design, integrated eligibility, deliberate program operations and meaningful outcomes.

(i) FNS may require a State agency to make modifications to its SNAP E&T plan to improve outcomes if FNS determines that the E&T outcomes are inadequate. FNS will consider these modifications in determining whether to approve a State's E&T plan.

(ii) [Reserved]

- (17) The State agency shall submit an annual E&T report by April 15 each year that contains the following:
- (i) Employment and Earnings Reporting Measures: State agencies shall report four consecutive quarters of data from the two previous Federal fiscal years ending the preceding September 30 for the following measures:

(A) The number and percentage of E&T participants and former participants who are in unsubsidized employment during the second quarter after completion of participation in E&T.

(B) Median quarterly earnings of the E&T participants and former participants who are in unsubsidized employment during the second quarter after completion of participation in E&T.

(C) The number and percentage of E&T participants and former participants who are in unsubsidized employment during the fourth quarter after completion of participation in E&T.

- (ii) Educational Reporting Measures: State agencies shall report data for the Federal fiscal year ending the preceding September 30 for the following measures:
- (A) Attainment of a credential or certificate. The number and percentage of E&T participants enrolled in an education or training program, excluding those in on-the-job training, customized training or transitional jobs, who attain a recognized postsecondary credential, certificate or a secondary school diploma, or its recognized equivalent, during participation in or upon completion of participation in E&T.
- (B) Measurable skill gains. The number and percentage of E&T participants who, during the preceding Federal fiscal year, are in an education or training program that leads to a recognized postsecondary credential or employment and who are achieving measurable skill gains, defined as documented academic, technical, occupational, or other forms of progress, towards such a credential or employment.

(iii) State agencies shall report the employment and earnings measures specified in paragraphs (c)(17)(i)(A) through (C) and educational measures specified in paragraphs (c)(17)(ii)(A)

- and (B) for each of following participant characteristics:
  - (A) By Race and/or Ethnicity;
  - (B) Voluntary E&T Participants;
  - (C) Mandatory E&T Participants;
- (D) Mandatory E&T Participants deemed ineligible due to failure to comply with mandatory E&T;
- (E) E&T Participants who obtained high school diploma or equivalency prior to participation in E&T; and

(F) ABAWDs.

- (iv) State agencies that serve mandatory E&T participants shall report for the Federal fiscal year ending the preceding September 30 the following:
- (A) the unduplicated number of SNAP participants who were required to participate in E&T;
- (B) of those required to participate the unduplicated number and percentage referred to E&T; and
- (C) the unduplicated number and percentage of SNAP participants that were determined ineligible for failure to comply with E&T requirements.
- (v) The number and percentage of E&T participants for the Federal fiscal year ending the preceding September 30 who:
  - (A) Are voluntary E&T participants;
  - (B) Are mandatory E&T participants;
- (C) Have received a high school diploma or equivalent prior to being provided with E&T services;
  - (D) Are ABAWDs;
  - (E) Are English language learners;
  - (F) By Gender identity;
- (G) Are within each of the following age ranges: 16–17, 18–35, 36–49, 50–59, 60 or older; and
  - (H) By Race and/or Ethnicity
- (vi) Reports for the measures identified in a State's E&T plan related to components that are designed to serve at least 100 participants a year; and
- (vii) States that have committed to offering all at-risk ABAWDs participation in a qualifying activity and have received an additional allocation of funds as specified in paragraph (d)(3) of this section shall include:
- (A) The monthly average number of individuals in the State who meet the conditions in paragraph (d)(3)(i) of this section:
- (B) The monthly average number of individuals to whom the State offers a position in a program described in § 273.24(a)(3) and (4);
- (C) The monthly average number of individuals who participate in such programs; and
- (D) A description of the types of employment and training programs the State agency offered to at risk ABAWDs and the availability of those programs throughout the State.

(viii) States may be required to submit the annual report in a standardized format based upon guidance issued by FNS.

\* \* \* \* \*

■ 5. Amend § 273.24 by adding paragraph (a)(4) to read as follows:

## § 273.24 Time limit for able-bodied adults.

(a) \* \* \*

(4) Workfare program means:

(i) A program under § 273.7(m); or

(ii) A comparable program established by a State or political subdivision of a State.

\* \* \* \* \*

#### Tameka Owens,

Acting Administrator and Assistant Administrator, Food and Nutrition Service. [FR Doc. 2024–26809 Filed 11–15–24; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 3410-30-P

#### **DEPARTMENT OF AGRICULTURE**

#### **Agricultural Marketing Service**

#### 7 CFR Part 1220

[Doc. No. AMS-LP-23-0079]

#### Soybean Promotion and Research: Adjustments to Representation on the United Soybean Board

**AGENCY:** Agricultural Marketing Service, USDA.

**ACTION:** Final rule.

**SUMMARY:** This final rule adjusts the number of members on the United Soybean Board (Board) to reflect changes in production levels that have occurred since the Board was last reapportioned in 2021. As required by the Soybean Promotion, Research, and Consumer Information Act (Act), membership on the Board is reviewed every 3-years and adjustments are made accordingly. These adjustments decrease Board membership for the State of North Dakota from four members to three members and increase Board membership for the State of New York from one member to two members, thus the total number of Board members will remain at 77. These changes will be reflected in the Soybean Promotion and Research Order (Order) and become effective with the Secretary of Agriculture's (Secretary) appointments for terms in 2025.

**DATES:** This final rule is effective as of December 18, 2024.

#### FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

Jason Julian, Research and Promotion Division, at (202) 731–2149; or by email at jason.julian@usda.gov.

#### SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

# Executive Orders 12866, 13563 and 14094

USDA issues this final rule in conformance with Executive Orders (E.O.) 12866, 13563, and 14094. Executive Orders 12866 and 13563, and 14094 direct agencies to assess all costs and benefits of available regulatory alternatives and, if regulation is necessary, to select regulatory approaches that maximize net benefits (including potential economic, environmental, public health and safety effects, distributive impacts, and equity). E.O. 13563 emphasizes the importance of quantifying both costs and benefits, reducing costs, harmonizing rules, and promoting flexibility. E.O. 14094 reaffirms, supplements, and updates E.O. 12866 and further directs agencies to ask for and consider input from a wide range of affected and interested parties through a variety of means. This final rule is not a significant regulatory action within the meaning of E.O. 12866. So, this action has not been reviewed by the Office of Management and Budget (OMB).

#### **Executive Order 13175**

This action has been reviewed per the requirements of E.O. 13175, Consultation and Coordination with Indian Tribal Governments, which requires agencies to consider whether their rulemaking actions would have Tribal implications. The review revealed that this regulation would not have substantial and direct efforts on Tribal governments or significant Tribal implications.

#### **Executive Order 12988**

This final rule was reviewed under E.O. 12988, Civil Justice Reform. This rule is not intended to have retroactive effect.

The Act (7 U.S.C. 6309) provides that nothing in the Act may be construed to preempt or supersede any other program relating to soybean promotion organized and operated under the laws of the U.S. or any State. There are no administrative proceedings that must be exhausted prior to any judicial challenge to the provisions of this rule.

### **Paperwork Reduction Act**

In accordance with OMB regulations (5 CFR part 1320) that implement the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995 (44 U.S.C. part 35), the information collection and recordkeeping requirements contained in the Order and accompanying Rules and Regulations have previously been approved by OMB and were assigned OMB control number 0581–0093.

#### **Background**

The Board was initially appointed on July 11, 1991, per the provisions of the Act (7 U.S.C. 6301–6311), and the Order (7 CFR part 1220) issued thereunder. The Order set up an initial Board with sixty members, composed of soybean producers. For purposes of setting up the Board, the United States was divided into 31 States and geographical units. Representation on the Board from each unit was determined by the level of production in each unit.

#### Reapportionment

Section 1220.201(c) of the Order provides that at the end of each 3-year period, the Board shall review soybean production levels in the geographic units throughout the United States. Section 1220.130 of the Order defines a unit as each State, or group of States, which is represented on the Board. The Board may recommend to the Secretary that Board membership for each unit be changed to reflect current production levels.

Section 1220.201(d) of the Order provides that at the end of each 3-vear period, the Secretary must review the volume of production of each unit and adjust the boundaries of any unit and the number of Board members from each such unit as necessary to conform with the criteria set forth in § 1220.201(e): (1) To the extent practicable, States with annual average sovbean production of less than 3 million bushels shall be grouped into geographically contiguous units, each of which has a combined production level equal to or greater than 3 million bushels, and each such group shall be entitled to at least 1 member on the Board; (2) units with at least 3 million bushels, but fewer than 15 million bushels shall be entitled to 1 board member: (3) units with 15 million bushels or more but fewer than 70 million bushels shall be entitled to 2 Board members; (4) units with 70 million bushels or more but fewer than 200 million bushels shall be entitled to 3 Board members; and (5) units with 200 million bushels or more shall be entitled to 4 Board members.

The Board was last reapportioned in 2021. The total Board membership decreased from 78 to 77 members, with Alabama decreasing one member. The final rule was published in the **Federal Register** (86 FR 61668) on November 8, 2021, and became effective with the 2022 appointments.

This final rule keeps total membership of the Board at 77 members. Production data was used for years 2018–2022 (excluding the crops in years in which production was the highest and in which production was the lowest in each State) was reported by USDA's National Agricultural Statistics Service (NASS). This change does not affect the number of geographical units.

This final rule adjusts representation on the Board as follows:

State	Previous representation	Current representation
New York North Dakota	1 4	2 3

Board adjustments by this final rule will become effective with the 2025 appointment process.

#### **Summary of Comments**

A proposed rule was published in the Federal Register (89 FR 51277) on June 17, 2024, with a 30-day comment period. USDA received three comments. One comment communicated displeasure for North Dakota's decreased number from four seats to three seats. Upon reviewing the requirements of the Act and Order, USDA determined that leaving the North Dakota seats at four would not be consistent with the Act and Order, which requires that at the end of each 3-year period, the Secretary review the volume of production of each unit and adjust the boundaries of any unit and the number of Board members from each such unit as necessary to conform with the formula to determine the number of directors for each unit set forth in § 1220.201(e) of the Order. This was done by calculating production data for years 2018-2022 (excluding the crops in years in which production was the highest and in which production was the lowest in each State) as reported by USDA's NASS, resulting in a 3-year average for North Dakota that fell below the required number of bushels to retain four seats under § 1220.201(e)(5) of the Order. Accordingly, no change is made in response to this comment.

One comment was in favor of the seat adjustments for North Dakota and New York.

One comment was not germane to the proposed rule.

#### **Regulatory Flexibility Act**

Pursuant to the requirements set forth in the Regulatory Flexibility Act (RFA) (5 U.S.C. 601–612), the Agricultural Marketing Service (AMS) considered the economic effect of this action on small entities and determined that this final rule would not have a significant economic impact on a substantial number of small entities. The purpose of the RFA is to fit regulatory actions to the

scale of businesses subject to such actions in order that small businesses will not be unduly burdened.

Effective August 19, 2019, the Small Business Administration (SBA) (13 CFR 121.201) published an interim final rule (84 FR 34261) that adjusts the monetary-based size standards for inflation. As a result of this rule, the size classification for soybean producers changed from sales of \$750,000 or less to sales of \$1,000,000 or less. There are an estimated 413,358 soybean producers and an estimated 10,000 first purchasers who collect the assessment, most who would be considered small businesses under the criteria set up by SBA.

According to USDA's NASS 2022 Census of Agriculture, the number of operations in the United States with soybean production totaled 270,851.<sup>1</sup> The most recent (2022) Census of Agriculture data show that roughly 19 percent of producers with soybean production, or 52,756 operations, have annual receipts of \$1,000,000 or more.<sup>2</sup> Therefore, most soybean producers, 81 percent, are considered small businesses with the new SBA guidance. It should be noted that producers are only indirectly affected by this final rule.

This final rule imposes no new burden on the industry, as it only adjusts representation on the Board to reflect changes in soybean production. The adjustments are required by the Order and do not result in a change to Board membership, which will remain at 77 members.

AMS is committed to following e-Government Act of 2002 to promote the use of the internet and other information technologies to provide increased opportunities for citizen access to government information and services, and for other purposes.

USDA has not found any relevant Federal rules that duplicate, overlap, or conflict with this rule.

#### List of Subjects in 7 CFR Part 1220

Administrative practice and procedure, Advertising, Agricultural research, Marketing agreements,

Reporting and recordkeeping requirements, Soybeans.

For the reasons set forth in the preamble, the Agricultural Marketing Service amends 7 CFR part 1220 as follows:

#### PART 1220—SOYBEAN PROMOTION, RESEARCH, AND CONSUMER INFORMATION

- 1. The authority citation for 7 CFR part 1220 continues to read as follows:
- **Authority:** 7 U.S.C. 6301–6311 and 7 U.S.C. 7401.
- 2. Amend § 1220.201 by revising paragraph (a) to read as follows:

#### § 1220.201 Membership of Board.

(a) For the purposes of nominating and appointing producers to the Board, the United States shall be divided into thirty-one geographic units and the number of Board members from each unit, subject to paragraphs (d) and (e) of this section shall be as follows:

TABLE 1 TO PARAGRAPH (a)

State/unit	Number of members
South Dakota	
Ohio	4
Nebraska	4
Missouri	4
Minnesota	4
lowa	4
Indiana	4
Illinois	4
North Dakota	3
Wisconsin	3
Tennessee	3
Mississippi	3
Michigan	3
Kentucky	3
Kansas	3 3 2 2 2
Arkansas	
Virginia	2
Pennsylvania	2
North Carolina	2
Maryland	2
Louisiana	2
New York	2
Alabama	-
Texas	-
South Carolina	
Oklahoma	
New Jersey	
Georgia	
Delaware	
Unit:	'
Eastern Region (Connecticut, Florida, Maine, Massachusetts, New Hampshire, Rhode Island, Vermont, West Virginia, District of Columbia, and Puerto Rico)	4
Western Region (Alaska, Arizona, California, Colorado, Hawaii, Idaho, Montana, Nevada, New Mexico, Oregon, Utah, Washington, and Wyoming)	

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> https://www.nass.usda.gov/AgCensus/index.php.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> https://quickstats.nass.usda.gov/results/ F0860BE3-0E1F-33B4-8571-74E2B061CBED.

Melissa Bailey,

Associate Administrator, Agricultural Marketing Service.

[FR Doc. 2024–26787 Filed 11–15–24; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE P

#### **DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION**

#### **Federal Aviation Administration**

14 CFR Parts 11, 61, 68, and 91

[Docket No. FAA-2024-2580; Amdt. Nos. 11-70, 61-158, 68-3, and 91-380]

RIN 2120-AM06

#### Regulatory Updates to BasicMed

**AGENCY:** Federal Aviation Administration (FAA), Department of Transportation (DOT).

**ACTION:** Final rule.

**SUMMARY:** This final rule implements, without interpretation, the provisions of sections 815 and 828 of the FAA Reauthorization Act of 2024 ("the Act"). To conform the FAA's regulations to the self-enacting provisions in the Act, this final rule amends certain regulations to: align aircraft conditions and limitations with the term "covered aircraft" as defined in section 2307(j) of the FAA Extension, Safety, and Security Act of 2016 to increase the number of allowable passengers from 5 to 6, increase the number of occupants from 6 to 7, and increase the maximum takeoff weight from 6,000 pounds to 12,500 pounds, while excluding certain transport category rotorcraft. This final rule facilitates updates to current standards the medical form a Statelicensed physician uses in completing a comprehensive medical examination. Further, this final rule amends regulations to incorporate the statutory expansion of BasicMed medical eligibility to examiners conducting practical tests or proficiency checks if they meet the requirements for operating covered aircraft under BasicMed, as provided in the Act.

**DATES:** This rule is effective on November 18, 2024.

ADDRESSES: For information on where to obtain copies of rulemaking documents and other information related to this final rule, see "How to Obtain Additional Information" in the SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION section of this document.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Bradley C. Zeigler, Federal Aviation Administration, 800 Independence Avenue SW, Washington, DC 20591; telephone: (202) 267–1100; email: *Bradley.C.Zeigler@faa.gov.* 

#### SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

#### I. Executive Summary

On May 16, 2024, the President signed into law the FAA Reauthorization Act of 2024 (Pub. L. 118-63) ("the Act"), impacting certain requirements for BasicMed in section 815, BasicMed for Examiners Administering Tests or Proficiency Checks, and section 828, Expansion of BasicMed. Specifically, section 815 expanded the privileges of BasicMed to examiners and section 828 amended provisions in section 2307 of the FAA Extension, Safety, and Security Act of 2016 (49 U.S.C. 44703 note) (FESSA) directly applicable to BasicMed. The Act also directed the Administrator of the FAA to implement the amendments made in sections 815 and 828 through rulemaking. Section 828 further provides that the Administrator of the FAA shall update regulations in 14 CFR parts 61 and 68 to implement the amendments made in the Act (i.e., the updated statutory language in FESSA), and within 180 days of the enactment, apply parts 61 and 68 to ensure that an individual may operate as pilot in command of a covered aircraft if the provisions of section 2307 of FESSA are met. The amendments to parts 61 and 68 in this final rule align with the statutory charge set forth by section 828 <sup>1</sup> in the following manner:

- Increase the number of allowable passengers from 5 to 6 and occupants from 6 to 7 for covered aircraft;
- Increase the maximum takeoff weight from 6,000 pounds to 12,500 pounds for covered aircraft;
- Clarify that calendar months will be used in determining the duration of the comprehensive medical examination for those persons acting as the pilot in command or as a required flightcrew member for operations under § 61.113(i);
- Specify that covered aircraft does not include transport category rotorcraft certified to airworthiness standards under part 29; and
- Clarify which versions of FAA Form 8500–8, Application for Airman Medical Certificate, may be used to populate the questions in the BasicMed Comprehensive Medical Examination Checklist.

Section 815, BasicMed For Examiners Administering Tests or Proficiency Checks, provides that an examiner may administer a practical test or proficiency check if such examiner meets the medical eligibility requirements for BasicMed and the flight is conducted in a covered aircraft.2 While the FAA finds the expansion to be self-enacting, the section provided the FAA with three years to issue a final rule updating the regulations, as well as any related requirements the Administrator finds are in the interest of aviation safety.3 This final rule will, therefore, update § 61.23 to permit persons performing the duties of an examiner to administer a practical test or proficiency check without holding a medical certificate issued under part 67, provided those persons meet the requirements to operate under the conditions and limitations set forth in § 61.113(i).

Summary of the Costs and Benefits

There are no costs or benefits of this rule relative to the with-statute baseline. This rule conforms FAA regulations to self-implementing legislation in sections 815 and 828 of the FAA Reauthorization Act of 2024. Under the updated Office of Management and Budget (OMB) Circular A-4 guidance,4 an agency may use a with-statute baseline for estimating costs and benefits for regulations that simply restate statutory requirements in self-implementing legislation. Since the rule does not deviate from the self-implementing statutory provisions, there are no costs or benefits as measured against the with-statute baseline.

#### II. Authority for This Rulemaking

The FAA's authority to issue rules on aviation safety is found in Title 49 of the United States Code (49 U.S.C.). Subtitle I, section 106 describes the authority of the FAA Administrator. Subtitle VII, Aviation Programs, describes in more detail the scope of the agency's authority. This final rule is promulgated under the authority described in subtitle VII, part A, subpart iii, section 44701, General Requirements; section 44702, Issuance of Certificates; and section 44703, Airman Certificates. Under these sections, the FAA is charged with prescribing regulations and minimum standards for practices, methods, and procedures the Administrator finds necessary for safety in air commerce. The FAA is also authorized to issue certificates, including airman certificates and medical certificates, to qualified individuals. This final rule is within the scope of that authority.

BasicMed provisions were originally promulgated under section 2307 of

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> See sec. 828(a).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> Sec. 815(a).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup> Sec. 815(b).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>4</sup> OMB Circular No. A–4 updated November 9, 2023, page 12. Accessed September 30, 2024 at: https://www.whitehouse.gov/wp-content/uploads/2023/11/CircularA-4.pdf.