

Background

On January 4, 1999, the Department initiated and the Commission instituted sunset reviews (64 FR 364 and 64 FR 369, respectively) of the antidumping duty orders on malleable cast iron pipe fittings from Japan and Korea pursuant to section 751(c) of the Act. As a result of these reviews the Department found that revocation of the antidumping orders would likely lead to continuation or recurrence of dumping, and notified the Commission of the magnitude of the margin likely to prevail were the orders to be revoked (*see Final Results of Expedited Sunset Reviews: Malleable Cast Iron Pipe Fittings from Japan and South Korea*, 64 FR 42665 (August 5, 1999)).

On February 16, 2000, the Commission determined, pursuant to section 751(c) of the Act, that revocation of the antidumping duty orders on malleable cast iron pipe fittings from Japan and Korea would likely lead to the continuation or recurrence of material injury to an industry in the United States within a reasonably foreseeable time (*see Malleable Cast Iron Pipe Fittings from Japan and South Korea*, 65 FR 7891 (February 16, 2000) and USITC Publication 3274, Inv. Nos. 731-TA-374 (REVIEW), and 731-TA-279 (REVIEW) (February 2000)).

Scope

Japan and Korea

Imports covered by these orders are shipments of certain malleable cast iron pipe fittings, other than grooved and alloy cast iron, from Japan and Korea. In the original orders, the merchandise was classified in the Tariff Schedules of the United States, Annotated, under item numbers 610.7000 and 610.7400. The merchandise is currently classified under item numbers 7307.19.90.30, 7307.19.90.60 and 7307.19.90.80 of the Harmonized Tariff Schedule.

Determination

As a result of the determinations by the Department and the Commission that revocation of these antidumping duty orders would be likely to lead to continuation or recurrence of dumping and material injury to an industry in the United States, pursuant to section 751(d)(2) of the Act, the Department hereby orders the continuation of the antidumping duty orders on malleable cast iron pipe fittings from Japan and Korea. The Department will instruct the U.S. Customs Service to continue to collect antidumping duty deposits at the rate in effect at the time of entry for all imports of subject merchandise. The effective date of continuation of these

orders will be the date of publication in the **Federal Register** of this notice of continuation.

As a result, pursuant to sections 751(c)(2) and 751(c)(6)(A) of the Act, the Department intends to initiate the next five-year review of these orders not later than January 2005.

Dated: February 22, 2000.

Robert S. LaRussa,

Assistant Secretary for Import Administration.

[FR Doc. 00-4620 Filed 2-25-00; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 3510-DS-P

DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

International Trade Administration

[(A-351-505)(A-583-507)(A-549-601)]

Revocation of Antidumping Duty Orders: Malleable Cast Iron Pipe Fittings From Brazil, Taiwan, and Thailand

AGENCY: Import Administration, International Trade Administration, Department of Commerce.

ACTION: Notice of revocation of antidumping duty orders: Malleable cast iron pipe fittings from Brazil, Taiwan, and Thailand.

SUMMARY: Pursuant to section 751(c) of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended ("the Act"), the United States International Trade Commission ("the Commission") determined that revocation of the antidumping duty orders on malleable cast iron pipe fittings from Brazil (A-351-505), Taiwan (A-583-507), and Thailand (A-549-601) is not likely to lead to continuation or recurrence of material injury to an industry in the United States within a reasonably foreseeable time (65 FR 7891 (February 16, 2000)). Therefore, pursuant to section 751(d)(2) of the Act and 19 CFR 351.222(i)(1), the Department of Commerce ("the Department") is revoking the antidumping duty orders on malleable cast iron pipe fittings from Brazil, Taiwan, and Thailand. Pursuant to section 751(c)(6)(A)(iv) of the Act and 19 CFR 351.222(i)(2) the effective date of revocation is January 1, 2000.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

Martha V. Douthit or Melissa G. Skinner, Office of Policy for Import Administration, International Trade Administration, U.S. Department of Commerce, 14th Street and Constitution Ave., NW., Washington, DC 20230; telephone: (202) 482-5050 or (202) 482-1560, respectively.

EFFECTIVE DATE: January 1, 2000.

Background

On January 4, 1999, the Department initiated and the Commission instituted sunset reviews (64 FR 364 and 64 FR 367, respectively) of the antidumping duty orders on malleable cast iron pipe fittings from Brazil, Taiwan, and Thailand pursuant to section 751(c) of the Act. As a result of these reviews, the Department found that revocation of the antidumping duty orders would likely lead to continuation or recurrence of dumping and notified the Commission of the magnitude of the margin likely to prevail were the orders to be revoked (*see Final Results of Full Sunset Review: Malleable Cast Iron Pipe Fittings from Brazil*, 64 FR 66886 (November 30, 1999), *Final Results of Expedited Sunset Review: Malleable Cast Iron Pipe Fittings from Taiwan*, 64 FR 42665 (August 5, 1999), and *Final Results of Full Sunset Review: Malleable Cast Iron Pipe Fittings From Thailand*, 64 FR 66884 (November 30, 1999)).

On February 16, 2000, the Commission determined, pursuant to section 751(c) of the Act, that revocation of the antidumping duty orders on malleable cast iron pipe fittings from Brazil, Taiwan, and Thailand would not likely lead to continuation or recurrence of material injury to an industry in the United States within a reasonably foreseeable time (*see Malleable Cast Iron Pipe Fittings From Brazil, Taiwan, and Thailand*, 65 FR 7891 (February 16, 2000), and USITC Publication 3274, Inv. Nos. 731-TA-278 (REVIEW), 731-TA-280 (REVIEW), and 731-TA-348 (REVIEW) (February 2000)).

Scope

Brazil

Imports covered by this order are shipments of certain malleable cast iron pipe fittings, other than grooved from Brazil. In the original antidumping duty orders, these products were classifiable in the Tariff Schedules of the United States, Annotated, ("TSUSA") under item numbers 610.7000 and 610.7400. These products are currently classifiable under item numbers 7307.19.00 and 7307.19.90 of the Harmonized Tariff Schedule ("HTS").

Taiwan

Imports covered by this order are shipments of certain malleable cast iron pipe fitting, other than grooved from Taiwan. In the original antidumping duty order, this product was classifiable in the TSUSA under item numbers 610.7000 and 610.7400. This product is currently classifiable under item numbers 7307.19.90.30, 7307.19.90.60, and 7307.19.90.80 of the HTS.

Thailand

Imports covered by this order are malleable cast iron pipe fittings, advanced in condition by operations or processes subsequent to the casting process other than with grooves, or not advanced, of cast iron other than alloy cast iron, as provided for in items 610.7000 and 610.7400 of the TSUSA. The products covered by this order are currently classified under the Harmonized Trade Schedule ("HTS") as item number 7307.19.90.30, 7307.19.90.60 and 7307.19.90.80.

The HTS item numbers for these antidumping duty orders are provided for convenience and customs purposes. The written description remains dispositive.

Determination

As a result of the determination by the Commission that revocation of these antidumping duty orders is not likely to lead to continuation or recurrence of material injury to an industry in the United States, the Department, pursuant to section 751(d)(2) of the Act and 19 CFR 351.222(i)(1), is revoking the antidumping duty orders on malleable cast iron pipe fittings from Brazil, Taiwan, and Thailand. Pursuant to section 751(c)(6)(A)(iv) of the Act and 19 CFR 351.222(i)(2)(ii), these revocations are effective January 1, 2000. The Department will instruct the U.S. Customs Service to discontinue the suspension of liquidation and collection of cash deposits rates on entries of the subject merchandise entered or withdrawn from warehouse on or after January 1, 2000. The Department will complete any pending administrative reviews of these orders and will conduct administrative reviews of subject merchandise entered prior to the effective date of revocation in response to appropriately filed requests for review.

Dated: February 22, 2000.

Robert S. LaRussa,

Assistant Secretary for Import Administration.

[FR Doc. 00-4621 Filed 2-25-00; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 3510-DS-P

DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

International Trade Administration

[A-844-802]

Uranium From Uzbekistan; Preliminary Results of Sunset Review of Suspended Antidumping Duty Investigation

AGENCY: Import Administration, International Trade Administration, Department of Commerce.

ACTION: Notice of preliminary results of full sunset review: Uranium from Uzbekistan.

SUMMARY: On August 2, 1999, the Department of Commerce ("the Department") initiated a sunset review of the antidumping duty suspension agreement on uranium from Uzbekistan (64 FR 41915) pursuant to section 751(c) of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended ("the Act"). On the basis of a notice of intent to participate filed on behalf of domestic and respondent interested parties, the Department determined to conduct a full review. As a result of this review, the Department preliminarily finds that revocation of the antidumping duty suspension agreement would likely lead to continuation or recurrence of dumping at the levels indicated in the Preliminary Results of Review section of this notice.

EFFECTIVE DATE: February 28, 2000.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Kathryn B. McCormick or Melissa G. Skinner, Office of Policy for Import Administration, International Trade Administration, U.S. Department of Commerce, 14th Street and Constitution Avenue, NW, Washington, D.C. 20230; telephone: (202) 482-1930 or (202) 482-1560, respectively.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

Statute and Regulations

This review is being conducted pursuant to sections 751(c) and 752 of the Act. The Department's procedures for the conduct of sunset reviews are set forth in *Procedures for Conducting Five-year ("Sunset") Reviews of Antidumping and Countervailing Duty Orders*, 63 FR 13516 (March 20, 1998) ("Sunset Regulations") and in CFR Part 351 (1999) in general. Guidance on methodological or analytical issues relevant to the Department's conduct of sunset reviews is set forth in the Department's Policy Bulletin 98.3—*Policies Regarding the Conduct of Five-year ("Sunset") Reviews of Antidumping and Countervailing Duty Orders*; Policy Bulletin, 63 FR 18871

(April 16, 1998) ("Sunset Policy Bulletin").

Background

On August 2, 1999, the Department initiated a sunset review of the antidumping duty suspension agreement on uranium from Uzbekistan (64 FR 41915), pursuant to section 751(c) of the Act. The Department received Notices of Intent to Participate on behalf of domestic interested parties, the Ad Hoc Committee,¹ USEC, Inc. and its subsidiary, the United States Enrichment Corporation (collectively, "USEC"), and Paper, Allied-Industrial, Chemical and Energy Workers International Union, AFL-CIO ("PACE"), within the applicable deadline (August 17, 1999) specified in section 351.218(d)(1)(i) of the *Sunset Regulations*. On August 27, 1999, we received a notice of intent to participate on behalf of AHUG.² The Ad Hoc Committee claimed interested-party status under section 771(9)(C) of the Act, as the only U.S. producers of a domestic like product; AHUG claimed interested-party status as industrial users of uranium;³ PACE claimed interested-party status as a union representing workers of two domestic gaseous diffusion plants that produce uranium products.

The Ad Hoc Committee claims that, along with the Oil, Chemical and Atomic Workers International Union, it was the original petitioner in the suspended antidumping investigation and resulting suspension agreement under review (see September 1, 1999, Substantive Response of the Ad Hoc Committee at 4). AHUG did not submit a summary of its past participation in the proceeding.

On September 1, 1999, the Government of Uzbekistan ("GOU") and Navoi Mining and Metallurgical Combinat ("Navoi") notified the Department of their intent to participate in the review. GOU is an interested party pursuant to section 771(9)(B) of the Act as the government of a country in which subject merchandise is produced and exported; Navoi is an

¹ The Ad Hoc Committee consists of Rio Algom Mining Corporation, Uranium Resources Inc., and Cotter Corporation.

² AHUG consists of Ameren UE, Baltimore Gas and Electric Co., Carolina Power and Light Co., Commonwealth Edison Co., Consumers Energy, Duke Power Co., Entergy Services, Inc., FirstEnergy Nuclear Operating Co., Florida Power and Light Co., Northern States Power Co., PECO Energy Co., Southern Nuclear Operating Co., Texas Utilities Electric Co., and Virginia Power.

³ The Department notes that, although industrial users are allowed to participate in sunset reviews, they are not considered "interested parties" as defined in the statute and regulations. See section 771(9) and 777(h) of the Act, and 19 CFR 351.32.