textile safeguard quotas, and textile quotas on non-WTO countries without agreements in place for 2005. (See 69 FR 72181). That notice referred to a previous notice, published on June 25, 2004, which reminded the public that CITA has the right to permanently deny, or to stage entry of overshipments of textile quotas. (See 69 FR 35586). This notice is to inform the public that overshipments of merchandise subject to any China textile safeguard limits shall be subject to delayed and staged entry, in a manner similar to the procedure explained in the December 13, 2004 notice and letter. In the absence of bilateral agreement with the Government of the People's Republic of China establishing limits beyond the expiration date of safeguard quotas, any overshipments of those quotas shall be subject to the following procedure:

1.) Entry will not be allowed until one month after the expiration date

of the safeguard quota.

2.) At that time, only 5 percent of the base limit will be allowed entry for a one month period beginning on that date.

3) An additional 5 percent will be allowed entry monthly until all overshipments are allowed entry.

A textile safeguard limit on socks from China in Categories 332/432/ 632part has been in place since October 29, 2004, and extends through October 28, 2005. (See 69 FR 63371). Any overshipments of this limit shall be subject to delayed and staged entry as described above, and as provided specifically in the accompanying directive to the Commissioner of U.S. Customs and Border Protection. The base limit for the socks quota for October 29, 2004-October 28, 2005 can be found on the web at http:// otexa.ita.doc.gov/under "Summary of Agreements.'

#### James C. Leonard III,

Chairman, Committee for the Implementation of Textile Agreements.

# Committee for the Implementation of Textile Agreements

April 21, 2005.

Commissioner of Customs, Department of the Treasury, Washington, DC 20229.

Dear Commissioner: This directive provides instructions on permitting entry to goods shipped in excess of the China textile safeguard limit on socks in categories 332/432/632part, which covers goods exported from China during the October 29, 2004 - October 28, 2005 period. For all shipments exported from China that exceed that limit, you are directed to deny entry until November 29, 2005, subject to the following procedure. From November 29 through December 28, 2005, you are directed to

permit entry to goods in an amount equal to 5 percent of the applicable base safeguard limit. For each succeeding period, beginning on the 29th of the month, and extending through the 28th of the following month, to permit entry to goods in an amount equal to 5 percent of the applicable base safeguard limit, until all shipments in excess of the safeguard limit have been entered.

The Committee for the Implementation of Textile Agreements has determined that this action falls within the foreign affairs exception to the rulemaking provisions of 5 U.S.C. 553(a)(1).

Sincerely,
James C. Leonard III,
Chairman, Committee for the
Implementation of Textile Agreements.
[FR Doc. E5–1976 Filed 4–25–05; 8:45 am]
BILLING CODE 3510–DS–S

#### **DEPARTMENT OF DEFENSE**

# Department of the Air Force HQ USAF Scientific Advisory Board

**AGENCY:** Department of the Air Force, DoD.

**ACTION:** Notice of meeting.

SUMMARY: Pursuant to Public Law 92–463, notice is hereby given of the forthcoming meeting of the Air Force Scientific Advisory Board Domain Integration Study. The purpose of this meeting is to gather information pertinent to the Domain Integration Study and to caucus regarding findings and recommendations. Because classified and contractor-proprietary information will be discussed, this meeting will be closed to the public.

DATES: 10–13 May 2005. ADDRESSES: Rosslyn, VA.

#### FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

Major Kyle Gresham, Air Force Scientific Advisory Board Secretariat, 1180 Air Force Pentagon, Rm 5D982, Washington DC 20330–1180, (703) 697– 4808.

#### Albert Bodnar,

Air Force Federal Register Liaison Officer. [FR Doc. 05–8267 Filed 4–25–05; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 5001–05–P

## **DEPARTMENT OF DEFENSE**

### Department of the Army

Availability of the Final Draft Environmental Assessment for the Construction of a Building Addition To Accommodate the U.S. Army Intelligence and Security Command Information Dominance Center

**AGENCY:** Department of the Army, DoD. **ACTION:** Notice of availability.

**SUMMARY:** The United States Army Intelligence and Security Command has prepared an Environmental Assessment (EA) of the potential environmental consequences of constructing a new U.S. Army Intelligence and Security **Command Information Dominance** Center (IDC) building addition at a previously developed site on Fort Belvoir, VA. This Environmental Assessment has been prepared pursuant to the National Environmental Policy Act (NEPA); the Council on **Environmental Quality regulations** implementing the NEPA; Department of Defense (DoD) Directive 6050.1; Environmental Effects in the United States of DoD Actions; and instructions implementing NEPA.

The U.S. Army Intelligence and Security Command (INSCOM) has identified a requirement to construct a building addition to accommodate its IDC. Construction and operation of the IDC facility conforms to Fort Belvoir's current Master Planning strategy. HQ INSCOM and Fort Belvoir have thoroughly reviewed the Proposed Action, Action Alternatives, a No Action Alternative to the Proposed Action, and environmental consequences associated with each. Based on this review and consideration of all relevant factors, HQ INSCOM and Fort Belvoir have determined and concluded that the Proposed Action will not have direct, indirect, or cumulatively significant impacts on the surrounding human environment. An Environmental Impact Statement, therefore, is not required and will not be prepared. HQ INSCOM and Fort Belvoir will consider public comment and concerns prior to making a final determination to proceed with the proposed action.

INSCOM evaluated six alternatives to meet requirements for an expanded IDC facility. These alternatives included: a no action alternative (status quo); renovation of existing HQ INSCOM facilities; renovation of other government facilities; lease of off-post facilities in the general vicinity of Fort Belvoir; construction of new facilities on Fort Belvoir; and construction of an addition to existing HQ INSCOM facilities on Fort Belvoir. Several possible locations were considered in this evaluation, with each alternative being evaluated for mission support and economic, environmental, and security considerations. It is anticipated that construction will begin in Fiscal Year (FY) 2008. Project completion is anticipated in FY2010.

**DATES:** The agency must receive comments on or before May 26, 2005.