

the Forest is in the process of developing alternative land management scenarios that could change the desired future conditions and management direction for the Forest. A Draft Environmental Impact Statement (DEIS) will be published in the near future that will disclose the consequences of the different land management direction scenarios considered in detail. As a result of the Forest Plan revision effort, the Forest has new and additional information beyond that used to develop the existing Forest Plans. This information will be used where appropriate in the analysis of this project to disclose the effects of the proposed activities and any alternatives developed in detail.

The decisions associated with the analysis of this project will be consistent with the existing Forest Plan, unless amended, for the Chequamegon. Under regulations of the National Environmental Policy Act (40 CFR 1506.1), the Forest Service can take actions while work on a Forest Plan is in progress because a programmatic Environmental Impact Statement—the existing Forest Plan Final EIS—already covers the actions. The relationship of the project to the proposed Forest Plan revision will be considered as appropriate as part of this planning effort.

Estimated Dates for Filing—The draft EIS is expected to be filed with the Environmental Protection Agency and available for public review in January, 2002. A 45-day comment period will follow publication of a Notice of Availability of the draft EIS in the **Federal Register**. Comments received on the draft EIS will be used in preparation of a final EIS, expected in May 2002. A Record of Decision (ROD) will be issued at that time along with the publication of a Notice of Availability of the final EIS and ROD in the **Federal Register**.

The Reviewer's Obligation to Comment—The Forest Service believes it is important at this early stage to give reviewers notice of several court rulings related to public participation in the environmental review process. First, reviewers of the draft EIS must structure their participation in the environmental review of the proposal in such a way that it is meaningful and alerts an agency to the reviewer's position and contentions. *Vermont Yankee Nuclear Power Corp. v. NRDC*, 435 U.S. 519, 513 (1978). Also, environmental objections that could be raised at the draft EIS state but that are not raised until after completion of the final EIS may be waived or dismissed by the courts. *City of Angoon v. Hodel*, 803 F.2d 1016, 1022 (9th Cir. 1986), and *Wisconsin*

Heritages Ubc, v. Harris. 490 F Supp. 1334, 1338 (E.D. Wis., 1980). Because of these court rulings, it is very important that those interested in this proposed action participate by the 45-day comment period of the draft EIS in order that substantive comments and objections are available to the Forest Service at a time when it can meaningfully consider them and respond to them in the final EIS. To assist the Forest Service in identifying and considering issues and concerns on the proposed action, comments should be as specific as possible. Reviewers may wish to refer to the Council on Environmental Quality Regulations for implementing the procedural provisions of the National Environmental Policy Act at 40 CFR 1503.3 in addressing these points.

Dated: April 18, 2001.

Lynn Roberts,

Forest Supervisor, Chequamegon/Nicolet National Forest.

[FR Doc. 01–10059 Filed 4–23–01; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 3410–11–U

DEPARTMENT OF AGRICULTURE

Rural Utilities Service

Oglethorpe Power Corporation; Notice of Finding of No Significant Impact

AGENCY: Rural Utilities Service, USDA.

ACTION: Notice of finding of no significant impact.

SUMMARY: Notice is hereby given that the Rural Utilities Service (RUS) has made a finding of no significant impact with respect to the construction and operation of a 520-megawatt, natural gas fired, combined cycle electric generation plant in Heard County, Georgia. Oglethorpe Power Corporation proposes to be the agent to construct and operate the plant. The Rural Utilities Service (RUS) may provide financing for the plant to an entity made up of members of Oglethorpe Power Corporation. The specifics of that entity have yet to be determined.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Bob Quigel, Environmental Protection Specialist, Engineering and Environmental Staff, RUS, Stop 1571, 1400 Independence Avenue, SW, Washington, DC 20250–1571, telephone (202) 720–0468, e-mail at bquigel@rus.usda.gov.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: Oglethorpe Power Corporation proposes to construct the proposed facility at the Hal B. Wansley Plant site in northeast Heard County approximately six miles southeast of Roopville, Georgia. The

Wansley Plant is owned by Georgia Power Company, Oglethorpe Power Corporation, the Municipal Electricity Authority of Georgia, and the City of Dalton. Currently in operation at the site are two 865-megawatt, coal fired, electric generation units and a 49-megawatt, oil fired, combustion turbine. Oglethorpe Power Corporation's proposed plant is one of four blocks of additional electric generation facilities planned for construction at the site. Each block of additional generation is proposed to consist of two combustion turbines, two heat recovery steam generators, and one steam turbine. The total build-out of the four blocks would total approximately 2,280 megawatts.

The proposed project will be composed of two, nominal 167 megawatt Siemens V84.3A2 combustion turbines, each connected to a heat recovery steam generator which will power a nominal 187 megawatt Siemens steam turbine, for a total of 520 megawatts. It is the goal of Oglethorpe Power Corporation to have the plant in operation by the spring of 2003.

Copies of the Finding of No Significant Impact are available from RUS at the address provided herein or from Mr. Greg Jones of Oglethorpe Power Corporation, P.O. Box 1349, Tucker, Georgia 30085–1349, (800) 241–5374 x7890; greg.jones@opc.com. Copies of the environmental assessment are available for review at Oglethorpe Power Corporation and RUS at the addresses provided herein.

Dated: April 18, 2001.

Blaine D. Stockton,

Assistant Administrator, Electric Program.

[FR Doc. 01–10116 Filed 4–23–01; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 3410–15–P

ARCHITECTURAL AND TRANSPORTATION BARRIERS COMPLIANCE BOARD

Meeting

AGENCY: Architectural and Transportation Barriers Compliance Board.

ACTION: Notice of meeting.

SUMMARY: The Architectural and Transportation Barriers Compliance Board (Access Board) has scheduled its regular business meetings to take place in Washington, DC on Monday, Tuesday, Wednesday, and Thursday, May 7–10, 2001, at the times and location noted below.

DATES: The schedule of events is as follows:

Monday, May 7, 2001

9 p.m.–5 p.m. Working Group—
Americans with Disabilities Act /
Architectural Barriers Act Final Rule
(Closed Meeting)

Tuesday, May 8, 2001

9 a.m.–Noon Working Group—
Americans with Disabilities Act /
Architectural Barriers Act Final Rule
(Closed Meeting)

1:30 p.m.–3 p.m. Informal Meeting
(Closed Meeting)

3 p.m.–5 p.m. Committee of the
Whole—Recreation Facilities Final
Rule and Outdoor Developed Areas
Proposed Rule (Closed Meeting)

Wednesday, May 9, 2001

9 a.m.–10:30 a.m. Technical Programs
Committee

10:30 a.m.–Noon Planning and Budget
Committee

1:30 p.m.–2:30 p.m. Public Rights-of-
Way Ad Hoc Committee (Closed
Meeting)

2:30 p.m.–3:30 p.m. Board Meeting

Thursday, March 15, 2001

9 a.m.–5 p.m. Working Group—
Americans with Disabilities Act /
Architectural Barriers Act Final Rule
(Closed Meeting) (Tentative)

ADDRESSES: The meetings will be held at
the Washington Renaissance Hotel, 999
9th Street, NW, Washington, DC.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: For
further information regarding the
meetings, please contact Lawrence W.
Roffee, Executive Director, (202) 272–
5434, extension 113 (voice) and (202)
272–5449 (TTY).

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: At the
Board meeting, the Access Board will
consider the following agenda items.

Open Meeting

- Executive Director's Report
- Approval of the Minutes of the
March 7, 2001 Board Meeting
- Planning and Budget Committee
Report—Budget Spending Plan for
Fiscal Year 2001; Fiscal Year 2002
Budget
- Technical Programs Committee
Report—Report on Ongoing Research
and Technical Assistance Projects;
Discussion of Objectives for Visual
Alarms Study

Closed Meeting

- Recreation Facilities Final Rule
(Voting)
 - Report on the ADA and ABA
Accessibility Guidelines Final Rule
- All meetings are accessible to persons
with disabilities. Sign language
interpreters and an assistive listening

system are available at all meetings.
Persons attending Board meetings are
requested to refrain from using perfume,
cologne, and other fragrances for the
comfort of other participants.

James J. Raggio,

General Counsel.

[FR Doc. 01–10016 Filed 4–23–01; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 8150–01–P

BROADCASTING BOARD OF GOVERNORS

Sunshine Act Meeting

DATE AND TIME: May 3, 2001; 2:15 p.m.–
5:30 p.m.

PLACE: Radio Free Europe/Radio
Liberty, Inc., Broadcast Center,
Vinohradska 1, Prague, Czech Republic.

CLOSED MEETING: The members of the
Broadcasting Board of governors (BBG)
will meet in closed session to review
and discuss a number of issues relating
to U.S. Government-funded non-
military international broadcasting.
They will address internet procedural,
budgetary, and personnel issues, as well
as sensitive foreign policy issues
relating to potential options in the U.S.
international broadcasting field. This
meeting is closed because if open it
likely would either disclose matters that
would be properly classified to be kept
secret in the interest of foreign policy
under the appropriate executive order (5
U.S.C. 552b.(c)(1)) or would disclosed
information the premature disclosure of
which would be likely to significantly
frustrate implementation of a proposed
agency action. (5 U.S.C. 552b.(c)(9)(B))
In addition, part of the discussion will
relate solely to the internal personnel
and organizational issues of the BBG or
the International Broadcasting Bureau.
(5 U.S.C. 552b.(c)(2) and (6))

CONTACT PERSON FOR MORE INFORMATION:
Persons interested in obtaining more
information should contact either
Brenda Hardnett or Carol Booker at
(202) 401–3736.

Dated: April 19, 2001.

Carol Booker,

Legal Counsel.

[FR Doc. 01–10285 Filed 4–20–01; 2:33 pm]

BILLING CODE 8230–01–M

DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

Census Bureau

Current Population Survey (CPS)— Internet and Computer Use Supplement

ACTION: Proposed collection; comment
request.

SUMMARY: The Department of
Commerce, as part of its continuing
effort to reduce paperwork and
respondent burden, invites the general
public and other federal agencies to take
this opportunity to comment on
proposed and/or continuing information
collections, as required by the
Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995,
Public Law 104–13 (44 U.S.C.
3506(c)(2)(A)).

DATES: Submit written comments on or
before June 25, 2001.

ADDRESSES: Direct all written comments
to Madeleine Clayton, Departmental
Paperwork Clearance Officer,
Department of Commerce, Room 6086,
14th and Constitution Avenue, NW,
Washington, DC 20230 (or via the
Internet at mclayton@doc.gov).

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:
Requests for additional information or
copies of the information collection
instrument(s) and instructions should
be directed to Tim Marshall, Census
Bureau, FOB 3, Room 3340,
Washington, DC 20233–8400, (301) 457–
3806.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

I. Abstract

The Census Bureau requests clearance
for the collection of data through the
Internet and Computer Use Supplement
which will be conducted in conjunction
with the September 2001 CPS. The
Census Bureau, the Bureau of Labor
Statistics, the National Center for
Education Statistics, and the National
Telecommunications and Information
Administration are jointly sponsoring
this data collection. Title 13, United
States Code, section 182 and Title 29,
United States Code, sections 1–9
authorize the collection of CPS
information.

All four agencies have definite
objectives in conducting this data
collection; some of which are shared
among them, and others of which are
solely their own. They all share the goal
of disseminating information on the
penetration of computer and Internet
technology in the United States and the
uses of this technology by households
and individuals.

This survey will provide a source of
national and state level data on the