

and/or esters, the CAS number is given for the parent compound only.

³ Concentration standards for wastewaters are expressed in mg/L and are based on analysis of composite samples.

⁴ All treatment standards expressed as a Technology Code or combination of Technology Codes are explained in detail in 40 CFR 268.42 Table 1—Technology Codes and Descriptions of Technology-Based Standards.

⁵ Except for Metals (EP or TCLP) and Cyanides (Total and Amenable) the nonwastewater treatment standards expressed as a concentration were established, in part, based upon incineration in units operated in accordance with the technical requirements of 40 CFR Part 264 Subpart O or Part 265 Subpart O, or based upon combustion in fuel

substitution units operating in accordance with applicable technical requirements. A facility may comply with these treatment standards according to provisions in 40 CFR 268.40(d). All concentration standards for nonwastewaters are based on analysis of grab samples.

⁷ Both Cyanides (Total) and Cyanides (Amenable) for nonwastewaters are to be analyzed using Method 9010 or 9012, found in “Test Methods for Evaluating Solid Waste, Physical/Chemical Methods,” EPA Publication SW 846, as incorporated by reference in 40 CFR 260.11, with a sample size of 10 grams and a distillation time of one hour and 15 minutes.

¹² Fluoride extraction must be performed using ASTM Method D3987—

85(1999) Standard Test Method for Shake Extraction of Solid Waste with Water.

PART 271—REQUIREMENTS FOR AUTHORIZATION OF STATE HAZARDOUS WASTE PROGRAMS

3. The authority citation for part 271 continues to read as follows:

Authority: 42 U.S.C. 6905, 6912(a), and 6926.

4. Section 271.1(j) is amended by adding the following entries to Table 1 and Table 2 in chronological order by date of publication to read as follows.

§ 271.1 Purpose and scope.

(j) * * *

TABLE 1.—REGULATIONS IMPLEMENTING THE HAZARDOUS AND SOLID WASTE AMENDMENTS OF 1984

Promulgation date	Title of regulation	Federal Register reference	Effective date
* [date of final signature].	* Treatment Standards for Hazardous Waste K088	* Federal Register page num- bers.	* [date of signature]
*	*	*	*

TABLE 2.—SELF-IMPLEMENTING PROVISIONS OF THE SOLID WASTE AMENDMENTS OF 1984

Effective date	Self-implementing provision	RCRA citation	Federal Register reference
* [date of final signature].	* Prohibition on land disposal of K088 wastes, and prohibition on land disposal of radioactive waste mixed with K088 wastes, including soil and debris.	* 3004(g)(4)(C) and 3004(m).	* [date of publication of final rule] [FR page numbers].
*	*	*	*
*	*	*	*

[FR Doc. 00–16965 Filed 7–11–00; 8:45 am]
BILLING CODE 6560–50–U

ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY

40 CFR Part 271

[FRL–6732–9]

Delaware: Final Authorization of State Hazardous Waste Management Program Revisions

AGENCY: Environmental Protection Agency (EPA).

ACTION: Proposed rule.

SUMMARY: The EPA proposes to grant final authorization to the hazardous waste program revisions submitted by

Delaware. In the “Rules and Regulations” section of this **Federal Register**, EPA is authorizing the State’s program revisions as an immediate final rule without prior proposal because EPA views this action as noncontroversial and anticipates no adverse comments. The Agency has explained the reasons for this authorization in the preamble to the immediate final rule. If EPA does not receive adverse written comments, the immediate final rule will become effective and the Agency will not take further action on this proposal. If EPA receives adverse written comments, EPA will withdraw the immediate final rule and it will not take effect. EPA will then address public comments in a later final rule based on this proposal. EPA may

not provide further opportunity for comment. Any parties interested in commenting on this action must do so at this time.

DATES: Written comments must be received on or before August 11, 2000.

ADDRESSES: Mail written comments to Lillie Ellerbe, Mailcode 3WC21, RCRA State Programs Branch, U.S. EPA Region III, 1650 Arch Street, Philadelphia, PA 19103, Phone number: (215) 814–5454. You can examine copies of the materials submitted by Delaware during normal business hours at the following locations: EPA Region III, Library, 2nd Floor, 1650 Arch Street, Philadelphia, PA 19103, Phone number: (215) 814–5254; or Department of Natural Resources & Environmental Control, Division of Air & Waste Management, 89

Kings Highway, Dover, DE 19901, Phone number: 302-739-3689.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

Lillie Ellerbe at the above address and phone number (215) 814-5454.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: For additional information, please see the immediate final rule published in the "Rules and Regulations" section of this **Federal Register**.

Dated: June 19, 2000.

Bradley M. Campbell,

Regional Administrator, Region III.

[FR Doc. 00-17346 Filed 7-11-00; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6560-50-P

FEDERAL COMMUNICATIONS COMMISSION

47 CFR Part 27

[WT Docket No. 99-168, CS Docket No. 98-120, FCC 00-224]

Service Rules for the 746 Through 764 and 776 Through 794 MHz Bands, Carriage of the Transmission of Digital Television Broadcast Stations

AGENCY: Federal Communications Commission.

ACTION: Notice of proposed rulemaking.

SUMMARY: This document solicits comment on various aspects of the spectrum clearance process for the 746-764 and 776-794 MHz (700 MHz) band. First, the document seeks comment on cost-sharing rules. Second, the document requests comment on the Commission's review of possible three-way voluntary relocation agreements to expedite clearing of the 700 MHz band. Third, the document invites comment on "secondary auctions." Finally, the document invites comment whether incumbent broadcasters and new 700 MHz licensees should be permitted to share spectrum, and on whether the standards the Commission adopts for the channel 59-69 band should apply to incumbents on channels 58 and lower. The action is intended as a method of creating a comprehensive record, representing as many varying viewpoints as possible, upon which to base decisions in this proceeding.

DATES: Submit comments on or before August 16, 2000; submit reply comments on or before September 15, 2000.

ADDRESSES: Send comments and reply comments to the Office of the Secretary, Federal Communications Commission, Washington, DC 20554.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Joel Rabinovitz, 202-418-0689.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: This is a summary of the Further Notice of Proposed Rule Making (FNPRM) portion of the Commission's Memorandum Opinion and Order and Further Notice of Proposed Rulemaking in WT Docket No. 99-168 and CS Docket No. 98-120, FCC 00-224, adopted June 22, 2000, and released June 30, 2000. The Memorandum Opinion and Order (MO&O) portion of the decision is summarized elsewhere in this edition of the **Federal Register**. The complete text of the MO&O/FNPRM is available on the Commission's Internet site at www.fcc.gov. It is also available for inspection and copying during normal business hours in the FCC Reference Information Center, Courtyard Level, 445 12th Street, SW, Washington, DC, and may be purchased from the Commission's copy contractor, International Transcription Services, Inc., CY-B400, 445 12th Street SW, Washington, DC. Comments may be sent as an electronic file via the Internet to <http://www.fcc.gov/e-file/ecfs.html>, or by e-mail to ecfs@fcc.gov.

Synopsis of the FNPRM

1. The Commission, through the FNPRM, solicits comment on four aspects of the spectrum clearance process initiated in the First Report and Order (First R&O) in this proceeding (65 FR 3139, January 20, 2000). The First R&O adopted a band plan and associated service rules for the assignment of licenses in 30 megahertz of the 700 MHz band (747-762 and 777-792 MHz). In the First R&O, the Commission concluded that it would consider specific regulatory requests needed to implement voluntary agreements reached between incumbent licensees and new licensees that would compensate incumbents for clearing the band or otherwise accommodating the new licensees. In the FNPRM, the Commission seeks comment on other potential mechanisms to further the goals of transitioning the 700 MHz band to wireless services and accelerating the transition to digital television.

2. The FNPRM first invites comment on whether to adopt cost-sharing rules that would spread the cost of clearing the 700 MHz band for use by the new licensees among 700 MHz licensees that benefit from the process. Specifically, the Commission invites comment on the following issues: (1) Would cost-sharing rules would expedite clearing the 700 MHz band for use by the new licensees and the transition to DTV by incumbent broadcasters, or should, as the Commission tentatively concludes, cost-sharing arrangements should be left to negotiations among successful auction

bidders? and (2) If the Commission adopts cost-sharing rules, how should the Commission calculate the costs that benefiting 700 MHz licensees would be required to pay. The Commission also tentatively concludes that if it were to adopt cost-sharing rules, licensees of the public safety spectrum would not be required to pay a share of the clearing costs, and invites comment on this tentative conclusion.

3. The FNPRM solicits comment on whether there are mechanisms other than cost-sharing rules that the Commission could implement to facilitate voluntary band clearing. In particular, the Commission seeks comment on whether there are market-oriented mechanisms that might be more efficient to facilitate voluntary band clearing than the negotiation of individual band clear agreements by each 700 MHz licensee and each incumbent.

4. One alternative on which the FNPRM solicits comment is three-way voluntary transition agreements that would provide for TV incumbents on television channels 59-69 to relocate to lower band TV channels that, in turn would be voluntarily cleared by the lower band TV incumbents. The Commission seeks comment on whether and under what conditions such agreements should be approved. The Commission, in the FNPRM seeks comment on how the Commission should evaluate possible loss of service in reviewing specific requests for voluntary relocations, and on whether the Commission should consider steps other than the review and approval or disapproval of voluntary agreements.

5. The FNPRM also invites comment on the use of "secondary auctions" in conjunction with this or future auctions in the band as another tool for facilitating band clearing agreements. In a secondary auction, competitive bidding would be used to determine the price that would be paid by 700 MHz licensees to TV incumbents who agree to clear their channels in the 700 MHz band. The FNPRM seeks comment on this alternative, on whether such an auction should be conducted on a private basis, whether the Commission has legal authority to conduct a secondary auction, and, if a secondary auction were to be conducted by the Commission, how it should be organized.

6. Finally, the Commission, through the FNPRM solicits comment on whether additional proposals should be considered to accelerate the digital television transition. For example, should the Commission allow incumbent broadcasters on television