

TABLE 1—ANNUAL FOURTH-HIGHEST DAILY MAXIMUM 8-HOUR OZONE CONCENTRATIONS AND 3-YEAR AVERAGE OF THE FOURTH-HIGHEST DAILY MAXIMUM 8-HOUR OZONE CONCENTRATIONS FOR THE DETROIT AREA—Continued

County	Monitor	2020 4th high (ppm)	2021 4th high (ppm)	2022 4th high (ppm)	2020–2022 average (ppm)
	26–163–0019	0.073	0.069	0.067	0.069

The Detroit area's 3-year ozone design value for 2020–2022 is 0.070 ppm,<sup>5</sup> which meets the 2015 ozone NAAQS. Therefore, in this action, EPA proposes to find that the Detroit area is attaining the 2015 ozone NAAQS.

EPA will not take final action to determine that the Detroit area is attaining the NAAQS if the design value of a monitoring site in the area violates the NAAQS prior to final approval of the clean data determination.

Should this action be finalized, the requirements for EGLE to submit attainment demonstrations and associated RACM, RFP plans, contingency measures for failure to attain or make reasonable progress, and other planning SIPs related to attainment of the 2015 ozone NAAQS for the proposed Detroit area, would be suspended for as long as the area continues to attain the 2015 ozone NAAQS. 40 CFR 51.1318. This action does not constitute a redesignation of the area to attainment of the 2015 ozone NAAQS under section 107(d)(3)(E) of the CAA, nor does it constitute approval of a maintenance plan for the area as required under section 175A of the CAA, nor does it find that the area has met all other requirements for redesignation. The Detroit area will remain designated nonattainment for the 2015 ozone NAAQS until such time as EPA determines that the area meets CAA requirements for redesignation to attainment and takes a separate action to redesignate the area.

#### IV. What action is EPA taking?

EPA is proposing to approve a determination under the CAA that the Detroit area has attained the 2015 ozone NAAQS. This determination is based upon complete, quality-assured, and certified ambient air monitoring data for the 2020–2022 design period showing that the area achieved attainment of the 2015 ozone NAAQS. EPA is also proposing to take final agency action on an exceptional events request submitted by EGLE on January 26, 2023, and concurred on by EPA on January 30, 2023. As a result of these determinations, EPA is proposing to

suspend the requirements for the area to submit attainment demonstrations and associated RACM, RFP plans, contingency measures for failure to attain or make reasonable progress, and other planning SIPs related to attainment of the 2015 ozone NAAQS, for as long as the area continues to attain the 2015 ozone NAAQS.

#### V. Statutory and Executive Order Reviews

This action proposes to make a clean data determination for the Detroit area for the 2015 ozone NAAQS based on air quality data which would result in the suspension of certain Federal requirements and does not impose any additional requirements. For that reason, this action:

- Is not a significant regulatory action subject to review by the Office of Management and Budget under Executive Orders 12866 (58 FR 51735, October 4, 1993) and 13563 (76 FR 3821, January 21, 2011);
- Does not impose an information collection burden under the provisions of the Paperwork Reduction Act (44 U.S.C. 3501 *et seq.*);
- Is certified as not having a significant economic impact on a substantial number of small entities under the Regulatory Flexibility Act (5 U.S.C. 601 *et seq.*);
- Does not contain any unfunded mandate or significantly or uniquely affect small governments, as described in the Unfunded Mandates Reform Act of 1995 (Pub. L. 104–4);
- Does not have federalism implications as specified in Executive Order 13132 (64 FR 43255, August 10, 1999);
- Is not an economically significant regulatory action based on health or safety risks subject to Executive Order 13045 (62 FR 19885, April 23, 1997);
- Is not a significant regulatory action subject to Executive Order 13211 (66 FR 28355, May 22, 2001);
- Is not subject to requirements of Section 12(d) of the National Technology Transfer and Advancement Act of 1995 (15 U.S.C. 272 note) because application of those requirements would be inconsistent with the CAA; and
- Does not provide EPA with the discretionary authority to address, as

appropriate, disproportionate human health or environmental effects, using practicable and legally permissible methods, under Executive Order 12898 (59 FR 7629, February 16, 1994).

In addition, the SIP is not approved to apply on any Indian reservation land or in any other area where EPA or an Indian tribe has demonstrated that a tribe has jurisdiction. In those areas of Indian country, the rule does not have tribal implications and will not impose substantial direct costs on tribal governments or preempt tribal law as specified by Executive Order 13175 (65 FR 67249, November 9, 2000).

#### List of Subjects in 40 CFR Part 52

Environmental protection, Air pollution control, Incorporation by reference, Intergovernmental relations, Nitrogen dioxide, Ozone, Reporting and recordkeeping requirements, Volatile organic compounds.

Dated: January 30, 2023.

**Debra Shore,**

*Regional Administrator, Region 5.*

[FR Doc. 2023–02284 Filed 2–2–23; 8:45 am]

**BILLING CODE 6560–50–P**

## ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY

### 40 CFR Part 52

[EPA–R06–OAR–2021–0214; FRL–9407–01–R6]

### Air Plan Approval; Oklahoma; Revisions to Air Pollution Control Rules

**AGENCY:** Environmental Protection Agency (EPA).

**ACTION:** Proposed rule.

**SUMMARY:** Pursuant to the Federal Clean Air Act (CAA or the Act), the Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) is proposing to approve revisions to the State Implementation Plan (SIP) for Oklahoma submitted by the State of Oklahoma on February 9, 2021. The submitted revisions address Open Burning, Control of Emission of Volatile Organic Compounds (VOC), and Specialty Coatings VOC Content Limits.

**DATES:** Written comments must be received on or before March 6, 2023.

<sup>5</sup> The monitor ozone design value for the monitor with the highest 3-year averaged concentration.

**ADDRESSES:** Submit your comments, identified by Docket No. EPA–R6–OAR–2021–0214, at <https://www.regulations.gov> or via email to [shahin.emad@epa.gov](mailto:shahin.emad@epa.gov). Follow the online instructions for submitting comments. Once submitted, comments cannot be edited or removed from *Regulations.gov*. The EPA may publish any comment received to its public docket. Do not submit electronically any information you consider to be Confidential Business Information (CBI) or other information whose disclosure is restricted by statute. Multimedia submissions (audio, video, etc.) must be accompanied by a written comment. The written comment is considered the official comment and should include discussion of all points you wish to make. The EPA will generally not consider comments or comment contents located outside of the primary submission (*i.e.*, on the web, cloud, or other file sharing system). For additional submission methods, please contact Mr. Emad Shahin, 214–665–6717, [shahin.emad@epa.gov](mailto:shahin.emad@epa.gov). For the full EPA public comment policy, information about CBI or multimedia submissions, and general guidance on making effective comments, please visit <https://www.epa.gov/dockets/commenting-epa-dockets>.

**Docket:** The index to the docket for this action is available electronically at [www.regulations.gov](http://www.regulations.gov). While all documents in the docket are listed in the index, some information may not be publicly available due to docket file size restrictions or content (*e.g.*, CBI).

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:** For information on the revisions addressing emissions of VOC, please contact Mr. Emad Shahin, EPA Region 6 Office, Infrastructure and Ozone Section, 214–665–6717, [shahin.emad@epa.gov](mailto:shahin.emad@epa.gov). For information on the revisions addressing open burning, please contact Ms. Carrie Paige, Region 6 Office, Infrastructure and Ozone Section, 214–665–6521, [paige.carrie@epa.gov](mailto:paige.carrie@epa.gov). Out of an abundance of caution for members of the public and staff, the EPA Region 6 office may be closed to the public to reduce the risk of transmitting COVID–19. The EPA encourages the public to submit comments via <https://www.regulations.gov>. Please call or email the contact listed above if you need alternative access to material indexed but not provided in the docket.

**SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:** Throughout this document “we,” “us,” or “our” means the EPA.

## I. Background

Section 110 of the Act requires states to develop air pollution regulations and control strategies to ensure that air quality meets the EPA’s National Ambient Air Quality Standards (NAAQS). These ambient standards are established under CAA section 109 and currently address six criteria pollutants: carbon monoxide, nitrogen dioxide, ozone, lead, particulate matter (PM), and sulfur dioxide. A state’s air regulations are contained in its SIP, which is basically a clean air plan. Each state is responsible for developing SIPs to demonstrate how the NAAQS will be achieved, maintained, and enforced. The SIP must be submitted to EPA for approval and any changes a state makes to the approved SIP also must be submitted to the EPA for approval.

The Secretary of Energy and Environment for the State of Oklahoma (“the State”) submitted revisions of the Oklahoma SIP to the EPA on February 9, 2021, which was supplemented on April 30, 2021.<sup>1</sup> The revisions address Subchapters 1, 2, 13, 37, and 39, and Appendices N and Q in the Oklahoma Administrative Code (OAC) Title 252, Chapter 100. In this action, we are proposing to approve the revisions to OAC Title 252 Chapter 100 Subchapters 13 (Open Burning), 37 (Control of Emission of Volatile Organic Compounds (VOCs)), 39 (Emission of Volatile Organic Compounds (VOCs) in Nonattainment Areas and Former Nonattainment Areas), and Appendix N (Specialty Coatings VOC Content Limits). We approved the revisions to Subchapters 1, 2, and Appendix Q in a separate rulemaking action.<sup>2</sup>

The criteria used to evaluate these SIP revisions are found primarily in section 110 of the Act. Section 110(l) requires that a SIP revision submitted to the EPA be adopted after reasonable notice and public hearing and precludes the EPA from approving a SIP revision if the revision would interfere with any applicable requirement concerning attainment and reasonable further progress or any other applicable requirement of the Act.

The submitted revisions were promulgated in compliance with the Oklahoma Administrative Procedures Act and published in the *Oklahoma Register*, the official state publication for rulemaking actions. These revisions are posted in the docket for this action.

<sup>1</sup> The cover letters for these submittals were dated February 2, 2021, and April 29, 2021, and are in the docket for this rulemaking action.

<sup>2</sup> See 87 FR 50263 (August 16, 2022).

## II. The EPA’s Evaluation

### A. Subchapter 13 (Open Burning)

Subchapter 13 (denoted OAC 252:100–13) imposes requirements for controlling open burning of refuse, and other combustible materials. A detailed description of the submitted revisions and our evaluation is provided in the Technical Support Document (TSD), posted in the docket for this action. A summary of the submitted revisions follows.

1. Revisions to OAC 252:100–13–2 (Definitions) add “Clean wood waste” to the entry for “Wood waste”. The revisions also replace the terms “Ozone Watch” and “Particulate Matter Watch” with “Ozone Alert” and “Particulate Matter Alert” to be consistent with terms used by cities in Oklahoma.

2. Revisions to OAC 252:100–13–5 (Open burning prohibited) add a reference to new section 252:100–13–8.1 (Transported material) and moves one sentence addressing transported material to new section 252:100–13–8.1.

3. Revisions to OAC 252:100–13–7 (Allowed open burning) add requirements to remove materials containing asbestos, asphalt, and lead in structures used for fire training. The revisions also require that fires set for land clearing operations use an air curtain incinerator (ACI) in areas that are or have been designated as nonattainment or in a metropolitan statistical area (MSA) with a population greater than 900,000 people. The revisions also add “Certain medical marijuana plant refuse” that are consistent with the Oklahoma Statutes at Section 428 (Title 63). These revisions strengthen the current SIP rules and are consistent with Federal regulations at 40 CFR 60 for ACI, and at 40 CFR 61.145, which addresses the National Emission Standards for Hazardous Air Pollutants (NESHAP) for asbestos-containing materials.

4. Revisions to 252:100–13–8 (Use of air curtain incinerators) strengthen the current SIP by requiring the use of an ACI in areas that are or have been designated as nonattainment, or in a MSA with a population greater than 900,000 people; and limiting the materials that can be accepted for burning in such ACIs.

5. Revisions to 252:100–13–8.1 (Transported material)—this entire section is new to the SIP and strengthens the SIP by identifying what, where, and how materials are allowed to be transported for open burning.

6. Revisions to 252:100–13–9 (General conditions and requirements allowed for open burning) replace “An Ozone or PM Watch” with “An Ozone or PM

Alert” and strengthen the SIP by requiring “at least 500 feet from any occupied structure. . . .” for open burning of waste generated from commercial operations.

The submitted revisions to 252:100–13 add clarity, consistency, and stringency to the open burning rules. The revisions do not relax the current SIP rules and are consistent with Federal regulations at 40 CFR 60 and 40 CFR 61. Therefore, and consistent with CAA section 110(l), we do not expect these revisions to interfere with any applicable requirement concerning attainment and reasonable further progress or any other applicable requirement of the Act. We are proposing to approve these revisions.

#### B. Subchapters 37 and 39

In an earlier submitted SIP revision, the State revised the name of Subchapter 37 from “Control of Emission of Organic Materials” to “Control of Emission of Volatile Organic Compounds (VOC)” and revised the name of Subchapter 39 from “Emission of Organic Materials in Nonattainment Areas” to “Emission of Volatile Organic Compounds (VOCs) in Nonattainment Areas and Former Nonattainment Areas.” However, we inadvertently left these changes out of the amendatory table in our direct final action at 73 FR 79400 (December 29, 2008). These name changes will be made in the amendatory table in the final action.

In this action, we are also proposing to approve revisions to OAC 252:100, Subchapters 37 and 39 (OAC 252:100–37 and 252:100–39) and Appendix N. The submitted revisions are available in the docket for this action. The State’s February 9, 2021, submittal is amending the following sections:

1. 252:100–37–27 to add a new section 27, Control of emission of VOCs from aerospace industries coatings operations, for new and existing aerospace vehicle and component coating operations at aerospace manufacturing, rework and/or repair facilities statewide.

2. 252:100–39–47 to update the language of section 47 to include changes to the 40 CFR part 63, subpart GG, Aerospace NESHAP, clarify regulatory language and make minor clerical corrections.

3. 252:100–39 is amended by revoking section 49, Manufacturing of reinforced plastic products.

4. 252:100–39, a new Appendix N, Specialty Coatings VOC Content Limits, is added to the SIP to provide restrictions on the VOC content of coatings used in the aerospace industry. Appendix N list of coatings and VOC

content matches Table 1 of the National Emission Standards for Hazardous Air Pollutants (NESHAP) 40 CFR 63 Subpart GG and specifies its intended use for compliance with sections 252:100–37–27 and 252:100–39–47.

More complete information on the proposed changes, including minor typographical and citation changes is available in the TSD prepared in conjunction with this rulemaking action. Below is a summary of the revision-by-revision discussion:

OAC 252:100–37–27 is amended to add a new section controlling emissions of VOCs from aerospace industries coatings operations statewide. The section applies to new and existing aerospace vehicle and component coating operations at aerospace manufacturing, rework and/or repair facilities. Examining the new section indicates that the submitted revision not only would reference certain limits specified in the aerospace NESHAP, 40 CFR 63 Subpart GG, but will also strengthen the SIP by requiring control of VOC emissions from aerospace industry throughout the State of Oklahoma. Therefore, we are proposing to approve the submitted revisions to Subchapter 37, Section 27.

OAC 252:100–39–47 is amended to update the language of section 47 to incorporate changes to the 40 CFR part 63, Subpart GG, Aerospace NESHAP, clarify regulatory language and make minor clerical corrections. Examination of the revisions indicates that the submitted revision to Subchapter 39–47 is proper and provides additional clarity. Thus, we find that the requirements of section 110(l) of the Act are satisfied. Therefore, we are proposing to approve the submitted revision to Subchapter 39, Section 47.

OAC 252:100–39 is amended by revoking section 49, manufacturing of fiberglass reinforced plastic products. Section 49 was implemented in 1989 to provide VOC reductions in Tulsa County, and since EPA finalized the NESHAP for new and existing reinforced plastic composites production facilities, codified at 40 CFR 63 Subpart WWWW (Subpart WWWW) in 2003, the duality of applying section 49 and Subpart WWWW to the same source is no longer practical. The provisions of Subpart WWWW are incorporated by reference into the state’s rules under OAC 252:100–2–3. Subpart WWWW provides equal or greater VOC reductions to section 49 and is applicable to sites statewide.

OAC 252:100–39, A new Appendix N, Specialty Coatings VOC Content Limits, lists the VOC content limits allowed for use in the State at affected facilities.

These limits are needed to provide for effective compliance with sections OAC 252:100–37–27 and OAC 252:100–39–47. We find that the new Appendix N restricts VOC content by matching the VOC limits specified in Table 1–Specialty Coatings-HAP and VOC Content Limits, as set forth in the aerospace NESHAP 40 CFR part 63, subpart GG. Because the addition of Appendix N adds new requirements, we would not expect an increase in emissions and therefore no interference with any applicable requirement concerning attainment and reasonable further progress (as defined in the CAA section 171), or any other applicable requirement of the CAA.

#### III. Impact on Areas of Indian Country

Following the U.S. Supreme Court decision in *McGirt v. Oklahoma*, 140 S. Ct. 2452 (2020), the Governor of the State of Oklahoma requested approval under Section 10211(a) of the Safe, Accountable, Flexible, Efficient Transportation Equity Act of 2005: A Legacy for Users, Public Law 109–59, 109 Stat. 1144, 1937 (August 10, 2005) (“SAFETEA”), to administer in certain areas of Indian country (as defined at 18 U.S.C. 1151) the State’s environmental regulatory programs that were previously approved by the EPA for areas outside of Indian country. The State’s request excluded certain areas of Indian country further described below. In addition, the State only sought approval to the extent that such approval is necessary for the State to administer a program in light of *Oklahoma Dept. of Environmental Quality v. EPA*, 740 F.3d 185 (D.C. Cir. 2014).<sup>3</sup>

On October 1, 2020, the EPA approved Oklahoma’s SAFETEA request to administer all the State’s EPA-approved environmental regulatory programs, including the Oklahoma SIP, in the requested areas of Indian country. As requested by Oklahoma, the EPA’s approval under SAFETEA does not include Indian country lands, including rights-of-way running through the same, that: (1) qualify as Indian allotments, the Indian titles to which have not been

<sup>3</sup>In *ODEQ v. EPA*, the D.C. Circuit held that under the CAA, a state has the authority to implement a SIP in non-reservation areas of Indian country in the state, where there has been no demonstration of tribal jurisdiction. Under the D.C. Circuit’s decision, the CAA does not provide authority to states to implement SIPs in Indian reservations. *ODEQ* did not, however, substantively address the separate authority in Indian country provided specifically to Oklahoma under SAFETEA. That separate authority was not invoked until the State submitted its request under SAFETEA, and was not approved until EPA’s decision, described in this section, on October 1, 2020.

extinguished, under 18 U.S.C. 1151(c); (2) are held in trust by the United States on behalf of an individual Indian or Tribe; or (3) are owned in fee by a Tribe, if the Tribe (a) acquired that fee title to such land, or an area that included such land, in accordance with a treaty with the United States to which such Tribe was a party, and (b) never allotted the land to a member or citizen of the Tribe (collectively “excluded Indian country lands”).

EPA’s approval under SAFETEA expressly provided that to the extent EPA’s prior approvals of Oklahoma’s environmental programs excluded Indian country, any such exclusions are superseded for the geographic areas of Indian country covered by the EPA’s approval of Oklahoma’s SAFETEA request.<sup>4</sup> The approval also provided that future revisions or amendments to Oklahoma’s approved environmental regulatory programs would extend to the covered areas of Indian country (without any further need for additional requests under SAFETEA).

As explained earlier in this action, the EPA is proposing to approve revisions to the Oklahoma SIP that will apply state-wide and therefore have tribal implications as specified in Executive Order (E.O.) 13175. Consistent with the D.C. Circuit’s decision in *ODEQ v. EPA* and with EPA’s October 1, 2020, SAFETEA approval, if this approval is finalized as proposed, these SIP revisions will apply to all Indian country within Oklahoma, other than the excluded Indian country lands, as described earlier. Because—per the State’s request under SAFETEA—EPA’s October 1, 2020, approval does not displace any SIP authority previously exercised by the State under the CAA as interpreted in *ODEQ v. EPA*, the SIP will also apply to any Indian allotments or dependent Indian communities located outside of an Indian reservation over which there has been no demonstration of tribal authority.<sup>5</sup>

<sup>4</sup> EPA’s prior approvals relating to Oklahoma’s SIP frequently noted that the SIP was not approved to apply in areas of Indian country (consistent with the D.C. Circuit’s decision in *ODEQ v. EPA*) located in the state. See, e.g., 85 FR 20178, 20180 (April 10, 2020). Such prior expressed limitations are superseded by the EPA’s approval of Oklahoma’s SAFETEA request.

<sup>5</sup> In accordance with Executive Order 13990, EPA is currently reviewing our October 1, 2020, SAFETEA approval and is engaging in further consultation with tribal governments and discussions with the state of Oklahoma as part of this review. EPA also notes that the October 1, 2020, approval is the subject of a pending challenge in federal court. (*Pawnee v. Regan*, No. 20–9635 (10th Cir.)). Pending completion of EPA’s review, EPA is proceeding with this proposed action in accordance with the October 1, 2020, approval. EPA’s final action on the approved revisions to the

#### IV. Environmental Justice Considerations

Executive Order 12898 (Federal Actions to Address Environmental Justice in Minority Populations and Low-Income Populations, 59 FR 7629, February 16, 1994) directs federal agencies to identify and address “disproportionately high and adverse human health or environmental effects” of their actions on minority populations and low-income populations to the greatest extent practicable and permitted by law. The EPA defines environmental justice as “the fair treatment and meaningful involvement of all people regardless of race, color, national origin, or income with respect to the development, implementation, and enforcement of environmental laws, regulations, and policies.” The EPA further defines the term fair treatment to mean that “no group of people should bear a disproportionate burden of environmental harms and risks, including those resulting from the negative environmental consequences of industrial, governmental, and commercial operations or programs and policies.”<sup>6</sup> The EPA is providing additional analysis of environmental justice associated with this action for the purpose of providing information to the public.<sup>7</sup> The EPA found, based on the EJScreen analyses, that this proposed action will not have disproportionately high or adverse human health or environmental effects on communities with EJ concerns. The revisions strengthen the SIP by reducing air quality impacts from specific operations statewide and thus, benefit the public. For example, as described earlier in this action, the removal of specific materials from structures used for fire training and the use of the ACI are controls that improve air quality. The submitted revisions do not relax provisions in the approved SIP and are consistent with Federal rules, including, but not limited to 40 CFR 60, 40 CFR 61, and 40 CFR 63.

Oklahoma SIP that include revisions to OAC Title 252 Chapter 100 Subchapters 13, 37, and 39 and Appendix N will address the scope of the state’s program with respect to Indian country, and may make any appropriate adjustments, based on the status of our review at that time. If EPA’s final action on Oklahoma’s SIP is taken before our review of the SAFETEA approval is complete, EPA may make further changes to the approval of Oklahoma’s program to reflect the outcome of the SAFETEA review.

<sup>6</sup> See <https://www.epa.gov/environmentaljustice/learn-about-environmental-justice>.

<sup>7</sup> Our analysis is provided in the docket for this rulemaking action.

#### V. Proposed Action

We are proposing to approve revisions to the Oklahoma SIP, submitted to us on February 9, 2021. Specifically, we are proposing to approve revisions to OAC 252:100, Subchapters 13, 37, and 39, and Appendix N. We are proposing to approve these revisions in accordance with section 110 of the Act.

#### VI. Incorporation by Reference

In this action, we are proposing to include in a final rule regulatory text that includes incorporation by reference. In accordance with the requirements of 1 CFR 51.5, we are proposing to incorporate by reference revisions to the Oklahoma SIP regulations, as described in Section II of this proposed action. We have made, and will continue to make, these documents generally available electronically through [www.regulations.gov](http://www.regulations.gov) (please contact the persons identified in the **FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT** section of this preamble for more information).

#### VII. Statutory and Executive Order Reviews

Under the CAA, the Administrator is required to approve a SIP submission that complies with the provisions of the Act and applicable Federal regulations. 42 U.S.C. 7410(k); 40 CFR 52.02(a). Thus, in reviewing SIP submissions, the EPA’s role is to approve state choices, provided that they meet the criteria of the CAA. Accordingly, this action merely proposes to approve state law as meeting Federal requirements and does not impose additional requirements beyond those imposed by state law. For that reason, this action:

- Is not a “significant regulatory action” subject to review by the Office of Management and Budget under Executive Orders 12866 (58 FR 51735, October 4, 1993) and 13563 (76 FR 3821, January 21, 2011);
- Does not impose an information collection burden under the provisions of the Paperwork Reduction Act (44 U.S.C. 3501 *et seq.*);
- Is certified as not having a significant economic impact on a substantial number of small entities under the Regulatory Flexibility Act (5 U.S.C. 601 *et seq.*);
- Does not contain any unfunded mandate or significantly or uniquely affect small governments, as described in the Unfunded Mandates Reform Act of 1995 (Pub. L. 104–4);
- Does not have federalism implications as specified in Executive Order 13132 (64 FR 43255, August 10, 1999);

- Is not an economically significant regulatory action based on health or safety risks subject to Executive Order 13045 (62 FR 19885, April 23, 1997);
- Is not a significant regulatory action subject to Executive Order 13211 (66 FR 28355, May 22, 2001);
- Is not subject to requirements of section 12(d) of the National Technology Transfer and Advancement Act of 1995 (15 U.S.C. 272 note) because application of those requirements would be inconsistent with the CAA; and
- Does not provide EPA with the discretionary authority to address, as appropriate, disproportionate human health or environmental effects, using practicable and legally permissible methods, under Executive Order 12898 (59 FR 7629, February 16, 1994).

This proposal to approve revisions to the Oklahoma SIP will apply, if finalized as proposed, to certain areas of Indian country throughout Oklahoma as discussed in the preamble, and therefore has tribal implications as specified in E.O. 13175 (65 FR 67249, November 9, 2000). However, this action will neither impose substantial direct compliance costs on federally recognized tribal governments, nor preempt tribal law. This action will not impose substantial direct compliance costs on federally recognized tribal governments because no actions will be required of tribal governments. This action will also not preempt tribal law as no Oklahoma tribe implements a regulatory program under the CAA, and thus does not have applicable or related tribal laws. Consistent with the EPA Policy on Consultation and Coordination with Indian Tribes (May 4, 2011), the EPA has offered consultation to tribal governments that may be affected by this action.

#### List of Subjects in 40 CFR Part 52

Environmental protection, Air pollution control, Incorporation by reference, Intergovernmental relations, Ozone, Particulate matter, Reporting and recordkeeping requirements, Volatile organic compounds.

**Authority:** 42 U.S.C. 7401 *et seq.*

Dated: January 30, 2023.

**Earthea Nance,**

*Regional Administrator, Region 6.*

[FR Doc. 2023-02293 Filed 2-2-23; 8:45 am]

**BILLING CODE 6560-50-P**

## DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

### National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration

#### 50 CFR Part 622

[Docket No. 230130-0032]

RIN 0648-BL89

#### Fisheries of the Caribbean, Gulf of Mexico, and South Atlantic; Reef Fish Resources of the Gulf of Mexico; Temporary Measures To Reduce Overfishing of Gag

**AGENCY:** National Marine Fisheries Service (NMFS), National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration (NOAA), Commerce.

**ACTION:** Proposed temporary rule; request for comments.

**SUMMARY:** This proposed temporary rule would implement interim measures to reduce overfishing of gag in Federal waters of the Gulf of Mexico (Gulf). This temporary rule would reduce the 2023 commercial and recreational sector harvest levels for gag and would change the 2023 recreational fishing season for gag in Federal waters of the Gulf. This proposed temporary rule would be effective for 180 days, but NMFS may extend the interim measures for a maximum of an additional 186 days. The purpose of this proposed temporary rule is to reduce overfishing of gag while the long-term management measures are developed.

**DATES:** Written comments must be received by February 21, 2023.

**ADDRESSES:** You may submit comments on the proposed temporary rule identified by “NOAA-NMFS-2022-0136” by either of the following methods:

- **Electronic submission:** Submit all electronic public comments via the Federal e-Rulemaking Portal. Go to [www.regulations.gov](http://www.regulations.gov) and enter “NOAA-NMFS-2022-0136” in the Search box. Click the “Comment” icon, complete the required fields, and enter or attach your comments.

- **Mail:** Submit all written comments to Dan Luers, NMFS Southeast Regional Office, 263 13th Avenue South, St. Petersburg, FL 33701.

**Instructions:** Comments sent by any other method, to any other address or individual, or received after the end of the comment period may not be considered by NMFS. All comments received are a part of the public record and will generally be posted for public viewing on [www.regulations.gov](http://www.regulations.gov) without change. All personal identifying information, *e.g.*, name and address,

confidential business information, or otherwise sensitive information submitted voluntarily by the sender will be publicly accessible. NMFS will accept anonymous comments—enter “N/A” in required fields if you wish to remain anonymous.

Electronic copies of the environmental assessment (EA) supporting these proposed interim measures may be obtained from the Southeast Regional Office website at <https://www.fisheries.noaa.gov/action/interim-action-reduce-overfishing-gag-gulf-mexico>. The EA includes a regulatory impact review and a Regulatory Flexibility Act (RFA) analysis.

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:** Dan Luers, NMFS Southeast Regional Office, telephone: 727-824-5305, or email: [daniel.luers@noaa.gov](mailto:daniel.luers@noaa.gov).

**SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:** The reef fish fishery in the Gulf is managed under the Fishery Management Plan for the Reef Fish Resources of the Gulf of Mexico (FMP) and includes gag and 30 other managed reef fish species. The FMP was prepared by the Gulf of Mexico Fishery Management Council (Council) and is implemented by NMFS through regulations at 50 CFR part 622 under authority of the Magnuson-Stevens Fishery Conservation and Management Act (Magnuson-Stevens Act).

#### Background

The Magnuson-Stevens Act requires that NMFS and regional fishery management councils prevent overfishing and achieve, on a continuing basis, the optimum yield from federally managed fish stocks. These mandates are intended to ensure that fishery resources are managed for the greatest overall benefit to the Nation, particularly with respect to providing food production and recreational opportunities, and protecting marine ecosystems.

All weights described in this proposed temporary rule are in gutted weight.

Gulf gag is harvested by the commercial and recreational sectors, with 39 percent of the total annual catch limit (ACL) allocated to the commercial sector and 61 percent allocated to the recreational sector. Commercial harvest of gag is managed under the individual fishing quota program for groupers and tilefishes (GT-IFQ program). NMFS constrains commercial landings of gag to the commercial quota, which is the harvest level reduced from the commercial ACL. Recreational harvest of gag is currently allowed from June 1