

5. Technical information on test and evaluation methods for defining ATV characteristics that are specifically relevant to the vehicles' stability.

6. Technical information on motion sensing technology that can be used to measure displacement, velocity, and acceleration of both the test operator and test vehicle.

7. Technical reports and evaluations of any prototype ATVs with enhanced safety designs.

8. Technical reports and evaluations of ATV low pressure tire performance on various surfaces.

9. Information about ATV rider training programs, including descriptions of these programs, copies of materials used, expertise of instructors, consumer reactions to the programs, evaluations of the effectiveness of these programs, etc.

10. Information about ATV rider training and education programs (including public service campaigns, videos, school materials, Web sites, etc.) targeted to children and teenagers and/or targeted to parents and any evaluations of the effectiveness of these programs.

11. Studies, reports, focus group information, etc. dealing with children and teenagers' attitudes and/or behavior regarding ATVs or other off-road vehicles.

12. Information about the feasibility and marketability of a transitional ATV geared to larger children and/or small adults, and the effect such an ATV might have on safety.

13. Information about the applicability of sensor technology to improve the safety of ATVs;

14. Studies documenting the effectiveness of state and local legislation;

15. Studies documenting the effectiveness of ATV helmet use; and

16. Information about tandem ATVs, particularly their similarities to and differences from traditional ATVs.

17. All other relevant information and suggestions about ways in which ATV safety might be improved, including proposals and specific suggestions for greater public information efforts, enhanced safety activities by ATV dealers, associations and clubs, etc.

Comments should be e-mailed to cpssc-os@cpsc.gov and should be captioned "ATV ANPR." Comments may also be mailed, preferably in five copies, to the Office of the Secretary, Consumer Product Safety Commission, Washington, DC 20207-0001, or delivered to the Office of the Secretary, Consumer Product Safety Commission, Room 502, 4330 East-West Highway, Bethesda, Maryland 20814; telephone

(301) 504-0800. Comments also may be filed by telefacsimile to (301) 504-0127. All comments and submissions should be received no later than December 13, 2005.

Dated: October 7, 2005.

Todd A. Stevenson,
Secretary, Consumer Product Safety Commission.

[FR Doc. 05-20557 Filed 10-13-05; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6355-01-P

POSTAL SERVICE

39 CFR Part 111

Use of Ancillary Service Endorsement for Mailing Certain Types of Checks

AGENCY: Postal Service.

ACTION: Proposed rule; withdrawal.

SUMMARY: The Postal Service is withdrawing a proposed rule that would require ancillary service endorsements on mailpieces containing certain types of checks.

DATES: Withdrawal effective October 14, 2005.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: William Chatfield, Mailing Standards, United States Postal Service, 202-268-7278.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: In a proposed rule published in the **Federal Register** on October 27, 2004 (69 FR 6263), the Postal Service presented for public comment a proposed revision to Mailing Standards of the United States Postal Service, Domestic Mail Manual (DMM®) to require the use of ancillary service endorsements on mailpieces containing certain types of checks mailed at Standard Mail postage rates. The proposed revision was intended to protect postal customers.

We received comments from the financial industry discussing a number of safeguards for customers that reduce the incidence of fraud and the misuse of information on these checks. We have concluded that the requirements in our proposal are unnecessary, and we withdraw our proposal.

Neva R. Watson,

Attorney, Legislative.

[FR Doc. 05-20563 Filed 10-13-05; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 7710-12-P

ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY

40 CFR Part 52

[R09-OAR-2005-CA-0009; FRL-7975-2]

Revisions to the California State Implementation Plan, Monterey Bay United Air Pollution Control District

AGENCY: Environmental Protection Agency (EPA).

ACTION: Proposed rule.

SUMMARY: EPA is proposing to approve revisions to the Monterey Bay United Air Pollution Control District (MBUAPCD) portion of the California State Implementation Plan (SIP). These revisions concern oxides of nitrogen (NO_x) and sulfur compounds emitted by various sources. We are proposing to approve a local rule to regulate these emission sources under the Clean Air Act as amended in 1990 (CAA or the Act).

DATES: Any comments on this proposal must arrive by November 14, 2005.

ADDRESSES: Submit comments, identified by docket number R09-OAR-2005-CA-0009, by one of the following methods:

1. Agency Web site: <http://docket.epa.gov/rmepub/>. EPA prefers receiving comments through this electronic public docket and comment system. Follow the on-line instructions to submit comments.

2. Federal eRulemaking Portal: <http://www.regulations.gov>. Follow the on-line instructions.

3. E-mail: steckel.andrew@epa.gov.

4. Mail or deliver: Andrew Steckel (Air-4), U.S. Environmental Protection Agency Region IX, 75 Hawthorne Street, San Francisco, CA 94105-3901.

Instructions: All comments will be included in the public docket without change and may be made available online at <http://docket.epa.gov/rmepub/>, including any personal information provided, unless the comment includes Confidential Business Information (CBI) or other information whose disclosure is restricted by statute. Information that you consider CBI or otherwise protected should be clearly identified as such and should not be submitted through the agency Web site, eRulemaking portal or e-mail. The agency Web site and eRulemaking portal are "anonymous access" systems, and EPA will not know your identity or contact information unless you provide it in the body of your comment. If you send e-mail directly to EPA, your e-mail address will be automatically captured and