Site 3 (7.49 acres) 808 N Lake St., Lake Mills.

The proposed expanded subzone would include the following additional sites: Site 4 (10.63 acres) 305 Nerem Drive, Forest City; and, Site 5 (11.06 acres) 715 East Corporate Drive, Charles City. No authorization for expanded production activity has been requested at this time. The subzone will be subject to the existing activation limit of FTZ 107.

In accordance with the FTZ Board's regulations, Elizabeth Whiteman of the FTZ Staff is designated examiner to review the application and make recommendations to the Executive Secretary.

Public comment is invited from interested parties. Submissions shall be addressed to the FTZ Board's Executive Secretary and sent to: ftz@trade.gov. The closing period for their receipt is March 31, 2020. Rebuttal comments in response to material submitted during the foregoing period may be submitted during the subsequent 15-day period to April 15, 2020.

A copy of the application will be available for public inspection in the "Reading Room" section of the FTZ Board's website, which is accessible via www.trade.gov/ftz.

For further information, contact Elizabeth Whiteman at Elizabeth.Whiteman@trade.gov or (202) 482–0473.

Dated: February 12, 2020.

Andrew McGilvray,

Executive Secretary.

[FR Doc. 2020–03382 Filed 2–19–20; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 3510-DS-P

DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

International Trade Administration [A-570-954]

Certain Magnesia Carbon Bricks From the People's Republic of China: Final Results of Antidumping Duty Administrative Review and Final Determination of No Shipments, In Part; 2017–2018

AGENCY: Enforcement and Compliance, International Trade Administration, Department of Commerce.

SUMMARY: The Department of Commerce (Commerce) continues to determine that two companies under review had no shipments of subject merchandise during the period of review (POR), September 1, 2017 through August 31, 2018. Additionally, Commerce continues to determine that the remaining companies subject to this

review are part of the China-wide entity because they failed to file no shipment statements, separate rate applications, or separate rate certifications.

DATES: Applicable February 20, 2020. **FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:** Nathan James, AD/CVD Operations, Office V, Enforcement and Compliance, International Trade Administration, Department of Commerce, 1401 Constitution Avenue NW, Washington, DC 20230; telephone: (202) 482–5305.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

Background

On October 16, 2019, Commerce published the preliminary results of the administrative review of the antidumping duty order on certain magnesia carbon bricks from the People's Republic of China (China) for the period September 1, 2017 through August 31, 2018. We invited parties to comment on the *Preliminary Results*. No party submitted comments. Accordingly, the final results remain unchanged from the *Preliminary Results*.

Scope of the Order

The scope of the *Order* covers certain magnesia carbon bricks.² For a complete description of the scope of the *Order*, see the Preliminary Decision Memorandum.

Final Determination of No Shipments and Status of the China-Wide Entity

Commerce preliminarily found that: (1) Fedmet Resources Corporation (Fedmet); and (2) Fengchi Imp. and Exp. Co., Ltd., Fengchi Imp. and Exp. Co., Ltd. of Haicheng City, Fengchi Mining Co., Ltd. of Haicheng City, and Fengchi Refractories Co., of Haicheng City (collectively, Fengchi) had no reviewable entries, shipments, or sales of subject merchandise to the United States during the POR. As noted in Preliminary Decision Memorandum, we received no shipment statements from Fedmet and Fengchi, and these statements were consistent with the information we received from U.S. Customs and Border Protection (CBP).3

No party commented on our preliminary no-shipment finding with respect to Fedmet and Fengchi, and no party submitted record evidence that calls this finding into question.

Therefore, for these final results, we continue to find that Fedmet and Fengchi did not have any reviewable entries, shipments, or sales of subject merchandise to the United States during the POR.

With the exceptions of Fedmet and Fengchi, we find all other companies for which a review was requested to be part of the China-wide entity because they failed to file no-shipment statements, separate rate applications, or separate rate certifications. The following companies, accordingly, are part of the China-wide entity: Liaoning Zhongmei High Temperature Material Co., Ltd., Liaoning Zhongmei Holding Co., Ltd., RHI Refractories Liaoning Co., Ltd., Shenglong Refractories Co., Ltd., Yingkou Heping Samwha Minerals, Co., Ltd., and Yingkou Heping Sanhua Materials Co., Ltd.⁴ Because no party requested a review of the China-wide entity, and Commerce no longer considers the China-wide entity as an exporter conditionally subject to administrative reviews, we did not conduct a review of the China-wide entity. The rate previously established for the China-wide entity is 236.00 percent and is not subject to change as a result of this review.5

Assessment Rates

We have not calculated any assessment rates in this administrative review. Based on record evidence, we have determined that Fedmet and Fengchi had no shipments of subject merchandise and, therefore, pursuant to Commerce's assessment practice, any suspended entries that entered under their case numbers will be liquidated at the China-wide entity rate.⁶

For all remaining companies subject to this review, which are part of the China-wide entity, we will instruct CBP to liquidate their entries at the current rate for the China-wide entity (*i.e.*, 236.00 percent). Commerce intends to issue appropriate assessment instructions to CBP 15 days after the

¹ See Certain Magnesia Carbon Bricks from the People's Republic of China; Preliminary Results of the Antidumping Duty Administrative Review; 2017–2018, 84 FR 55287 (October 16, 2019) (Preliminary Results); and Memorandum, "Decision Memorandum for the Preliminary Results of the Administrative Review of the Antidumping Duty Order on Certain Magnesia Carbon Bricks from the People's Republic of China; 2017–2018," dated October 9, 2019 (Preliminary Decision Memorandum).

² See Certain Magnesia Carbon Bricks from Mexico and the People's Republic of China: Antidumping Duty Orders, 75 FR 57257 (September 20, 2010) (Order).

³ See Preliminary Decision Memorandum at 2.

⁴ See Memorandum, "Eighth Administrative Review of Certain Magnesia Carbon Bricks from the People's Republic of China: Customs Data of U.S. Imports," dated July 12, 2019.

⁵ See Certain Magnesia Carbon Bricks from the People's Republic of China: Final Results and Final Partial Rescission of the Antidumping Duty Administrative Review; 2012–2013, 80 FR 19961, 19962 (April 14, 2015).

⁶ See Non-Market Economy Antidumping Proceedings: Assessment of Antidumping Duties, 76 FR 65694 (October 24, 2011).

publication date of the final results of this administrative review.

Cash Deposit Requirements

The following cash deposit requirements will be effective upon publication of the final results of this administrative review for shipments of subject merchandise from China entered, or withdrawn from warehouse, for consumption on or after the publication date of this notice, as provided by section 751(a)(2)(C) of the Act: (1) For previously investigated or reviewed Chinese and non-Chinese exporters that received a separate rate in a prior segment of this proceeding, the cash deposit rate will continue to be the existing exporter-specific rate published for the most recently completed period; (2) for all Chinese exporters of subject merchandise that have not been found to be entitled to a separate rate, the cash deposit rate will be the China-wide rate of 236.00 percent; and (3) for all non-Chinese exporters of subject merchandise which have not received their own rate, the cash deposit rate will be the rate applicable to the Chinese exporter that supplied that non-Chinese exporter. These deposit requirements, when imposed, shall remain in effect until further notice.

Notification to Importers

This notice also serves as a final reminder to importers of their responsibility under 19 CFR 351.402(f)(2) to file a certificate regarding the reimbursement of antidumping duties prior to liquidation of the relevant entries during this review period. Failure to comply with this requirement could result in Commerce's presumption that reimbursement of antidumping duties occurred and the subsequent assessment of double antidumping duties.

Administrative Protective Orders

This notice also serves as the only reminder to parties subject to administrative protective order (APO) of their responsibility concerning the return or destruction of proprietary information disclosed under APO in accordance with 19 CFR 351.305(a)(3). Timely written notification of the return or destruction of APO materials or conversion to judicial protective order is hereby requested. Failure to comply with the regulations and terms of an APO is a violation subject to sanction. These final results are issued and published in accordance with sections 751(a)(1) and 777(i) of the Act and 19 CFR 351.213(h).

Dated: February 11, 2020.

Jeffrey I. Kessler,

Assistant Secretary for Enforcement and Compliance.

[FR Doc. 2020–03364 Filed 2–19–20; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 3510-DS-P

DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

International Trade Administration

[A-570-992]

Monosodium Glutamate From the People's Republic of China: Final Results of Antidumping Duty Administrative Review; 2017–2018

AGENCY: Enforcement and Compliance, International Trade Administration, Department of Commerce.

SUMMARY: The Department of Commerce (Commerce) has completed the administrative review of the antidumping duty order on monosodium glutamate (MSG) from the People's Republic of China (China) covering the period of review (POR) November 1, 2017 through October 31, 2018. We continue to find that none of the exporters of subject merchandise demonstrated eligibility for a separate rate; therefore, each is part of the Chinawide entity. We also continue to find that that the use of facts otherwise available is warranted with respect to the China-wide entity.

DATES: Applicable February 20, 2020. **FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:** Leo Ayala or Kathryn Wallace, AD/CVD Operations, Office VIII, Enforcement and Compliance, International Trade Administration, Department of Commerce, 1401 Constitution Avenue NW, Washington, DC 20230; telephone: (202) 482–3945 or (202) 482–6251, respectively.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

Background

Commerce published the *Preliminary Results* on August 30, 2019.¹ On September 9, 2019, Commerce amended its initiation to include the China-wide entity.² On September 10, 2019, Commerce issued a quantity and value (Q&V) questionnaire to the China-wide

entity.3 The China-wide entity failed to submit a response to Commerce's Q&V questionnaire by the established deadline of September 20, 2019. Accordingly, on January 17, 2020, Commerce issued a Post-Preliminary Decision Memorandum, in which it preliminarily applied facts available with an adverse inference (AFA) to the China-wide entity, pursuant to sections 776(a) and 776(b) of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended (the Act), because the China-wide entity failed to cooperate to the best of its ability by failing to provide necessary information requested by Commerce.4 On February 3, 2020, the petitioner timely submitted its case brief agreeing with the finding and results of Commerce's Post-Preliminary Decision Memorandum.⁵ No other case or rebuttal briefs were submitted in this review.

On December 26, 2019, in accordance with section 751(a)(3)(A) of the Act, Commerce extended the deadline for issuing the final results until February 26, 2020.⁶

Scope of the Order

The scope of the *Order* covers MSG, whether or not blended or in solution with other products.⁷ For a complete description of the scope of the *Order*, see the *Preliminary Results*.⁸

Final Results of the Review

Commerce preliminarily determined that none of the 28 companies subject to this review demonstrated eligibility for separate rate status. Thus, they were found to be part of the China-wide entity. After amending the initiation of this review, and as explained in the

¹ See Monosodium Glutamate from the People's Republic of China: Preliminary Results of the Antidumping Duty Administrative Review; 2017– 2018, 84 FR 45724 (August 30, 2019) (Preliminary Results).

² See Initiation of Antidumping and Countervailing Duty Administrative Reviews, 84 FR 47242 (September 9, 2019) (citing Initiation of Antidumping and Countervailing Duty Administrative Reviews, 84 FR 2159 (February 6, 2019)).

³ See Commerce's Letter, "Antidumping Duty Administrative Review on Monosodium Glutamate from the People's Republic of China: Quantity and Value Questionnaire," dated September 10, 2019.

⁴ See Memorandum, "Antidumping Duty Administrative Review of Monosodium Glutamate from the People's Republic of China (China): Post-Preliminary Decision Memorandum Concerning the China-Wide Entity," dated January 17, 2020 (Post-Preliminary Decision Memorandum).

⁵ The petitioner is Ajinomoto Health & Nutrition North America, Inc. (formerly Ajinomoto North America, Inc.). See Petitioner's Letter, "MSG from China: Petitioner's Case Brief," dated February 3, 2020 (citing Post-Preliminary Decision Memorandum).

⁶ See Memorandum, "Monosodium Glutamate from the People's Republic of China: Extension of Deadline for Final Results of Antidumping Duty Administrative Review—2017–2018," dated December 26, 2019.

⁷ See Monosodium Glutamate from the People's Republic of China: Second Amended Final Determination of Sales at Less Than Fair Value and Amended Antidumping Duty Order, 80 FR 487 (January 6, 2015) (Order).

⁸ See Preliminary Results.

⁹ See Preliminary Results; and Appendix for a list of the 28 companies along with the China-wide entity that are subject to this review.