TABLE 2 — REGISTRANTS OF CANCELLED PRODUCTS

EPA company No.	Company name and address		
4	Bonide Products, Inc., Agent Registrations By Design, Inc., P.O. Box 1019, Salem, VA 24153–3805.		
352	E. I. Du Pont De Nemours and Co., Inc. (S300/419), 1007 Market Street, Wilmington, DE 19898-0001.		
498	Chase Products Co., P.O. Box 70, Maywood, IL 60153.		
2915	The Fuller Brush Company, One Fuller Way, Great Bend, KS 67530.		
3862	ABC Compounding Co, Inc., P.O. Box 16247, Atlanta, GA 30321.		
8842	Fumakilla Ltd., 1330 Dillon Heights Ave, Baltimore, MD 21228–1199.		
9198	The Anderson's Lawn Fertilizer Division, Inc., P.O. Box 119, Maumee, OH 43537.		
44446	market a contract m // contract m market market m market m market m market m m market m m market m m m m m m m m m m m m m m m m m m		
45188	a a a a a a a a a a a a a a a a a a a		
50534			
53883			
64240			
66330			
84456	Hebei Veyong Bio-Chemical Co., Ltd., Agent Wagner Regulatory Associates, Inc., 4760 Lancaster Pike, Suite 9, P.O. Box 640, Hockessin, DE 19707–0640.		
84538	Sathaporn Marketing Company, Ltd., 1330 Dillon Heights Avenue, Baltimore, MD 21228–1199.		
85678	RedEagle International LLC, Agent Wagner Regulatory Associates, Inc., 4760 Lancaster Pike, Suite 9, P.O. Box 640, Hockessin, DE 19707–0640.		
CA900010; CA910030	Wilbur Ellis Company, P.O. Box 1286, Fresno, CA 93715.		
FL890033 KY030003;	Syngenta Crop Protection, Inc., ATTN: Regulatory Affairs, P.O. Box 18300, Greensboro, NC 27419–8300.		
KY030004; TX040007; WA060003.			
OR910028 WA070003	AMVAC Chemical Corporation, D/B/A AMVAC, 4695 MacArthur Court, Suite 1250, Newport Beach, CA 92660–1706. Gowan Company, P.O. Box 5569, Yuma, AZ 85336–5569.		

III. Summary of Public Comments Received and Agency Response to Comments

During the public comment period provided, EPA received no comments in response to the August 4, 2010 Federal Register notice announcing the Agency's receipt of the requests for voluntary cancellations of products listed in Table 1 of Unit II.

IV. Cancellation Order

Pursuant to FIFRA section 6(f), EPA hereby approves the requested cancellations of the registrations identified in Table 1 of Unit II. Accordingly, the Agency hereby orders that the product registrations identified in Table 1 of Unit II are canceled. The effective date of the cancellations that are subject of this notice is March 2, 2011. Any distribution, sale, or use of existing stocks of the products identified in Table 1 of Unit II. in a manner inconsistent with any of the provisions for disposition of existing stocks set forth in Unit VI. will be a violation of FIFRA.

V. What is the Agency's authority for taking this action?

Section 6(f)(1) of FIFRA provides that a registrant of a pesticide product may at any time request that any of its pesticide registrations be canceled or amended to terminate one or more uses. FIFRA further provides that, before acting on the request, EPA must publish a notice of receipt of any such request in the **Federal Register**. Thereafter, following the public comment period, the EPA Administrator may approve such a request. The notice of receipt for this action was published for comment in the **Federal Register** issue of August 4, 2010 (75 FR 46932) (FRL–8837–9). The comment period closed on January 31, 2011.

VI. Provisions for Disposition of Existing Stocks

Existing stocks are those stocks of registered pesticide products which are currently in the United States and which were packaged, labeled, and released for shipment prior to the effective date of the cancellation action. The existing stocks provisions for the products subject to this order are as follows.

The registrants may continue to sell and distribute existing stocks of products listed in Table 1 of Unit II. until [insert date 1 year after publication of the Cancellation Order], which is 1 year after the publication of the Cancellation Order in the Federal **Register** Thereafter, the registrants are prohibited from selling or distributing products listed in Table 1, except for export in accordance with FIFRA section 17, or proper disposal. Persons other than the registrants may sell, distribute, or use existing stocks of products listed in Table 1 of Unit II. until existing stocks are exhausted, provided that such sale, distribution, or use is consistent with the terms of the

previously approved labeling on, or that accompanied, the canceled products.

List of Subjects

Environmental protection, Pesticides and pests.

Dated: February 24, 2011.

Richard P. Keigwin, Jr.,

Director, Pesticide Re-evaluation Division, Office of Pesticide Programs.

[FR Doc. 2011–4656 Filed 3–1–11; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6560-50-P

FEDERAL COMMUNICATIONS COMMISSION

[CG Docket No. 10-51; DA 11-317]

Consumer and Governmental Affairs Bureau Seeks Comment on Application of New and Emerging Technologies for Video Relay Service Use

AGENCY: Federal Communications Commission.

ACTION: Notice.

SUMMARY: In this document, the Commission seeks comment regarding new and emerging technologies that may be used to access Video Relay Service (VRS). With the proliferation of access to VRS through mobile technologies, the Commission has an interest in gathering information about use of these technologies in compliance with the Commission's rules. Comments received in response to this document

will supplement the comments received in response to the VRS Structure and Practices Notice of Inquiry (VRS Structure and Practices NOI), and will be incorporated into the record of that proceeding.

DATES: Comments are due April 1, 2011. Reply comments are due April 18, 2011. ADDRESSES: FCC Headquarters at 445 12th Street, SW., Room TW-A325, Washington, DC 20554. You may submit comments, identified by [CG Docket No. 10–51], by any of the following methods:

- *Electronic Filers:* Comments may be filed electronically using the Internet by accessing the Commission's Electronic Comment Filing System (ECFS) http:// *fjallfoss.fcc.gov/ecfs2/* or the Federal eRulemaking Portal: http:// www.regulations.gov. Filers should follow the instructions provided on the Web site for submitting comments and transmit one electronic copy of the filing to each docket number referenced in the caption, which in this case is CG Docket No. 10-51. For ECFS filers, in completing the transmittal screen, filers should include their full name, U.S. Postal Service mailing address, and the applicable docket number.
- Parties may also submit an electronic comment by Internet e-mail. To get filing instructions, filers should send an e-mail to ecfs@fcc.gov, and include the following words in the body of the message, "get form {your e-mail address}. A sample form and directions will be sent in response.
- Paper Filers: Parties who choose to file by paper must file an original and four copies of each filing. In addition, parties must send one copy to the Commission's duplicating contractor, Best Copy and Printing, Inc., 445 12th Street, SW., Washington, DC 20554, or via e-mail to fcc@bcpiweb.com. Filings can be sent by hand or messenger delivery, by commercial overnight courier, or by first-class or overnight U.S. Postal Service mail. All filings must be addressed to the Commission's Secretary, Office of the Secretary, Federal Communications Commission.
- All hand-delivered or messengerdelivered paper filings for the Commission's Secretary must be delivered to FCC Headquarters at 445 12th Street, SW., Room TW-A325, Washington, DC 20554. All hand deliveries must be held together with rubber bands or fasteners.
- Envelopes must be disposed of *before* entering the building. The filing hours are 8 a.m. to 7 p.m.
- Commercial overnight mail (other than U.S. Postal Service Express Mail and Priority Mail) must be sent to 9300

East Hampton Drive, Capitol Heights, MD 20743. U.S. Postal Service firstclass, Express, and Priority mail must be addressed to 445 12th Street, SW., Washington DC 20554.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

Diane Mason, Consumer and Governmental Affairs Bureau, Disability Rights Office, at (202) 418–7126 or Diane.Mason@fcc.gov.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: This is a summary of the Commission's Consumer and Governmental Affairs Bureau Seeks Comment on Application of New and Emerging Technologies for Video Relay Service Use, Public Notice, document DA 11–317, released on February 17, 2011, in CG Docket No. 10–51.

The full text of document DA 11-317 and copies of any subsequently filed documents in this matter will be available for public inspection and copying via ECFS, and during regular business hours at the FCC Reference Information Center, Portals II, 445 12th Street, SW., Room CY-A257, Washington, DC 20554. They may also be purchased from the Commission's duplicating contractor, Best Copy and Printing, Inc., Portals II, 445 12th Street, SW., Room CY-B402, Washington, DC 20554, telephone: (800) 378-3160, fax: (202) 488–5563, or *Internet: http://* www.bcpiweb.com. Document DA 11–317 can also be downloaded in Word or Portable Document Format (PDF) at http://www.fcc.gov/cgb/policy. To request materials in accessible formats for people with disabilities (Braille, large print, electronic files, audio format), send an e-mail to fcc504@fcc.gov or call the Consumer and Governmental Affairs Bureau at 202-418-0530 (voice), 202-418-0432 (TTY).

In the VRS Structure and Practices *NOI*, the Commission designated the *ex* parte status of the proceeding as 'permit-but-disclose," so any presentations related to document DA 11-317 will also be designated as such. Pursuant to 47 CFR 1.1200 et. seq., this matter shall be treated as a "permit-butdisclose" proceeding in accordance with the Commission's ex parte rules. Persons making oral ex parte presentations are reminded that memoranda summarizing the presentations must contain summaries of the substance of the presentations and not merely a listing of the subjects discussed. More than a one or two sentence description of the views and arguments presented is generally required. Other rules pertaining to oral and written ex parte presentations in

permit-but-disclose proceedings are set forth in 47 CFR 1.1206(b).

Synopsis

As part of ongoing VRS reform efforts associated with the VRS Structure and Practices NOI, the Bureau seeks additional comment and information regarding new and emerging technologies that may be used to access VRS. See Structure and Practices of the Video Relay Service Program, Notice of Inquiry, published at 75 FR 41863, July 19, 2010. In the VRS Structure and Practices NOI, the Commission sought comment on how to improve the VRS program "to ensure that it is available to and used by the full spectrum of eligible users, encourages innovation, and is provided efficiently so as to be less susceptible to the waste, fraud, and abuse that plague the current program and threaten its long-term viability." The NOI also sought comment on a number of issues concerning the provision of off-the-shelf video equipment, including the extent to which such equipment is available and affordable to VRS consumers, the extent to which this equipment can serve as an acceptable substitute for videophone equipment and software specifically designed for VRS users, and the extent to which changes in the VRS program are needed to allow consumers to use such equipment for VRS calls. Given the recent proliferation of these video technologies, the Bureau now requests that interested parties provide additional information and comment on the specific functionalities of these devices as they relate to the provision and use of VRS as follows:

- What specific features or functions of off-the-shelf equipment, services, and software are needed to effectively use VRS? Commenters should specify whether each feature or function is necessary to use VRS and point-to-point communications or could be optional. What broadband speeds and frames-persecond transmission rates are necessary for acceptable video quality? What lux (lx) level ratings are required for a camera to produce acceptable images in low light settings? What other features must a camera have (e.g., pan, zoom, tilt)? How much jitter (lateral and angular) is tolerable?
- To what extent are consumers currently using off-the-shelf video communication software and/or platforms in connection with VRS? How often do consumers use these technologies (e.g., Skype, Apple FaceTime) as compared to equipment and software issued by VRS providers for point-to-point communications? What are the advantages and

disadvantages of the off-the-shelf technologies compared to technologies provided by VRS-providers? For example, are there specific functionalities—for either VRS or pointto-point communications—that these technologies offer that are not available on devices issued by providers? What are the current limitations of such technologies (e.g., with respect to interoperability, numbering, emergency services) and to what extent do such limitations impede their use by persons who rely on VRS? Do such off-the-shelf technologies comply with the Commission's current rules? If they do not comply, in what ways do they not comply?

Federal Communications Commission. Karen Peltz Strauss,

Deputy Bureau Chief, Consumer and Governmental Affairs Bureau.

 $[FR\ Doc.\ 2011-4646\ Filed\ 3-1-11;\ 8:45\ am]$

BILLING CODE 6712-01-P

FEDERAL COMMUNICATIONS COMMISSION

Sunshine Act Meeting; Open Commission Meeting; Thursday, March 3, 2011

February 24, 2011.

The Federal Communications Commission will hold an Open Meeting on the subjects listed below on Thursday, March 3, 2011, in Room TW– C305, at 445 12th Street, SW., Washington, DC.

The Commission will hear Item Nos. 1 thru 3 in a morning session from 10 a.m. to 12 p.m. An afternoon session featuring Item Nos. 4 thru 7 will commence at 2 p.m.

Item No.	Bureau	Subject
1	Media	Title: Policies to Promote Rural Radio Service and to Streamline Allotment and Assignment Procedures (MB Docket No. 09–52; RM–11528). Summary: The Commission will consider an Order to revise rules or establish waiver standards that will make it easier for Native Nations to provide radio service to areas that are the functional equivalent of Tribal Lands and to Tribal Lands that are small or irregularly shaped; and to adjust policies for determining whether proposed new radio stations or station moves constitute an equitable distribution of radio service under Section 307(b) of the Communications Act. A Further Notice seeks comment on adopting a Tribal eligibility requirement or a Tribal bidding credit to foster radio service by Native Nations on their lands.
2	Wireless Telecommunications	Title: Improving Communications Services for Native Nations by Promoting Greater Utilization of Spectrum Over Tribal Lands. Summary: The Commission will consider a Notice of Proposed Rulemaking to explore a range of recommendations to help close the wireless gap on Tribal Lands.
3	Consumer & Governmental Affairs	Title: Improving Communications Services for Native Nations. Summary: The Commission will consider a Notice of Inquiry that explores ways to overcome the barriers to deployment of communications services to Native Nations communities, and to improve consultation and coordination with Native Nations. Break * * *.
4	Media	Title: Amendment of the Commission's Rules Related to Retransmission Consent (MB Docket No. 10–71). Summary: The Commission will consider a Notice of Proposed Rulemaking that seeks comment on changes to rules governing or affecting retransmission consent negotiations between broadcasters and multichannel video programming distributors.
5	Wireline Competition	Title: Federal-State Joint Board on Universal Service (CC Docket No. 96–45); Lifeline and Link Up (WC Docket No. 03–109); Lifeline and Link Up Reform and Modernization. Summary: The Commission will consider a Notice of Proposed Rulemaking to reform and modernize the universal service Lifeline and Link Up programs by eliminating waste, fraud, and abuse; improving program administration, accountability, and fiscal responsibility; and updating the program in light of market and technology changes, including to support pilot programs for broadband adoption.
6	Wireless Telecommunications and Consumer & Governmental Affairs.	Title: Implementation of Sections 716 and 717 of the Communications Act of 1934, as Enacted by the Twenty-First Century Communications and Video Accessibility Act of 2010 (CG Docket No. 10–213); Amendments to the Commission's rules implementing Sections 255 and 251(a)(2) of the Communications Act of 1934, as Enacted by the Telecommunications Act of 1996 (WT Docket No. 96–198) and Accessible Mobile Phone Options for People who are Blind, Deaf-Blind, or Have Low Vision (CG Docket No. 10–145). Summary: The Commission will consider a Notice of Proposed Rulemaking that seeks comment on rules implementing provisions of the Twenty-First Century Communications and Video Accessibility Act of 2010 (CVAA). The NPRM proposes rules requiring pro-
7	Media	viders of advanced communications services and manufacturers of equipment used for those services to make their products accessible to people with disabilities. Title: Video Description: Implementation of the Twenty-First Century Communications and Video Accessibility Act of 2010. Summary: The Commission will consider a Notice of Proposed Rulemaking to reinstate the video description rules adopted by the Commission in 2000, as directed in the CVAA.