

Commission has determined to institute an advisory opinion proceeding to ascertain whether Panduit's New Designs infringe claims 1 and 3 of the '320 patent; claims 11, 12, 14–16, 19, 21, 27, and 28 of the '456 patent; claims 9, 16, 23, and 26 of the '153 patent; and claims 22 and 23 of the '206 patent, and are covered by the remedial orders issued in this investigation. The Commission has further determined to refer the matter to the CALJ for assignment to an ALJ for appropriate proceedings and the issuance of an IAO at the earliest practicable time, preferably within 120 days of institution but no later than 7 months after institution. The ALJ shall set a target date at two months following the date of issuance of the IAO. The target date may be extended for good cause shown. The following entities are named as parties to the proceeding: (1) Panduit; (2) Corning; and (3) OUII.

The Commission vote for this determination took place on May 18, 2022.

The authority for the Commission's determination is contained in section 337 of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended, 19 U.S.C. 1337, and in part 210 of the Commission's Rules of Practice and Procedure, 19 CFR part 210.

By order of the Commission.

Issued: May 18, 2022.

**Lisa Barton,**

*Secretary to the Commission.*

[FR Doc. 2022–11078 Filed 5–23–22; 8:45 am]

**BILLING CODE 7020–02–P**

## INTERNATIONAL TRADE COMMISSION

[Investigation No. 337–TA–1314]

### Certain Computer Network Security Equipment and Systems, Related Software, Components Thereof, and Products Containing Same; Institution of Investigation

**AGENCY:** U.S. International Trade Commission.

**ACTION:** Notice.

**SUMMARY:** Notice is hereby given that a complaint was filed with the U.S. International Trade Commission on April 19, 2022, under section 337 of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended, on behalf of Centripetal Networks, Inc. of Reston, Virginia. A supplement to the complaint was filed on April 29, 2022. The complaint alleges violations of section 337 based upon the importation into the United States, the sale for importation, and the sale within the

United States after importation of certain computer network security equipment and systems, related software, components thereof, and products containing same by reason of the infringement of certain claims of U.S. Patent No. 9,264,370 (“the ‘370 patent”); U.S. Patent No. 10,193,917 (“the ‘917 patent”); and U.S. Patent No. 10,284,526 (“the ‘526 patent”). The complaint further alleges that an industry in the United States exists as required by the applicable Federal Statute. The complainant requests that the Commission institute an investigation and, after the investigation, issue a limited exclusion order and a cease and desist order.

**ADDRESSES:** The complaint, except for any confidential information contained therein, may be viewed on the Commission's electronic docket (EDIS) at <https://edis.usitc.gov>. For help accessing EDIS, please email [EDIS3Help@usitc.gov](mailto:EDIS3Help@usitc.gov). Hearing impaired individuals are advised that information on this matter can be obtained by contacting the Commission's TDD terminal on (202) 205–1810. Persons with mobility impairments who will need special assistance in gaining access to the Commission should contact the Office of the Secretary at (202) 205–2000. General information concerning the Commission may also be obtained by accessing its internet server at <https://www.usitc.gov>.

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:** Pathenia M. Proctor, The Office of Unfair Import Investigations, U.S. International Trade Commission, telephone (202) 205–2560.

#### SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

**Authority:** The authority for institution of this investigation is contained in section 337 of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended, 19 U.S.C. 1337, and in section 210.10 of the Commission's Rules of Practice and Procedure, 19 CFR 210.10 (2021).

**Scope of Investigation:** Having considered the complaint, the U.S. International Trade Commission, on May 18, 2022, *ordered that*—

(1) Pursuant to subsection (b) of section 337 of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended, an investigation be instituted to determine whether there is a violation of subsection (a)(1)(B) of section 337 in the importation into the United States, the sale for importation, or the sale within the United States after importation of certain products identified in paragraph (2) by reason of infringement of one or more of claims 22–27, 42–48, and 63 of the '370 patent; claims 1, 5, 11, 15, and 20 of the '917 patent; and claims 1–3, 6, 11–13, and 16

of the '526 patent, and whether an industry in the United States exists as required by subsection (a)(2) of section 337;

(2) Pursuant to section 210.10(b)(1) of the Commission's Rules of Practice and Procedure, 19 CFR 210.10(b)(1), the plain language description of the accused products or category of accused products, which defines the scope of the investigation, is “network traffic monitoring and security enforcement computer equipment, as well as related network analysis software components thereof, and products containing the same”;

(3) For the purpose of the investigation so instituted, the following are hereby named as parties upon which this notice of investigation shall be served:

(a) The complainant is: Centripetal Networks, Inc., 1875 Explorer Street, Suite 900, Reston, VA 20190.

(b) The respondent is the following entity alleged to be in violation of section 337, and is the party upon which the complaint is to be served: Keysight Technologies, Inc., 1400 Fountaingrove Parkway, Santa Rosa, CA 95403–1738.

(c) The Office of Unfair Import Investigations, U.S. International Trade Commission, 500 E Street SW, Suite 401, Washington, DC 20436; and

(4) For the investigation so instituted, the Chief Administrative Law Judge, U.S. International Trade Commission, shall designate the presiding Administrative Law Judge.

Responses to the complaint and the notice of investigation must be submitted by the named respondent in accordance with section 210.13 of the Commission's Rules of Practice and Procedure, 19 CFR 210.13. Pursuant to 19 CFR 201.16(e) and 210.13(a), as amended in 85 FR 15798 (March 19, 2020), such responses will be considered by the Commission if received not later than 20 days after the date of service by the complainant of the complaint and the notice of investigation. Extensions of time for submitting responses to the complaint and the notice of investigation will not be granted unless good cause therefor is shown.

Failure of the respondent to file a timely response to each allegation in the complaint and in this notice may be deemed to constitute a waiver of the right to appear and contest the allegations of the complaint and this notice, and to authorize the administrative law judge and the Commission, without further notice to the respondent, to find the facts to be as alleged in the complaint and this notice

and to enter an initial determination and a final determination containing such findings, and may result in the issuance of an exclusion order or a cease and desist order or both directed against the respondent.

By order of the Commission.

Issued: May 18, 2022.

**Lisa Barton,**

*Secretary to the Commission.*

[FR Doc. 2022-11079 Filed 5-23-22; 8:45 am]

**BILLING CODE 7020-02-P**

## DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE

### Notice of Lodging of Proposed Consent Decree Under The Clean Air Act

On May 17, 2022, the Department of Justice lodged a proposed consent decree with the United States District Court for the Western District of Pennsylvania in the lawsuit entitled *United States and Allegheny County Health Department v. United States Steel Corporation*, Civil Action No. 2:22-cv-00729-CRE.

The United States and the Allegheny County Health Department jointly filed this lawsuit under the Clean Air Act against United States Steel Corporation, alleging violations at the Edgar Thomson steel mill in Allegheny County, Pennsylvania. The complaint seeks injunctive relief and civil penalties for exceedances of visible emissions opacity standards, as well as violations of requirements to minimize fugitive emissions, maintain and operate equipment to minimize emissions, and comply with the facility's operations and maintenance plan. The consent decree requires the defendant to perform injunctive relief to address the violations and pay a \$1,500,000 civil penalty. The civil penalty will be split evenly between the United States and the Allegheny County Health Department, which will use its portion of the civil penalty to fund a multimodal trail connection for communities near the facility.

The publication of this notice opens a period for public comment on the consent decree. Comments should be addressed to the Assistant Attorney General, Environment and Natural Resources Division, and should refer to *United States and Allegheny County Health Department v. United States Steel Corporation*, D.J. Ref. No. 90-5-2-1-12083. All comments must be submitted no later than thirty (30) days after the publication date of this notice. Comments may be submitted either by email or by mail:

<i>To submit comments:</i>	<i>Send them to:</i>
By email .....	<i>pubcomment-ees.enrd@usdoj.gov.</i>
By mail .....	Assistant Attorney General, U.S. DOJ—ENRD, P.O. Box 7611, Washington, DC 20044-7611.

During the public comment period, the consent decree may be examined and downloaded at this Justice Department website: <https://www.justice.gov/enrd/consent-decrees>. We will provide a paper copy of the consent decree upon written request and payment of reproduction costs. Please mail your request and payment to: Consent Decree Library, U.S. DOJ—ENRD, P.O. Box 7611, Washington, DC 20044-7611.

Please enclose a check or money order for \$38.50 (25 cents per page reproduction cost) payable to the United States Treasury.

**Jeffrey Sands,**

*Assistant Section Chief, Environmental Enforcement Section, Environment and Natural Resources Division.*

[FR Doc. 2022-11114 Filed 5-23-22; 8:45 am]

**BILLING CODE 4410-15-P**

## DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE

[OMB Number: 1103-0117]

### Agency Information Collection Activities; Proposed eCollection; eComments Requested; Extension of a Currently Approved Collection; Departmental Generic Clearance for the Collection of Qualitative Feedback on Agency Service Delivery

**AGENCY:** Department of Justice.

**ACTION:** 60 Day notice.

**SUMMARY:** As part of a Federal Government-wide effort to streamline the process to seek feedback from the public on service delivery, Department of Justice will be submitting a Generic Information Collection Request (Generic ICR): "Generic Clearance for the Collection of Qualitative Feedback on Agency Service Delivery" to OMB for approval under the Paperwork Reduction Act (PRA).

**DATES:** The purpose of this notice is to allow 60 days for public comment until July 25, 2022.

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:** If you have additional comments especially on the estimated public burden or associated response time, suggestions, or need a copy of the proposed information collection instrument with instructions or

additional information, please contact Melody Braswell, Department Clearance Officer, [melody.braswell2@usdoj.gov](mailto:melody.braswell2@usdoj.gov); or the DOJ Clearance Officer at 202-307-0890.

**SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:** Written comments and suggestions from the public and affected agencies concerning the proposed collection of information are encouraged. Your comments should address one or more of the following four points:

- Evaluate whether the proposed collection of information is necessary for the proper performance of the functions of the agency, including whether the information will have practical utility;
- Evaluate the accuracy of the agency's estimate of the burden of the proposed collection of information, including the validity of the methodology and assumptions used;
- Evaluate the quality, utility, and clarity of the information to be collected; and
- Minimize the burden of the collection of information on those who are to respond, including through the use of appropriate automated, electronic, mechanical, or other technological collection techniques or other forms of information technology, *e.g.*, permitting electronic submission of responses.

### Overview of This Collection

**Title:** Generic Clearance for the Collection of Qualitative Feedback on Agency Service Delivery.

**Abstract:** The information collection activity will garner qualitative customer and stakeholder feedback in an efficient, timely manner, in accordance with the Administration's commitment to improving service delivery. By qualitative feedback we mean information that provides useful insights on perceptions and opinions, but are not statistical surveys that yield quantitative results that can be generalized to the population of study. This feedback will provide insights into customer or stakeholder perceptions, experiences and expectations, provide an early warning of issues with service, or focus attention on areas where communication, training or changes in operations might improve delivery of products or services. These collections will allow for ongoing, collaborative and actionable communications between the Agency and its customers and stakeholders. It will also allow feedback to contribute directly to the improvement of program management.

Feedback collected under this generic clearance will provide useful