

In connection with the approval of Amendment No. 5 of CoC 1025, the NRC prepared and published in the **Federal Register** a Finding of No Significant Impact, based upon an environmental assessment, for the generic use of the changes authorized by Amendment No. 5 (72 FR 26535, 26537, May 10, 2007).

Further, NRC has evaluated the impact to public safety that would result from granting the proposed action. The approval of the proposed action would not increase the probability or consequences of accidents, no changes would be made to the types of effluents released offsite, and there would be no increase in occupational or public radiation exposure. Therefore, there are no significant radiological environmental impacts associated with the proposed action. Additionally the proposed action would not involve any construction or other ground disturbing activities, would not change the footprint of the existing ISFSI, and would have no other significant non-radiological impacts. In this regard, and as the ISFSI is located on previously disturbed land, it is extremely unlikely that approval of the proposed action would create any significant impact on the aquatic or terrestrial habitat in the vicinity of the plant, or to threatened, endangered, or protected species under the Endangered Species Act, or to essential fish habitat covered by the Magnuson-Stevens Act. Similarly, approval of the proposed action is not the type of activity that has the potential to cause effects on historic or cultural properties, assuming such properties are present at the site of the Haddam Neck ISFSI.

**Alternative to the Proposed Action:** Since there is no significant environmental impact associated with the proposed action, any alternatives with equal or greater environmental impact are not evaluated. The alternative to the proposed action would be to deny approval of the exemption. This alternative would have the same environmental impact.

Given that there are no significant differences in environmental impact between the proposed action and the alternative considered and that CYAPCO has a legitimate need, the Commission concludes that the preferred alternative is to grant the requested exemption.

#### **Finding of No Significant Impact**

The environmental impacts of the proposed action have been reviewed in accordance with the requirements set forth in 10 CFR part 51. Based upon the foregoing Environmental Assessment, the Commission finds that the proposed

action of granting an exemption from the specific requirements of 10 CFR 72.212(a)(2), 72.212(b)(2)(i), 72.212(b)(7), and 72.214, will not significantly impact the quality of the human environment. Accordingly, the Commission has determined not to prepare an environmental impact statement for the proposed action.

#### **Further Information**

In accordance with 10 CFR 2.390 of NRC's "Rules of Practice," NRC records and documents related to this action, including the application for exemption and supporting documentation are available electronically at the NRC's Electronic Reading Room, at: <http://www.nrc.gov/reading-rm/adams.html>. From this site, you can access NRC's ADAMS, which provides text and image files of NRC's public documents. The ADAMS Accession Number for the application, dated September 1, 2009, is ML092520319.

If you do not have access to ADAMS, or if there are problems in accessing the documents located in ADAMS, contact NRC's Public Document Room (PDR) Reference staff at 1-800-397-4209, 301-415-4737, or by e-mail to [pdr.resource@nrc.gov](mailto:pdr.resource@nrc.gov).

These documents may also be viewed electronically on the public computers located at NRC's PDR, O1-F21, One White Flint North, 11555 Rockville Pike, Rockville, MD 20852. The PDR reproduction contractor will copy documents, for a fee.

Dated at Rockville, Maryland, this 7th day of June 2010.

For the Nuclear Regulatory Commission.

**B. Jennifer Davis,**

*Acting Chief, Licensing Branch, Division of Spent Fuel Storage and Transportation, Office of Nuclear Material Safety and Safeguards.*

[FR Doc. 2010-14498 Filed 6-15-10; 8:45 am]

**BILLING CODE 7590-01-P**

#### **OFFICE OF PERSONNEL MANAGEMENT**

##### **Federal Prevailing Rate Advisory Committee; Cancellation of Upcoming Meeting**

**AGENCY:** U.S. Office of Personnel Management.

**ACTION:** Notice.

**SUMMARY:** The Federal Prevailing Rate Advisory Committee is issuing this notice to cancel the June 17, 2010, public meeting scheduled to be held in Room 5A06A, U.S. Office of Personnel Management Building, 1900 E Street, NW., Washington, DC. The original **Federal Register** notice announcing this

meeting was published Monday, April 12, 2010, at 75 FR 18552.

#### **FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:**

Madeline Gonzalez, 202-606-2838; e-mail [pay-performance-policy@opm.gov](mailto:pay-performance-policy@opm.gov); or FAX: (202) 606-4264.

**Sheldon Friedman,**

*Chairman, Federal Prevailing Rate Advisory Committee, U.S. Office of Personnel Management.*

[FR Doc. 2010-14489 Filed 6-15-10; 8:45 am]

**BILLING CODE 6325-49-P**

#### **POSTAL SERVICE**

##### **Notice of Intent To Prepare a Programmatic Environmental Assessment for Proposed Mobile Fueling Operations, Nationwide**

**AGENCY:** Postal Service.

**ACTION:** Notice of intent to prepare a Programmatic Environmental Assessment.

**SUMMARY:** To comply with the requirements of the National Environmental Policy Act (NEPA), the Postal Service intends to prepare a Programmatic Environmental Assessment (PEA) for the use of mobile fueling contractors to fuel postal vehicles on-site at selected Postal Service facilities located throughout the United States. This PEA will evaluate the environmental impacts of the proposed action versus taking no action.

**DATES:** It is estimated that the Programmatic Environmental Assessment will be completed by August 1, 2010.

**ADDRESSES:** Interested parties may direct questions or requests for additional information to: Melinda Hulsey Edwards, Manager, Environmental Compliance and Risk Mitigation, Environmental Policy and Programs, U.S. Postal Service, 225 N. Humphries Blvd., Memphis, TN 38166-0865; (901) 747-7424.

#### **SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:**

**Purpose.** This notice concerns a proposed operational change for fueling postal delivery vehicles and the intent of the Postal Service, pursuant to the requirements of the National Environmental Policy Act (NEPA) of 1969, its implementing procedures at 39 CFR part 775, and the President's Council on Environmental Quality Regulations (40 CFR parts 1500-1508), to prepare a Programmatic Environmental Assessment to evaluate the environmental impacts of the proposed action versus taking "no action."

**Proposed Action.** The Postal Service proposes to utilize mobile fueling contractors to fuel vehicles on site at selected postal facilities located throughout the United States. The program would focus on, but not be limited to, city and rural delivery units with 30 or more routes using vehicles owned by the Postal Service. Based on these criteria, it is anticipated that up to 1,100 sites may be eligible to convert to mobile fueling. Mobile fueling, also known as fleet fueling, wet fueling, or wet hosing, is the practice of filling fuel tanks of vehicles directly from tank trucks. In this scenario, mobile refueling contractors drive tank trucks onto Postal Service property to fuel parked delivery vehicles and drive the tank trucks off site when fueling is completed. At this time, the only alternative identified is the “no action” alternative of continuing to fuel delivery vehicles off-site at commercial gas stations.

**Stanley F. Mires,**  
Chief Counsel, Legislative.

[FR Doc. 2010-14491 Filed 6-11-10; 4:15 pm]

**BILLING CODE 7710-12-P**

## SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION

### Sunshine Act Meeting

Notice is hereby given, pursuant to the provisions of the Government in the Sunshine Act, Public Law 94-409, that the Securities and Exchange Commission will hold an Open Meeting on June 18, 2010 at 10 a.m. in the Auditorium, Room L-002, to hear oral argument in an appeal by Guy S. Amico and Scott H. Goldstein from the decision of an administrative law judge. The law judge found that Amico and Goldstein, the president and chief executive officer, respectively, of registered broker-dealer Newbridge Securities Corporation, failed reasonably to supervise Daniel M. Kantrowitz, a former trader at Newbridge, within the meaning of Sections 15(b)(4)(E) and 15(b)(6) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, with a view to detecting and preventing Kantrowitz's violations of the registration and antifraud provisions of the federal securities laws. For these failures, the law judge barred Amico and Goldstein from associating with a broker-dealer in a supervisory capacity with a right to apply for reinstatement after two years and imposed on each a civil money penalty of \$79,000.

Among the issues likely to be argued are whether Kantrowitz's conduct violated the registration and antifraud

provisions of the securities laws, whether Amico and Goldstein failed reasonably to supervise Kantrowitz, and, if so, whether and to what extent sanctions should be imposed on Amico and Goldstein.

At times, changes in Commission priorities require alterations in the scheduling of meeting items.

For further information and to ascertain what, if any, matters have been added, deleted or postponed, please contact:

The Office of the Secretary at (202) 551-5400.

Dated: June 11, 2010.

**Florence E. Harmon,**

Deputy Secretary.

[FR Doc. 2010-14576 Filed 6-14-10; 11:15 am]

**BILLING CODE 8010-01-P**

## SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION

[File No. 500-1]

### Micro Laboratories, Inc.; Order of Suspension of Trading

June 11, 2010.

It appears to the Securities and Exchange Commission that there is a lack of current and accurate information concerning the securities of Micro Laboratories, Inc. (“Micro Laboratories”) because it has not filed any periodic reports since the period ended June 30, 2005. Micro Laboratories is quoted on the Pink Sheets operated by Pink OTC Markets, Inc. under the ticker symbol MLAR.

The Commission is of the opinion that the public interest and the protection of investors require a suspension of trading in the securities of the above-listed company, and any equity securities of any entity purporting to succeed to this issuer.

Therefore, it is ordered, pursuant to Section 12(k) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, that trading in the securities of the above-listed company, and any equity securities of any entity purporting to succeed to this issuer, is suspended for the period from 9:30 a.m. EDT on June 11, 2010, through 11:59 p.m. EDT on June 24, 2010.

By the Commission.

**Florence E. Harmon,**

Deputy Secretary.

[FR Doc. 2010-14574 Filed 6-14-10; 11:15 am]

**BILLING CODE 8010-01-P**

## SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION

[Release No. 34-62251; File No. SR-FINRA-2010-025]

### Self-Regulatory Organizations; Financial Industry Regulatory Authority, Inc.; Order Granting Accelerated Approval to Proposed Rule Change To Amend FINRA Rule 6121 (Trading Halts Due to Extraordinary Market Volatility) To Permit FINRA To Halt Trading by FINRA Members Otherwise Than on an Exchange Where a Primary Listing Market Has Issued a Trading Pause Due to Extraordinary Market Conditions

June 10, 2010.

#### I. Introduction

On May 18, 2010, the Financial Industry Regulatory Authority, Inc. (“FINRA”) filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission (“Commission”), pursuant to Section 19(b)(1)<sup>1</sup> of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 (“Act”),<sup>2</sup> and Rule 19b-4 thereunder,<sup>3</sup> a proposed rule change to amend FINRA Rule 6121 (Trading Halts Due to Extraordinary Market Volatility) to permit FINRA to halt trading by FINRA members otherwise than on an exchange where a primary listing market has issued a trading pause due to extraordinary market conditions.<sup>4</sup>

<sup>1</sup> 15 U.S.C. 78s(b)(1).

<sup>2</sup> 15 U.S.C. 78a.

<sup>3</sup> 17 CFR 240.19b-4.

<sup>4</sup> Also on May 18, 2010, each of BATS Exchange, Inc. (“BATS”), EDGX Exchange, Inc. (“EDGX”), NASDAQ OMX BX, Inc. (“BX”), International Securities Exchange LLC (“ISE”), New York Stock Exchange LLC (“NYSE”), NYSE Amex LLC (“NYSEAmex”), NYSE Arca, Inc. (“NYSEArca”), The NASDAQ Stock Market LLC (“NASDAQ”), National Stock Exchange, Inc. (“NSX”) and Chicago Board Options Exchange, Incorporated (“CBOE”) filed proposed rule changes. On May 19, 2010, EDGA Exchange, Inc. (“EDGA”) and Chicago Stock Exchange, Inc. (“CHX”) filed proposed rule changes to provide for similar trading pauses. See Securities Exchange Act Release Nos. 62121 (May 19, 2010), 75 FR 28834 (May 24, 2010); 62123 (May 19, 2010), 75 FR 28844 (May 24, 2010); 62124 (May 19, 2010), 75 FR 28828 (May 24, 2010); 62125 (May 19, 2010), 75 FR 28836 (May 24, 2010); 62126 (May 19, 2010), 75 FR 28831 (May 24, 2010); 62127 (May 19, 2010), 75 FR 28837 (May 24, 2010); 62128 (May 19, 2010), 75 FR 28830 (May 24, 2010); 62129 (May 19, 2010), 75 FR 28839 (May 24, 2010); 62131 (May 19, 2010), 75 FR 28845 (May 24, 2010); 62132 (May 19, 2010), 75 FR 28847 (May 24, 2010); 62122 (May 19, 2010), 75 FR 28833 (May 24, 2010); and 62130 (May 19, 2010), 75 FR 28842 (May 24, 2010). These filings are being approved today by the Commission. See Securities Exchange Act Release No. 62252 (June 10, 2010). In this order, the term “Exchanges” refers collectively to all of the exchanges. The term “Listing Markets” refers collectively to NYSE, NYSEAmex and NASDAQ. The term “Nonlisting Markets” refers collectively to the remaining nine national securities exchanges. The term “SROs”

Continued