and refer to *United States, et al. v. Greer Industries, Inc., et al.*, DJ # 90-5-1-19059.

The proposed Consent Decree may be examined at the Clerk's Office, United States District Court for the Northern District of West Virginia, 1125 Chapline Street, Suite 1000, Wheeling, West Virginia 26003. In addition, the proposed Consent Decree may be examined electronically at <http://www.justice.gov/enrd/consent-decrees>.

**Cherie L. Rogers,**

*Assistant Section Chief, Environmental Defense Section, Environment and Natural Resources Division.*

[FR Doc. 2017-01133 Filed 1-18-17; 8:45 am]

**BILLING CODE 4410-15-P**

## DEPARTMENT OF LABOR

**Employment and Training Administration**

[TA-W-91,755]

**Kraft Heinz Foods Company, a Subsidiary of the Kraft Heinz Company, Including On-Site Leased Workers From Kelly Services, U.S. Securities, West Side Hammer Electric, and Goodwill Keystone Area, Allentown, Pennsylvania; Amended Certification Regarding Eligibility To Apply for Worker Adjustment Assistance**

In accordance with Section 223 of the Trade Act of 1974, as amended ("Act"), 19 U.S.C. 2273, the Department of Labor issued a Certification of Eligibility to Apply for Worker Adjustment Assistance on May 23, 2016, applicable to workers of Kraft Heinz Foods Company, a subsidiary of The Kraft Heinz Company, including on-site leased workers from Kelly Services, Allentown, Pennsylvania (TA-W-91,755). The Department's notice of determination was published in the **Federal Register** on June 28, 2016 (81 FR 41999).

At the request of the Pennsylvania Department's Workforce Partnership & Operations, the Department reviewed the certification for workers of the subject firm. The workers firm is engaged in activities related to the production of Tassimo Coffee Pods, K-cups, and condiments.

The company reports that workers leased from U.S. Securities, West Side Hammer Electric, and Goodwill Keystone Area were employed on-site at the Allentown, Pennsylvania location of Kraft Heinz Company. The Department has determined that these workers were sufficiently under the operational control of the subject firm to be considered leased workers.

The intent of the Department's certification is to include all workers of the subject firm who were adversely affected by a shift in production of Tassimo Coffee Pods, K-cups, and condiments to a foreign country.

Based on these findings, the Department is amending this certification to include workers leased from U.S. Securities, West Side Hammer Electric, and Goodwill Keystone Area working on-site at the Allentown, Pennsylvania location of the subject firm.

The amended notice applicable to TA-W-91,755 is hereby issued as follows:

All workers from Kraft Heinz Foods Company, a subsidiary of The Kraft Heinz

Company, including on-site leased workers from Kelly Services, U.S. Securities, West Side Hammer Electric, and Goodwill Keystone Area, Allentown, Pennsylvania who became totally or partially separated from employment on or after April 28, 2015 through May 23, 2018 and all workers in the group threatened with total or partial separation from employment on date of certification through two years from the date of certification, are eligible to apply for adjustment assistance under Chapter 2 of Title II of the Trade Act of 1974, as amended.

Signed in Washington, DC, this 12th day of December, 2016.

**Hope D. Kinglock,**

*Certifying Officer, Office of Trade Adjustment Assistance.*

[FR Doc. 2017-01216 Filed 1-18-17; 8:45 am]

**BILLING CODE P**

## DEPARTMENT OF LABOR

**Employment and Training Administration**

[TA-W-92,084]

**Northern Industrial Erectors, Inc., Grand Rapids, Minnesota; Notice of Affirmative Determination Regarding Application for Reconsideration**

By application dated October 3, 2016, State Workforce Official requested administrative reconsideration of the negative determination regarding workers' eligibility to apply for worker adjustment assistance applicable to workers and former workers of Northern Industrial Erectors, Inc., Grand Rapids, Minnesota. The determination was issued on September 9, 2016.

Pursuant to 29 CFR 90.18(c) reconsideration may be granted under the following circumstances:

(1) If it appears on the basis of facts not previously considered that the determination complained of was erroneous;

(2) If it appears that the determination complained of was based on a mistake in the determination of facts not previously considered; or

(3) If in the opinion of the Certifying Officer, a misinterpretation of facts or of the law justified reconsideration of the decision.

The initial investigation resulted in a negative determination based on the findings that there was no increase in imports by the workers' firm or its customers, nor was there a foreign shift or acquisition by the workers' or its customers. In addition, neither the workers' firm nor its customers reported imports of steel erection services like or directly competitive with steel erection services provided by the workers' firm. Furthermore, the workers' firm was not