

North, St. Petersburg, FL 33702-2432; phone (813) 570-5301; fax (813) 570-5517.

Dated: February 5, 2004.

**Jennifer Skidmore,**

*Acting Chief, Permits, Conservation and Education Division, Office of Protected Resources, National Marine Fisheries Service.*

[FR Doc. 04-3084 Filed 2-11-04; 8:45 am]

**BILLING CODE 3510-22-S**

## **CORPORATION FOR NATIONAL AND COMMUNITY SERVICE**

### **Submission for OMB Emergency Review**

**AGENCY:** Corporation for National and Community Service.

**ACTION:** Notice.

**SUMMARY:** The Corporation for National and Community Service (hereinafter the "Corporation"), has submitted the following information collection request (ICR) utilizing emergency review procedures, to the Office of Management and Budget (OMB) for review and clearance in accordance with the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995 (Pub. L. 104-13, 44 U.S.C. Chapter 35). The Corporation requested that OMB review and approve its emergency request by February 11, 2004, for a period of six (6) months. A copy of this ICR, with applicable supporting documentation, may be obtained by contacting the Corporation for National and Community Service, Ms. Shelly Ryan, (202) 606-5000, Ext. 549, or by e-mail at [sryan@cns.gov](mailto:sryan@cns.gov).

*Type of Review:* Emergency request.

*Agency:* Corporation for National and Community Service.

*Title:* Peer Reviewer Application.

*OMB Number:* None.

*Agency Number:* None.

*Affected Public:* Citizens of the United States.

*Total Respondents:* 10,000.

*Frequency:* One time.

*Average Time Per Response:* 45 minutes.

*Estimated Total Burden Hours:* 7,500 hours.

*Total Burden Cost (capital/startup):* None.

*Total Burden Cost (operating/maintenance):* None.

*Description:* The Corporation advertises grant competitions in AmeriCorps, Learn and Serve America, and Senior Corps. As part of its review process, the Corporation uses peer reviewers to determine the quality of the applications we receive.

The information collected will be used by the Corporation to select peer

reviewers for each grant competition. All individuals interested in applying as peer reviewers or facilitators of the peer review panels will be required to complete a short electronic survey. Those selected as a peer reviewer will be required to complete part two of the electronic application.

Because the recently enacted budget calls for a major increase in AmeriCorps funding, the Corporation must immediately find a large number of peer reviewers to assist in peer reviews beginning in March, 2004. Therefore, there was not enough time for an initial public comment period prior to submitting this request to OMB. However, if OMB approves the emergency request for six (6) months, the Corporation will issue another Notice that will afford the public 60-days to provide its comments.

Dated: February 6, 2004.

**Marlene Zakai,**

*Senior Policy Advisor on Grants Management.*

[FR Doc. 04-3073 Filed 2-11-04; 8:45 am]

**BILLING CODE 6050--\$-P**

## **DEPARTMENT OF DEFENSE**

### **Office of the Secretary**

#### **Extension of a Currently Approved Collection; Comment Request**

**AGENCY:** Office of the Secretary of Defense, DoD.

**ACTION:** Notice.

In compliance with section 3506(c)(2)(A) of the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995, the Office of the Deputy Under Secretary of Defense (Installations and Environment), Office of Economic Adjustment announces the proposed extension of a public information collection and seeks public comment on the provisions thereof. Comments are invited on: (a) Whether the proposed collection of information is necessary for the proper performance of the functions of the agency, including whether the information shall have practical utility; (b) the accuracy of the agency's estimate of the burden of the proposed information collection; (c) ways to enhance the quality, utility, and clarity of the information to be collected; and (d) ways to minimize the burden of the information collection on respondents, including through the use of automated collection techniques or other forms of information technology.

**DATES:** Consideration will be given to all comments received by April 12, 2004.

**ADDRESSES:** Written comments and recommendations on the proposed

information collection should be sent to the Director, Office of Economic Adjustment, 400 Army Navy Drive, Suite 200, Arlington, VA 22202-4704.

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:** To request more information on this proposed information collection or to obtain a copy of the proposal, please write to the above address, or call the Director, Office of Economic Adjustment at (703) 604-6020.

*Title and OMB Number:* Revitalizing Base Closure Communities, Economic Development Conveyance Annual Financial Statement; OMB Number 0790-0004.

*Needs and Uses:* The information collection requirement is necessary to verify that Local Redevelopment Authority (LRA) recipients of no-cost Economic Development Conveyances (EDCs) are in compliance with the requirement that the LRA reinvest proceeds from the use of EDC property for seven years.

*Affected Public:* State, local or tribal governments; and Not-for-profit institutions.

*Annual Burden Hours:* 3,160.

*Number of Respondents:* 79.

*Responses Per Respondent:* 1.

*Average Burden Per Response:* 40 hours.

*Frequency:* Annual.

### **SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:**

#### **Summary of Information Collection**

Respondents are LRAs that have executed no-cost EDC agreements with a Military Department that transferred property from a closed military installation. As provided by section 2821(a)(3)(B)(i) of the National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2000 (Pub. L. 106-65), such agreements require that the LRA reinvest the proceeds from any sale, lease or equivalent use of EDC property (or any portion thereof) during at least the first seven years after the date of the initial transfer of the property to support the economic redevelopment of, or related to, the installation. The Secretary of Defense may recoup from the LRA such portion of these proceeds not used to support the economic redevelopment of, or related to, the installation. LRA's are subject to this same seven-year reinvestment requirement if their EDC agreement is modified to reduce the debt owed to the Federal Government. Military Departments monitor LRA compliance with this provision by requiring an annual financial statement certified by an independent Certified Public Accountant. No specific form is required.

Dated: February 5, 2004.

**L.M. Bynum,**

*Alternate OSD Federal Register Liaison  
Officer, Department of Defense.*

[FR Doc. 04-3017 Filed 2-11-04; 8:45 am]

**BILLING CODE 5001-06-M**

## DEPARTMENT OF DEFENSE

### Office of the Secretary of Defense; Meeting of the DOD Advisory Group on Electron Devices

**AGENCY:** Department of Defense,  
Advisory Group on Electron Devices.

**ACTION:** Notice.

**SUMMARY:** The DoD Advisory Group on  
Electron Devices (AGED) announces a  
closed session meeting.

**DATES:** The meeting will be held at  
1300, Thursday, February 26, 2004 and  
0800 Friday February 27, 2004.

**ADDRESSES:** The meeting will be held at  
Palisades Institute for Research  
Services, 1745 Jefferson Davis Highway,  
Suite 500, Arlington, VA 22202.

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:** Mr.  
Eric Carr, AGED Secretariat, 1745  
Jefferson Davis Highway, Crystal Square  
Four, Suite 500, Arlington, Virginia  
22202.

**SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:** The  
mission of the Advisory Group is to  
provide advice to the Under Secretary of  
Defense for Acquisition, Technology  
and Logistics to the Director of Defense  
Research and Engineering (DDR&E), and  
through the DDR&E to the Director,  
Defense Advanced Research Projects  
Agency and the Military Departments in  
planning and managing an effective and  
economical research and development  
program in the area of electron devices.

The AGED meeting will be limited to  
review of research and development  
programs which the Military  
Departments propose to initiate with  
industry, universities or in their  
laboratories. The agenda for this  
meeting will include programs on  
microwave technology,  
microelectronics, electro-optics, and  
electronics materials.

In accordance with section 10(d) of  
Pub. L. 92-463, as amended, (5 U.S.C.  
App. 10(d)), it has been determined that  
this Advisory Group meeting concerns  
matters listed in 5 U.S.C. 552b(c)(1), and  
that accordingly, this meeting will be  
closed to the public.

Dated: February 6, 2004.

**L.M. Bynum,**

*Alternate, OSD Federal Register Liaison  
Officer, Department of Defense.*

[FR Doc. 04-3037 Filed 2-11-04; 8:45 am]

**BILLING CODE 5001-06-M**

## DEPARTMENT OF DEFENSE

### Office of the Secretary

#### Notice of Meeting

**AGENCY:** DoD Medicare-Eligible Retiree  
Health Care Board of Actuaries.

**ACTION:** Notice of meeting.

**SUMMARY:** A meeting of the Board has  
been scheduled to execute the  
provisions of Chapter 56, Title 10,  
United States Code (10 U.S.C. 1114).  
The Board shall review DoD actuarial  
methods and assumptions to be used in  
the valuation of benefits under DoD  
retiree health care programs for  
Medicare-eligible beneficiaries. Persons  
desiring to: (1) Attend the DoD  
Medicare-Eligible Retiree Health Care  
Board of Actuaries meeting, or (2) make  
an oral presentation or submit a written  
statement for consideration at the  
meeting, must notify Bill Klunk at (703)  
696-7404 by May 3, 2004.

Notice of this meeting is required  
under the Federal Advisory Committee  
Act.

**DATES:** May 26, 2004, 1:30 p.m.-5 p.m.

**ADDRESSES:** 4040 N. Fairfax Drive, Suite  
270, Arlington, VA 22203.

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:** Bill  
Klunk, DoD Office of the Actuary, 4040  
N. Fairfax Drive, Suite 308, Arlington,  
VA 22203, (703) 696-7404.

Dated: February 6, 2004.

**L.M. Bynum,**

*Alternate OSD Federal Register Liaison  
Officer, Department of Defense.*

[FR Doc. 04-3016 Filed 2-11-04; 8:45 am]

**BILLING CODE 5001-06-M**

## DEPARTMENT OF DEFENSE

### Office of the Secretary

#### Department of Defense Selection Criteria for Closing and Realigning Military Installations Inside the United States

**AGENCY:** Department of Defense (DoD).

**ACTION:** Final selection criteria.

**SUMMARY:** The Secretary of Defense, in  
accordance with section 2913(a) of the  
Defense Base Closure and Realignment  
Act of 1990, Public Law 101-510, as  
amended, 10 U.S.C. 2687 note, is  
required to publish the final selection  
criteria to be used by the Department of  
Defense in making recommendations for  
the closure or realignment of military  
installations inside the United States.

**EFFECTIVE DATE:** February 12, 2004.

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:** Mr.  
Mike McAndrew, Base Realignment and

Closure Office, ODUSD(I&E), (703) 614-  
5356.

#### SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

##### A. Final Selection Criteria

The final criteria to be used by the  
Department of Defense to make  
recommendations for the closure or  
realignment of military installations  
inside the United States under the  
Defense Base Closure and Realignment  
Act of 1990, Public Law 101-510, as  
amended, 10 U.S.C. 2687 note, are as  
follows:

In selecting military installations for  
closure or realignment, the Department  
of Defense, giving priority consideration  
to military value (the first four criteria  
below), will consider:

##### *Military Value*

1. The current and future mission  
capabilities and the impact on  
operational readiness of the Department  
of Defense's total force, including the  
impact on joint warfighting, training,  
and readiness.

2. The availability and condition of  
land, facilities and associated airspace  
(including training areas suitable for  
maneuver by ground, naval, or air forces  
throughout a diversity of climate and  
terrain areas and staging areas for the  
use of the Armed Forces in homeland  
defense missions) at both existing and  
potential receiving locations.

3. The ability to accommodate  
contingency, mobilization, and future  
total force requirements at both existing  
and potential receiving locations to  
support operations and training.

4. The cost of operations and the  
manpower implications.

##### *Other Considerations*

5. The extent and timing of potential  
costs and savings, including the number  
of years, beginning with the date of  
completion of the closure or  
realignment, for the savings to exceed  
the costs.

6. The economic impact on existing  
communities in the vicinity of military  
installations.

7. The ability of both the existing and  
potential receiving communities'  
infrastructure to support forces,  
missions, and personnel.

8. The environmental impact,  
including the impact of costs related to  
potential environmental restoration,  
waste management, and environmental  
compliance activities.

##### B. Analysis of Public Comments

The Department of Defense (DoD)  
received a variety of comments from the  
public, members of Congress, and other  
elected officials in response to the