

Lemont, IL 60439. Instrument: Pilatus 300K Pixel Detector System. Manufacturer: Dectris Ltd., Switzerland. Intended Use: See Notice at 75 FR 82372, December 30, 2010. Comments: None received. Decision: Approved. We know of no instruments of equivalent scientific value to the foreign instruments described below, for such purposes as this is intended to be used, that was being manufactured in the United States at the time of its order. Reasons: The instrument's unique capabilities are a high detection efficiency (no readout noise and direct detection scheme), high dynamic range (20-bits), and fast readout speeds, which are not available in any domestically produced equipment.

Docket Number: 10-069. Applicant: University of Minnesota School of Dentistry, Minneapolis, MN 55455. Instrument: Dental Imaging System: Cross-Polarization Swept Source Optical Coherence Tomography with a MEMS Handpiece. Manufacturer: Santec Corporation, Japan. Intended Use: See Notice at 75 FR 82372, December 30, 2010. Comments: None received. Decision: Approved. We know of no instruments of equivalent scientific value to the foreign instruments described below, for such purposes as this is intended to be used, that was being manufactured in the United States at the time of its order. Reasons: Three crucial aspects of the instrument are size (the hand piece is 16 x 15 x 80 mm), speed (can operate at 30 kHz swept source speed), and image contrast (able to suppress the parallel polarization by 30 dB), which are not available in any domestically produced instrument.

Dated: January 24, 2011.

**Gregory W. Campbell,**  
Director, Subsidies Enforcement Office,  
Import Administration.

[FR Doc. 2011-2106 Filed 1-28-11; 8:45 am]

**BILLING CODE 3510-DS-P**

## DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

### International Trade Administration

[A-583-833]

#### Certain Polyester Staple Fiber From Taiwan: Extension of Time Limit for Preliminary Results of Antidumping Duty Administrative Review

**AGENCY:** Import Administration, International Trade Administration, Department of Commerce.

**DATES:** *Effective Date:* January 31, 2011.

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:** Michael A. Romani, AD/CVD Operations, Office 5, Import

Administration, International Trade Administration, U.S. Department of Commerce, 14th Street and Constitution Avenue, NW., Washington, DC 20230; *telephone:* (202) 482-0198.

#### SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

##### Background

At the request of interested parties, the Department of Commerce (the Department) initiated an administrative review of the antidumping duty order on certain polyester staple fiber from Taiwan for the period May 1, 2009, through April 30, 2010. *See Initiation of Antidumping and Countervailing Duty Administrative Reviews and Requests for Revocation in Part*, 75 FR 37759 (June 30, 2010). The preliminary results are currently due no later than January 31, 2011.

##### Extension of Time Limit for Preliminary Results

Section 751(a)(3)(A) of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended (the Act), requires the Department to make a preliminary determination within 245 days after the last day of the anniversary month of an order for which a review is requested and a final determination within 120 days after the date on which the preliminary determination is published in the **Federal Register**. If it is not practicable to complete the review within these time periods, section 751(a)(3)(A) of the Act allows the Department to extend the time limit for the preliminary determination to a maximum of 365 days after the last day of the anniversary month.

We determine that it is not practicable to complete the preliminary results of this review by the current deadline of January 31, 2011, because we require additional time to obtain additional information concerning the respondent's U.S. and home-market sales. Therefore, in accordance with section 751(a)(3)(A) of the Act and 19 CFR 351.213(h)(2), we are extending the time period for issuing the preliminary results of this review by 74 days to April 15, 2011.

This notice is published in accordance with sections 751(a)(3)(A) and 777(i)(1) of the Act.

Dated: January 24, 2011.

**Christian Marsh,**

Deputy Assistant Secretary for Antidumping and Countervailing Duty Operations.

[FR Doc. 2011-1938 Filed 1-28-11; 8:45 am]

**BILLING CODE 3510-DS-P**

## DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

### International Trade Administration

[A-533-809, A-583-821]

#### Forged Stainless Steel Flanges From India and Taiwan: Final Results of Sunset Reviews and Revocation of Antidumping Duty Orders

**AGENCY:** Import Administration, International Trade Administration, Department of Commerce.

**SUMMARY:** On November 1, 2010, the Department of Commerce (the Department) initiated the sunset review of the antidumping duty orders on forged stainless steel flanges from India and Taiwan. Because the domestic interested parties did not participate in these sunset reviews, the Department is revoking these antidumping duty orders.

**DATES:** *Effective Date:* January 23, 2011.

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:** Robert James or Deborah Scott, AD/CVD Operations, Import Administration, International Trade Administration, U.S. Department of Commerce, 14th Street and Constitution Avenue, NW., Washington, DC 20230; *telephone:* (202) 482-0649 or (202) 482-2657, respectively.

#### SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

##### Background

On February 9, 1994, the Department issued antidumping duty orders on certain forged stainless steel flanges from India and Taiwan. *See Amended Final Determination and Antidumping Duty Order; Certain Forged Stainless Steel Flanges From India*, 59 FR 5994 (February 9, 1994) and *Antidumping Duty Order: Certain Forged Stainless Steel Flanges From Taiwan*, 59 FR 5995 (February 9, 1994). On January 23, 2006, the Department published its most recent continuation of the orders. *See Continuation of Antidumping Duty Orders: Forged Stainless Steel Flanges from India and Taiwan*, 71 FR 3457 (January 23, 2006) (*Notice of Continuation*). On November 1, 2010, the Department initiated sunset reviews of these orders. *See Initiation of Five-Year ("Sunset") Review*, 75 FR 67082 (November 1, 2010).

We did not receive a notice of intent to participate from domestic interested parties in these sunset reviews by the deadline date. As a result, in accordance with 19 CFR 351.218(d)(1)(iii)(A), the Department determined that no domestic interested party intends to participate in these sunset reviews, and