

listing species. If we determine in the 12-month finding determines that listing the midvalley fairy shrimp is warranted, we will address the designation of critical habitat in the subsequent proposed listing rule.

Public Information Solicited

When we make a finding that substantial information exists to indicate that listing a species may be warranted, we are required to promptly commence a review of the status of the species. To ensure that the status review is complete and based on the best available scientific and commercial information, we are soliciting information on the midvalley fairy shrimp. We request any additional information, comments, and suggestions from the public, other concerned governmental agencies, the scientific community, industry, or any other interested parties concerning the status of the midvalley fairy shrimp. We are seeking information regarding historic and current distribution, the species' biology and ecology, ongoing conservation measures for the species and its habitat, and threats to the species and its habitat.

If you wish to comment, you may submit your comments and materials concerning this finding to the Field Supervisor (see **ADDRESSES** section). Our practice is to make comments, including names and home addresses of respondents, available for public review during regular business hours. Respondents may request that we withhold a respondent's identity, as allowable by law. If you wish us to withhold your name or address, you must state this request prominently at the beginning of your comment. However, we will not consider anonymous comments. To the extent consistent with applicable law, we will make all submissions from organizations or businesses, and from individuals identifying themselves as representatives or officials of organizations or businesses, available for public inspection in their entirety. Comments and materials received will be available for public inspection, by appointment, during normal business hours at the above address.

References Cited

- Belk, D. and M. Fugate. 2000. Two new *Branchinecta* (Crustacea: Anostraca) from the Southwestern United States. *Southwest Naturalist* 45(2):111–117.
- California Natural Diversity Data Base. 2002. California Department of Fish and Game, Natural Heritage Division. Sacramento, CA. 1 pp.

- Eriksen, C. and D. Belk. 1999. Fairy shrimps of California's puddles, pools and playas. Mad River Press, Inc., Eureka, CA. 181 pp.
- Fugate, M.. 1998. *Branchinecta* of North America: population structure and its implications for conservation practice. Pages 140–146 in C.W. Witham, E. Bauder, D. Belk, W. Ferren, and R. Ornduff, eds. Ecology, Conservation and Management of Vernal Pool Ecosystems. California Native Plant Society, Sacramento, CA.
- Helm, B. 1998. Biogeography of eight large branchiopods endemic to California. Pages 124–139 in C.W. Witham, E.T. Bauder, D. Belk, W.R. Ferren Jr., and R. Ornduff, eds. Ecology, Conservation, and Management of Vernal Pool Ecosystems—Proceedings from a 1996 Conference. California Native Plant Society, Sacramento, CA.
- United States Fish and Wildlife Service. 1996. Interim survey guidelines to permittees for recovery permits under section 10(a)(1)(A) of the Endangered Species Act for the listed vernal pool branchiopods. 10 pp. (Available at <http://ventura.fws.gov/SurveyProt/shrimp.htm>).
- United States Fish and Wildlife Service 1999. Programmatic formal endangered species act consultation on issuance of 404 permits for projects with relatively small effects on listed vernal pool crustaceans within the jurisdiction of the Sacramento Field Office, California. February 28, 1999. 13 pp.
- United States Fish and Wildlife Service. 2002a. Critical habitat designation for four vernal pool crustaceans and eleven vernal pool plants in California and southern Oregon; proposed rule. 67 FR 59884.
- U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service. 2002b. Formal section 7 consultation on the University of California, Merced Campus and Infrastructure Project (199900203). Sacramento Fish and Wildlife Office, Sacramento, CA. 175 pp.
- United States Fish and Wildlife Service. 2003. Policy for evaluation of conservation efforts when making listing decisions. 68 FR 15100.

In Litt. References

- United States Fish and Wildlife Service. 2003a. Map of midvalley fairy shrimp occurrences, and occurrences of four other listed shrimp species, documented in CNDDB 2002.
- United States Fish and Wildlife Service. 2003b. Endangered and threatened species that may occur in or be affected by projects in the selected quads listed below. (Species list generated from internal Service database).
- United States Fish and Wildlife Service. 2003c. Electronic map of midvalley fairy shrimp occurrences from CNDDB 2002 overlaid on public lands as downloaded from the California Spatial Information Library (<http://casil.ucdavis.edu/casil/legacy.ca.gov/govcon/>).

Author

The primary author of this document is Glen Tarr (see **ADDRESSES** section).

Authority

The authority for this action is the Endangered Species Act of 1973, as amended (16 U.S.C. 1531 *et seq.*).

Dated: April 18, 2003.

Steve Williams,

Director, Fish and Wildlife Service.

[FR Doc. 03–10310 Filed 4–28–03; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4310–55–P

DEPARTMENT OF THE INTERIOR

Fish and Wildlife Service

Availability of Draft Revised Environmental Assessment, Management Plan, and Implementation Guidance for Take of Nestling American Peregrine Falcons in the Contiguous United States and Alaska for Falconry

AGENCY: Fish and Wildlife Service, Interior.

ACTION: Notice of availability.

SUMMARY: This notice is to announce the availability of a Draft Revised Environmental Assessment, Management Plan, and Implementation Guidance document on take of nestling American Peregrine Falcons (*Falco peregrinus anatum*) for falconry. We published a final Environmental Assessment in April 2001. The draft Revised Environmental Assessment, Management Plan, and Implementation Guidance was done to correct an error in the modeling on which the earlier Environmental Assessment was based and to use population data since delisting to assess the effects of take of nestlings for falconry.

DATES: Comments on the Environmental Assessment, Management Plan, and Implementation Guidance are due by June 30, 2003.

ADDRESSES: The document is available from, and written comments about it should be submitted to, Chief, Division of Migratory Bird Management, U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service, 4401 North Fairfax Drive, Room 634, Arlington, Virginia 22203–1610. The fax number for a request or for comments is 703–358–2272. You can request a copy of the Environmental Assessment by calling 703–358–1714. The Assessment also is available on the Division of Migratory Bird Management web pages at <http://migratorybirds.fws.gov>.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Dr. George Allen, Division of Migratory Bird Management, U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service, at 703–358–1714.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: The American peregrine falcon (*Falco*

peregrinus anatum) occurs throughout much of North America from the subarctic boreal forests of Alaska and Canada south to Mexico. American peregrine falcons nest from central Alaska, central Yukon Territory, and northern Alberta and Saskatchewan, east to the Maritimes and south (excluding coastal areas north of the Columbia River in Washington and British Columbia) throughout western Canada and the United States to Baja California, Sonora, and the highlands of central Mexico. American peregrine falcons that nest in subarctic areas generally winter in South America. Those that nest at lower latitudes exhibit variable migratory behavior; and some do not migrate.

Peregrine falcons declined precipitously in North America following World War II, a decline attributed largely to organochlorine pesticides, mainly DDT, applied in the United States and Canada. Because of the decline, the American peregrine was listed as endangered in 1970 (35 FR 16047).

Recovery goals for American peregrine falcons in the United States were substantially exceeded in some areas, and in August 1999 the American peregrine was removed from the List of Endangered and Threatened Wildlife and Plants (64 FR 46541). Anticipating delisting, in June 1999 the States, through the International Association of Fish and Wildlife Agencies, proposed allowing take of nestling American peregrines for falconry.

In an October 1999 **Federal Register** notice (64 FR 53686), we stated that we would consider a conservative take of nestling peregrines from healthy populations of American peregrine falcons in the western U.S. and Alaska. We published a Final Environmental Assessment in April 2001. The draft Revised Environmental Assessment was done to correct an error in the modeling on which the earlier Environmental Assessment was based. In the models the breeding age for American peregrines was inadvertently set at two years of age, rather than three. Though some peregrines breed as early as age two, to be conservative we intended to model breeding first at age three. Corrected modeling and evaluation of recent American peregrine falcon population data in the western United States indicated that the adult mortality figure used for comparisons in the original Environmental Assessment was too high. Therefore, we based analyses in the revised Environmental Assessment on updated American peregrine falcon population,

productivity, and mortality information for the western U.S. population.

The nesting population in States west of 100° longitude in 1998 was at least 1091 pairs. Based on recent data provided by the States, we believe that since delisting the American peregrine falcon population in the western United States has grown. At a minimum, we believe the population to have been 10% greater in 2001 than it was in 1998. We also determined that recent productivity in the western United States has averaged about 1.51 young per nesting attempt.

To determine an appropriate value to use for adult mortality in the assessment, we used post-delisting data from Arizona, California, Colorado, Idaho, Montana, New Mexico, Oregon, Washington, and Wyoming. Population data from those States, combined with modeling of population change, indicated that adult mortality since delisting has been 10.1% per year.

We considered six alternatives to address potential take of nestling American peregrine falcons in the western United States and Alaska. The No Action Alternative would mean that no legal take of peregrine falcons for falconry can occur. We also evaluated allowing take of 5%, 10%, 15%, and 20% of annual production in states west of 100° longitude. The sixth alternative we evaluated was lifting the current restriction on take by falconry permittees in 11 contiguous western States and Alaska. The preferred alternative is to allow take of 5% of the nestlings produced in Western States, with take at the discretion of each State. The 5% level of take would allow continued good population growth if population density does not affect reproduction or survival.

Dated: March 20, 2003.

Paul R. Schmidt,

Assistant Director, Migratory Birds and State Programs.

[FR Doc. 03-10524 Filed 4-28-03; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4310-55-P

DEPARTMENT OF THE INTERIOR

Bureau of Indian Affairs

Law and Order on Indian Reservations

AGENCY: Bureau of Indian Affairs, Interior.

ACTION: Notice of Intent to reassume judicial jurisdiction.

SUMMARY: This notice announces the Bureau of Indian Affairs' intent to reassume judicial jurisdiction for the Kaw Nation of Oklahoma and to

administer court cases under the Court of Indian Offenses for the Southern Plains Region.

EFFECTIVE DATE: April 29, 2003.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

Terry Bruner, Tribal Government Officer, Southern Plains Regional Office, Bureau of Indian Affairs, PO Box 368, WCD Office Complex, Anadarko, Oklahoma 73005, Telephone (405) 247-6673 ext 209, Fax (405) 247-9240; or Ralph Gonzales, Branch of Judicial Services, Office of Tribal Services, Bureau of Indian Affairs, 1951 Constitution Avenue, NW., MS 320-SIB, Washington, DC 20240, Telephone (202) 208-4401.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: This notice is published in accordance with the authority delegated by the Secretary of the Interior to the Assistant Secretary—Indian Affairs under part 209, Chapter 8, of the Departmental Manual (209 DM 8).

On November 16, 2002, the Kaw Executive Council passed a motion to return court function to the Bureau of Indian Affairs. On December 12, 2002, Resolution 96(a) was adopted by a majority of the Executive Council for the same purpose. By letter dated February 28, 2003, the Office of Self-Governance, Department of the Interior, advised the Kaw Nation that the funding for the tribal court was being withdrawn and that the Bureau of Indian Affairs, Southern Plains Regional Office's (formerly the Anadarko Area Office) Court of Indian Offenses would reassume jurisdiction over matters arising within the Kaw Nation, as listed in 25 CFR part 11.100(a)(9)(x). The Court of Indian Offenses for the tribes in western Oklahoma was established in response to the decisions of *United States v. Littlechief*, No. CR-76-207-D, and *State of Oklahoma v. Littlechief*, 573 P.2d 263 (Okla. Crim. App. 1978), which held that the State of Oklahoma lacked jurisdiction over matters occurring on trust or restricted lands (44 FR 37502). This Court of Indian Offenses continues to serve those tribes in the Southern Plains Region which have not established tribal courts. The Kaw Nation's retrocession and closing of its tribal court creates a jurisdictional vacuum. In order to protect lives, persons, and property of people residing within the Nation's jurisdiction, the Bureau of Indian Affairs must immediately reassume judicial jurisdiction within the Indian country of the Kaw Nation of Oklahoma, until such time as the Nation reestablishes its court in accordance with 25 CFR 11.100(c). For this reason, effective April 29, 2003, the Bureau of Indian Affairs reassumes