

the person selling or leasing the defective or noncompliant tire:

(i) A statement that the report is being submitted pursuant to 49 CFR 573.10(a) (sale or lease of defective or noncompliant tires);

(ii) The name, address and phone number of the person who purchased or leased the tire;

(iii) The name of the manufacturer of the tire;

(iv) The tire's brand name, model name, and size;

(v) The tire's DOT identification number;

(vi) The date of the sale or lease; and

(vii) The name, address, and telephone number of the seller or lessor.

(2) Each report must be dated and signed, with the name of the person signing the report legibly printed or typed below the signature.

(d) Reports required to be submitted pursuant to this section must be submitted no more than that five working days after a person to whom a tire covered by this section has been sold or leased has taken possession of that tire. Submissions must be made by any means which permits the sender to verify promptly that the report was in fact received by NHTSA and the day it was received by NHTSA.

Issued on: December 15, 2000.

Sue Bailey,

Administrator.

[FR Doc. 00-32528 Filed 12-22-00; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4910-59-P

DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION

National Highway Traffic Safety Administration

49 CFR Part 578

[Docket No. NHTSA-2000-8510]

RIN 2127-A124

Motor Vehicle Safety: Criminal Penalty Safe Harbor Provision

AGENCY: National Highway Traffic Safety Administration (NHTSA), DOT.

ACTION: Interim final rule; request for comments.

SUMMARY: This Interim Final Rule implements Section 5(b) of the Transportation Recall Enhancement, Accountability, and Documentation (TREAD) Act by specifying the time period and manner for correction of improper reports and failures to report to the Secretary of Transportation (Secretary) relating to safety defects in motor vehicles and motor vehicle

equipment. Section 5(b) adds a new section, which provides for criminal liability in circumstances where a person violated reporting requirements with the intention of misleading the Secretary with respect to safety-related defects in motor vehicles or motor vehicle equipment that have caused death or serious bodily injury. To encourage the correction of incorrect or incomplete information that was reported or should have been reported to the Secretary, Section 5 includes a "safe harbor" provision that offers protection from criminal prosecution to persons who meet certain criteria. To qualify for this protection, the person must have lacked knowledge at the time of the violation that the violation would result in an accident causing death or serious bodily injury and must correct any improper reports or failures to report to the Secretary within a reasonable time. Section 5 directs the Secretary to establish by regulation what constitutes a "reasonable time" and a sufficient manner of "correction," within 90 days of the enactment of the TREAD Act, which occurred on November 1, 2000.

DATES: *Effective date:* This rule is effective January 25, 2001.

Comments: Comments must be received on or before February 26, 2001.

ADDRESSES: You may submit your comments in writing to: Docket Management, Room PL-401, 400 Seventh Street, SW., Washington, DC, 20590. You may also submit your comments electronically by logging onto the Dockets Management System website at <http://dms.dot.gov>. Click on "Help & Information" or "Help/Info" to obtain instructions for filing the document electronically. Regardless of how you submit your comments, include the docket number of this document on your comments. You may call Docket Management at 202-366-9324. You may visit the Docket from 10:00 a.m. to 5:00 p.m., Monday through Friday.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Steven Cohen, Office of Chief Counsel, NCC-10, National Highway Traffic Safety Administration, 400 Seventh Street, SW., Washington, DC, 20590, Telephone (202) 366-5263, Fax: 202-366-3820.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

I. Background

On November 1, 2000, the TREAD Act, Public Law 106-414, was enacted in response, in part, to congressional concerns related to manufacturers' inadequate reporting to NHTSA of information regarding possible defects

in motor vehicles and motor vehicle equipment, including tires. The TREAD Act expands 49 U.S.C. 30166, Inspections, investigations, and records, and provides for the Secretary to issue various rules thereunder. The authority to carry out Chapter 301 of Title 49 United States Code, under which the rules directed by the TREAD Act are to be issued, has been delegated to NHTSA's Administrator pursuant to 49 CFR 1.50.

Section 5(b) of the TREAD Act, adds a new section, 49 U.S.C. 30170, to Chapter 301. Section 30170(a)(1) establishes criminal liability for a "person who violates section 1001 of title 18 with respect to the reporting requirements of [49 U.S.C.] section 30166, with the specific intention of misleading the Secretary with respect to motor vehicle or motor vehicle equipment safety related defects that have caused death or serious bodily injury to an individual. . . ." Section 1001 of title 18 provides that whoever ". . . knowingly and willfully—(1) falsifies, conceals, or covers up by any trick, scheme, or device a material fact; (2) makes any materially false, fictitious, or fraudulent statement or representation; or (3) makes or uses any false writing or document knowing the same to contain any materially false, fictitious, or fraudulent statement or entry" in a matter within the jurisdiction of the federal government is subject to a fine and imprisonment.

Section 30170(a)(2)(A) contains a "safe harbor" provision, which states that a

person described in paragraph (1) [of 49 U.S.C. 30170(a)] shall not be subject to criminal penalties * * * if (1) at the time of the violation, such person does not know that the violation would result in an accident causing death or serious bodily injury; and (2) the person corrects any improper reports or failure to report within a reasonable time.

This safe harbor applies only to criminal liability related to 49 U.S.C. 30170(a)(1). Section 30170(a)(2)(B) requires the Secretary to "establish by regulation what constitutes a reasonable time for the purposes of [49 U.S.C. 30170(a)(2)(A)] and what manner of correction is sufficient for the purposes of [49 U.S.C. 30170(a)(2)(A)]."

NHTSA is promulgating this regulation on a reasonable time and on the manner of correction as an interim final rule to comply with 49 U.S.C. 30170(a)(2)(B)'s mandate that the final rule be issued "within 90 days of the date of the enactment of this section." In order to implement the statutorily-mandated final rule concerning the safe harbor from criminal penalties under 49 U.S.C. 30170, we are amending 49 CFR

Part 578. As an interim final rule, the regulation will be effective 30 days after the date of publication in the **Federal Register**. However, comments may be submitted for a period of 60 days from the date of publication in the **Federal Register**. NHTSA will review and respond to all timely comments.

II. Discussion

A. Violations

49 U.S.C. 30170 creates a new criminal liability that is dependent on a violation of 18 U.S.C. 1001. The TREAD Act does not provide for the Secretary to engage in rulemaking with respect to the elements of 18 U.S.C. 1001 or the elements of the new 49 U.S.C. 30170. Accordingly, this rule does not do so.

B. Reasonable Time for Correction

The TREAD Act requires NHTSA to establish by regulation what constitutes a "reasonable time" for a person to correct any improper reports or failure to report. To delineate what constitutes a reasonable time, NHTSA considered its own rules and experiences with the current motor vehicle and motor vehicle equipment defects program. NHTSA also inquired about potentially comparable safe harbor rules and policies used by other federal agencies. NHTSA considered the Environmental Protection Agency's (EPA) evaluation of its Audit Policy, 64 FR 26745 (May 17, 1999), and the Final Policy Statement for its Audit Policy: "Incentives for Self-policing: Discovery, Disclosure, Correction and Prevention of Violation," 65 FR 19618 (April 11, 2000); the Internal Revenue Service Chief Counsel's Directives Manual: Voluntary Disclosure, CCDM 31.3.3; and the Federal Aviation Administration's (FAA) Advisory Circulars on Aviation Safety Action Programs, AC120-66A, the Voluntary Disclosure Reporting Program, AC00-58, and the Aviation Safety Reporting Program, AC00-46D.

In considering the number of days available for compliance with the reasonable time requirement by a person seeking protection under the safe harbor provision, NHTSA considered various factors. First, the agency's mission under Chapter 301 is motor vehicle safety. Consistent with its mission, the agency needs to collect complete and accurate information in order to decide whether to open investigations of potential defects, to conduct those investigations efficiently and expeditiously, and to assure appropriate oversight of ongoing recalls. The reasonable time period should minimize the time that NHTSA is performing its safety responsibilities using an incorrect

or incomplete factual record. Similarly, the time period must generate an urgency that will compel potential correctors to come forward before it expires. NHTSA has determined that this is best done by offering the protection of the safe harbor provision for a period that is not longer than reasonably necessary for such a person to decide to come forward and to do so.

Second, NHTSA does not intend to discourage the submission of corrected reports and reports that should have been submitted but were not submitted. This is not a new concept. Historically, NHTSA has allowed late submissions of information required under section 30166 where a late submission is justified. In order to encourage the use of the safe harbor provision, the time period must be long enough for the provision to be usable in real world situations. This includes allowing enough time for persons who would be willing to take corrective actions under the safe harbor provision to accept the responsibility associated with it and to come forward. We are mindful that the correction of a false report may involve complexities that do not arise in the instance of the initial report. There may be some contentious review and consultation within the company and/or with counsel, which may be compounded where a person may have to obtain or check information maintained by various corporate organizations and possibly contractors, and additional time may be required to prepare fully correct statements that conflict with the manufacturer's statement of record.

NHTSA has concluded that, in order to satisfy the "reasonable time" element of the safe harbor provision, the person seeking protection from criminal liability must correct each improper (i.e., incorrect, incomplete, or misleading) report required by 49 U.S.C. 30166, or a regulation, requirement, request or order issued thereunder, not more than twenty-one (21) calendar days after the date of the report to the agency and must correct each failure to report not more than twenty-one (21) calendar days after the information or documents were due to be sent to or received by the agency, as the case may be, pursuant to 49 U.S.C. 30166 or a regulation, requirement, request or order issued thereunder. These reports include, for example, answers and documents submitted in response to information requests propounded by NHTSA's Office of Defects Investigation or Special Orders issued by NHTSA's Chief Counsel, as well as information required to be submitted under the "early warning" provisions of the

TREAD Act and the regulations to be issued thereunder.

The time period of "not more than 21 days" is similar to the window of opportunity of "within 21 days (or within such shorter time as may be required by law)" offered by the EPA in Section D(3) of its recently amended Audit Policy, which the EPA published as a Final Policy Statement at 65 FR 19618 (April 11, 2000). Under its Audit Policy, the EPA will waive or substantially reduce the "gravity" based component of civil penalties for violators of environmental requirements who discover, disclose, and correct these violations (the EPA's Audit Policy provides no basis for waiving civil liability associated with the "economic benefits" of an environmental violation or for any criminal liability). NHTSA did not include any language referring to shorter time periods from other legal requirements in this rule because Chapter 301 does not contain shorter periods that are applicable.

The time period of 21 days in the final Audit Policy, as published at 65 FR 19618 (April 11, 2000), is different from the original time period of 10 days used by the EPA in the previous version of its Audit Policy, as published at 60 FR 66705 (December 22, 1995). The EPA's recent changes to Audit Policy were based on its evaluation of the Audit Policy in use for the preceding three years, which the EPA published at 64 FR 26745 (May 17, 1999). One result of this evaluation was that the EPA increased the time period for coming forward to report violations from 10 days to 21 days after discovery of the violation because it found that "the 10-day time frame [was] a common reason for ineligibility under the [initial] Policy" and thus that "the 10-day disclosure period may be a significant impediment to increased use of the Audit Policy" by violators who otherwise would have come forward or did come forward soon after the 10 day period expired. The EPA's study of its Audit Policy concluded the "10 days is not sufficient time to analyze and decide whether to disclose potential violations, especially for larger corporations with several layers of management." NHTSA believes that the EPA's appraisal of what time period constitutes a "reasonable time" for correction is reasonably applicable to the safe harbor provision of Section 30170(a)(2).

Finally, NHTSA believes that the starting point for calculating the 21-day period should be consistent with the underlying predicate crime. The predicate crime involves a violation of 18 U.S.C. 1001. As noted above, the

standard under 18 U.S.C. 1001 is knowingly and willfully. Also, 49 U.S.C. 30170 applies to a person who acts "with the specific intention of misleading the Secretary." Thus, any person subject to possible criminal liability under 49 U.S.C. 30170 would have known of the impropriety at the time that the person executed the improper report or failed to report to NHTSA. In light of this knowledge, the time period will run from date of the report to NHTSA or the date of the failure to report to NHTSA.

In order for the correction to be timely, it must be received by NHTSA on or before the 21st day, not merely mailed or otherwise sent before that day. NHTSA has also determined that the integrity of the process and the 21-day due date requires that submissions be made by a means which permits the sender to verify promptly that the correction was in fact received by NHTSA and the day it was received by NHTSA. These means include certified mail, an overnight delivery service, or delivery by hand.

C. Sufficient Manner of Correction

The TREAD Act requires NHTSA to establish by regulation what constitutes a "correction" for a person to obtain protection under the safe harbor provision. To delineate what constitutes a correction, NHTSA considered its own rules and experiences with the current motor vehicle and motor vehicle equipment defects program. NHTSA has concluded that, in order for a correction of improper reports or a failure to report to be sufficient under the safe harbor provision's protections from criminal penalties, it must accomplish the following: (1) Identify with specificity all items of information and documents that were improper or were not provided and (2) correct all reporting improprieties and/or failures for which the protections of the safe harbor provision are sought, including providing NHTSA with all missing or corrected documents and information. Therefore, each person seeking protection from criminal penalties under 49 U.S.C. 30170 must sign and submit to NHTSA one or more reports identifying each previous item of information and/or document that was improper or not provided to NHTSA and is related to a required submission under 49 U.S.C. 30166, or a regulation, requirement, request or order issued thereunder, for which protection is sought. This report must also identify the specific predicate under which the missing or improper report should have been submitted (e.g., the report was required by a specific regulation, a

NHTSA Information Request, a NHTSA Special Order, etc.). Further, the report must include or be accompanied by the complete and correct information and documents that should have been submitted.

Because NHTSA collects a range of information under 49 U.S.C. 30166, corrections could be made by a wide range of persons. For a corporation to make a correction, it must be signed by an authorized person (ordinarily the individual officer or employee who submitted the information and/or who should have provided missing information, or someone in the company with authority to make such a submission). If the person making the correction cannot submit the correct information, the individual must provide a full detailed description of that information or of the content of those documents and the reason why he or she cannot provide them to NHTSA (e.g., the information or documents are not in the individual's possession or control).

Regulatory Analyses and Notices

1. Executive Order 12866 and DOT Regulatory Policies and Procedures

We have considered the impact of this rulemaking action under E.O. 12866 and the Department of Transportation's regulatory policies and procedures. This rulemaking was not reviewed under E.O. 12866, "Regulatory Planning and Review." This rulemaking is not considered "significant" under the Department of Transportation's regulatory policies and procedures. The impacts of this rule are expected to be so minimal as not to warrant preparation of a full regulatory evaluation because this provision only involves a safe harbor for criminal sanctions associated with a criminal provision that NHTSA does not expect to be invoked often.

2. Regulatory Flexibility Act

We have also considered the impact of this notice under the Regulatory Flexibility Act. I certify that this rule will have no significant economic impact on a substantial number of small entities. As stated above, this provision only involves a safe harbor for criminal penalties which NHTSA does not expect to be invoked often.

3. National Environmental Policy Act

We have analyzed this proposal for the National Environmental Policy Act and determined that it would not have any significant impact on the quality of the human environment.

4. Paperwork Reduction Act

NHTSA has determined that this interim final rule will impose new collection of information burdens within meaning of the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995 (PRA). Pursuant to 5 CFR 1320.13, *Emergency processing*, NHTSA is asking OMB for a temporary emergency clearance for this collection. In this interim final rule, NHTSA begins the process of requesting a 3-year clearance for this collection.

Under the PRA, before an agency submits a proposed collection of information to OMB for approval, it must publish a document in the **Federal Register** providing a 60-day comment period and otherwise consult with members of the public and affected agencies concerning each proposed collection of information. The OMB has promulgated regulations describing what must be included in such a document. Under OMB's regulations (5 CFR 1320.8(d)), an agency must ask for public comment on the following:

(i.) Whether the proposed collection of information is necessary for the proper performance of the functions of the agency, including whether the information will have practical utility;

(ii.) The accuracy of the agency's estimate of the burden of the proposed collection of information, including the validity of the methodology and assumptions used;

(iii.) How to enhance the quality, utility, and clarity of the information to be collected; and

(iv.) How to minimize the burden of the collection of information on those who are to respond, including the use of appropriate automated, electronic, mechanical, or other technological collection techniques or other forms of information technology, e.g., permitting electronic submission of responses.

In compliance with these requirements, NHTSA asks public comment on the collection of information in this interim final rule.

Reporting an improper Report or a Failure to Report.

Type of Request—New.

OMB Clearance Number—None assigned.

Form Number—This proposed collection of information would not use any standard forms.

Requested Expiration Date of Approval—Three years from the date of the approval of the collection.

Summary of the Collection of Information—Any person seeking protection from criminal liability under 49 U.S.C. 30170 related to an improper report or failure to report pursuant to 49

U.S.C. 30166, or a regulation, requirement, request or order issued thereunder, will be required to report the following information to NHTSA: (1) Each improper item of information or document and each failure to report an item of information or document that was required under 49 U.S.C. 30166, or a regulation, requirement, request or order issued thereunder, (2) the specific predicate under which the missing or improper report should have been provided, and (3) complete and correct reports that include all information that should have been submitted, including relevant documents that were not previously submitted to NHTSA or, if the person cannot do so, provide a full detailed description of that information or of the content of those documents and the reason why the individual cannot provide them to NHTSA.

Description of the Need for the Information and Use of the Information—This information collection was mandated by Section 5 of the TREAD Act. The information collected will provide NHTSA with information the agency should have received previously and will also promptly provide the agency with correct information to do its analyses, such as, for example, conducting tests or drawing conclusions about possible safety-related defects. NHTSA anticipates using this information to help it to accomplish its statutory assignment of identifying safety-related defects in motor vehicles and motor vehicle equipment and, when appropriate, seeking safety recalls.

Description of the Likely Respondents, Including Estimated Number and Proposed Frequency of Response to the Collection of Information—This new collection of information would apply to any person who seeks a "safe harbor" from potential criminal liability for knowingly and willfully acting with the specific intention of misleading the Secretary by an act or omission that violates section 1001 of title 18 with respect to the reporting requirements of 49 U.S.C. 30166, regarding a safety-related defect in motor vehicles or motor vehicle equipment that caused death or serious bodily injury to an individual. Thus, the collection of information could apply to the manufacturers, and any officers or employees thereof, who respond or have a duty to respond to an information provision requirement pursuant to 49 U.S.C. 30166 or a regulation, requirement, request or order issued thereunder.

We believe that there will be very few criminal prosecutions under section 30170, given its elements. Accordingly,

it is not likely to be a substantial motivating force for a submission of a proper report. We estimate that no more than nine such persons a year would invoke this new collection of information, and we do not anticipate receiving more than one report a year from any particular person.

Estimate of the Total Annual Reporting and Recordkeeping Burdens Resulting From the Collection of Information—As stated before, we estimate that no more than nine persons a year would be subject to this new collection of information. Incrementally, we estimate that on average it will take no longer than two hours for a person to compile and submit the information we are requiring to be reported. Therefore, the total burden hours on the public per year is estimated to be a maximum of 18 hours.

Since nothing in this rule would require those persons who submit reports pursuant to this rule to keep copies of any records or reports submitted to us, recordkeeping costs imposed would be zero hours and zero costs.

5. Executive Order 13132 (Federalism)

Executive Order 13132 on "Federalism" requires us to develop an accountable process to ensure "meaningful and timely input" by State and local officials in the development of "regulatory policies that have federalism implications." The E.O. defines this phrase to include regulations "that have substantial direct effects on the States, on the relationship between the national government and the States, or on the distribution of power and responsibilities among the various levels of government." This rule, which defines terms in a safe harbor provision for criminal penalties for a person who acts with the specific intention of misleading the Secretary regarding safety defects in motor vehicles or motor vehicle equipment, will not have substantial direct effect on the States, on the relationship between the national government and the States, or on the distribution of power and responsibilities among the various levels of government, as specified in E.O. 13132. This rule making does not have those implications because it applies to those persons who are required by 49 U.S.C. 30166 to provide information to NHTSA.

6. Civil Justice Reform

This rule does not have a retroactive or preemptive effect. Judicial review of the rule may be obtained pursuant to 5 U.S.C. 702. That section does not require that a petition for

reconsideration be filed prior to seeking judicial review.

7. Unfunded Mandates Reform Act of 1995

The Unfunded Mandates Reform Act of 1995 (Pub. L. 104-4) requires agencies to prepare a written assessment of the cost, benefits and other effects of proposed or final rules that include a Federal mandate likely to result in the expenditure by State, local or tribal governments, in the aggregate, or by the private sector, of more than \$100 million annually. Because this rule will not have a \$100 million annual effect, no Unfunded Mandates assessment is necessary and one will not be prepared.

Plain Language

Executive Order 12866 and the President's memorandum of June 1, 1998, require each agency to write all rules in plain language. Application of the principles of plain language includes consideration of the following questions:

- Have we organized the material to suit the public's needs?
- Are the requirements in the rule clearly stated?
- Does the rule contain technical language or jargon that is not clear?
- Would a different format (grouping and order of sections, use of headings, paragraphing) make the rule easier to understand?
- Would more (but shorter) sections be better?
- What else could we do to make the rule easier to understand?

If you have any responses to these questions, please include them in your comments.

Interim Final Rule

NHTSA is promulgating this regulation on a reasonable time and on the manner of correction as an interim final rule to comply with Section 5(b)'s mandate that the final rule be issued "within 90 days of the enactment of the [TREAD Act]." As an interim final rule, the regulation contained herein will be effective 30 days after the date of publication in the **Federal Register**. However, as described below, comments may be submitted for a period of 60 days from the date of publication in the **Federal Register**. NHTSA will review and respond to all timely comments, as appropriate.

Submission of Comments

How Can I Influence NHTSA's Thinking on This Rule?

In developing this interim final rule, we tried to address the anticipated

concerns of all our stakeholders. Your comments will help us improve this rule. We invite you to provide different views on it, new approaches we have not considered, new data, how this rule may affect you, or other relevant information. We welcome your views on all aspects of this rule, but request comments on specific issues throughout this document. We grouped these specific requests near the end of the sections in which we discuss the relevant issues. Your comments will be most effective if you follow the suggestions below:

Explain your views and reasoning as clearly as possible.

- Provide solid information to support your views.
- If you estimate potential numbers of reports or costs, explain how you arrived at the estimate.
- Tell us which parts of the rule you support, as well as those with which you disagree.
- Provide specific examples to illustrate your concerns.
- Offer specific alternatives.
- Refer your comments to specific sections of the rule, such as the units or page numbers of the preamble, or the regulatory sections.
- Be sure to include the name, date, and docket number with your comments.

How Do I Prepare and Submit Comments?

Your comments must be written and in English. To ensure that your comments are correctly filed in the Docket, please include the docket number of this document in your comments.

Your comments must not be more than 15 pages long. (49 CFR 553.21). We established this limit to encourage you to write your primary comments in a concise fashion. However, you may attach necessary additional documents to your comments. There is no limit on the length of the attachments.

Please submit two copies of your comments, including the attachments, to Docket Management at the address given above under **ADDRESSES**.

Comments may also be submitted to the docket electronically by logging onto the Dockets Management System website at <http://dms.dot.gov>. Click on "Help & Information" or "Help/Info" to obtain instructions for filing the document electronically.

How Can I Be Sure That My Comments Were Received?

If you wish Docket Management to notify you upon its receipt of your comments, enclose a self-addressed,

stamped postcard in the envelope containing your comments. Upon receiving your comments, Docket Management will return the postcard by mail.

How Do I Submit Confidential Business Information?

If you wish to submit any information under a claim of confidentiality, you should submit copies of your complete submission, including the information you claim to be confidential business information, to the Chief Counsel (NCC-30), NHTSA, at the address given above under **FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT**. In addition, you should submit two copies, from which you have deleted the claimed confidential business information, to Docket Management at the address given above under **ADDRESSES**. When you send a comment containing information claimed to be confidential business information, you should include a cover letter setting forth the information specified in our confidential business information regulation. (49 CFR Part 512.)

Will the Agency Consider Late Comments?

We will consider all comments that Docket Management receives before the close of business on the comment closing date indicated above under **DATES**. To the extent possible, we will also consider comments that Docket Management receives after that date. If Docket Management receives a comment too late for us to consider it in developing a final rule (assuming that one is issued), we will consider that comment as an informal suggestion for future rulemaking action.

How Can I Read the Comments Submitted by Other People and Other Materials Relevant to This Rulemaking?

You may view the materials in the docket for this rulemaking on the Internet. These materials include the written comments submitted by other interested persons and the preliminary regulatory evaluation prepared by this agency. You may read them at the address given above under **ADDRESSES**. The hours of the Docket are indicated above in the same location.

You may also see the comments and materials on the Internet. To read them on the Internet, take the following steps:

- (1) Go to the Docket Management System (DMS) Web page of the Department of Transportation (<http://dms.dot.gov/>).
- (2) On that page, click on "search."
- (3) On the next page (<http://dms.dot.gov/search/>), type in the four-

digit docket number shown at the beginning of this document. Example: If the docket number were "NHTSA-2000-1234," you would type "1234." After typing the docket number, click on "search."

(4) On the next page, which contains docket summary information for the materials in the docket you selected, click on the desired comments. You may download the comments.

Please note that even after the comment closing date, we will continue to file relevant information in the Docket as it becomes available. Further, some people may submit late comments. Accordingly, we recommend that you periodically check the Docket for new material.

List of Subjects in 49 CFR Part 578

Imports, Motor vehicle safety, Motor vehicles, Civil and criminal penalties, Rubber and rubber products, Tires.

In consideration of the foregoing, 49 CFR part 578 is amended as follows:

1. The authority citation for Part 578 of Title 49 is revised to read as follows:

Authority: Pub. L. 101-410, Pub. L. 104-134, Pub. L. 106-414, 49 U.S.C. 30165, 49 U.S.C. 30170, 30505, 32308, 32309, 32507, 32709, 32710, 32912, and 33115; delegation of authority at 49 CFR 1.50.

2. The heading of Part 578 is revised to read as follows:

PART 578—CIVIL AND CRIMINAL PENALTIES

3. Section 578.1 is revised to read as follows:

§ 578.1 Scope.

This part specifies the civil penalties for violations of statutes administered by the National Highway Traffic Safety Administration, as adjusted for inflation. This part also sets forth the requirements regarding the reasonable time and the manner of correction for a person seeking safe harbor protection from criminal liability under 49 U.S.C. 30170(a).

4. Section 578.2 is revised to read as follows:

§ 578.2 Purpose.

One purpose of this part is to preserve the remedial impact of civil penalties and to foster compliance with the law by specifying the civil penalties for statutory violations, as adjusted for inflation. The other purpose of this part is to set forth the requirements regarding the reasonable time and the manner of correction for a person seeking safe harbor protection from criminal liability under 49 U.S.C. 30170(a).

5. Section 578.3 is revised to read as follows:

§ 578.3 Applicability.

This part applies to civil penalties for violations of Chapters 301, 305, 323, 325, 327, 329, and 331 of Title 49 of the United States Code. This part also applies to the criminal penalty safe harbor provision of section 30170 of Title 49 of the United States Code.

6. Section 578.4 is amended by revising the definition of "civil penalty" to read as follows:

§ 578.4 Definitions.

* * * * *

Civil penalty means any non-criminal penalty, fine, or other sanction that:

(1) Is for a specific monetary amount as provided by Federal law, or has a maximum amount provided for by Federal law; and

(2) Is assessed, compromised, collected, or enforced by NHTSA pursuant to Federal law.

* * * * *

7. A new section 578.7 is added to read as follows:

§ 578.7 Criminal Safe Harbor Provision.

(a) *Scope.* This section sets forth the requirements regarding the reasonable time and the manner of correction for a person seeking safe harbor protection from criminal liability under 49 U.S.C. 30170(a)(2), which provides that a person described in 49 U.S.C. 30170(a)(1) is not subject to criminal penalties thereunder if:

(1) At the time of the violation, such person does not know that the violation would result in an accident causing death or serious bodily injury; and

(2) The person corrects any improper reports or failure to report, with respect to reporting requirements of 49 U.S.C. 30166, within a reasonable time.

(b) *Reasonable time.* A correction is considered to have been performed within a reasonable time if the person seeking protection from criminal liability makes the correction to any improper (i.e., incorrect, incomplete, or misleading) report not more than twenty-one (21) calendar days after the date of the report to the agency and corrects any failure to report not more than twenty-one (21) calendar days after the report was due to be sent to or received by the agency, as the case may be, pursuant to 49 U.S.C. 30166, including a regulation, requirement, request or order issued thereunder. In order to meet these reasonable time requirements, all submissions required by this section must be received by NHTSA within the time period specified in this paragraph, and not

merely mailed or otherwise sent within that time period.

(c) *Sufficient manner of correction.*

Each person seeking safe harbor protection from criminal penalties under 49 U.S.C. 30170(a)(2) must comply with the following with respect to each improper report and failure to report for which safe harbor protection is sought:

(1) Sign and submit to NHTSA a dated document identifying:

(i) Each previous improper report (e.g., informational statement and document submission), and each failure to report as required under 49 U.S.C. 30166, including a regulation, requirement, request or order issued thereunder, for which protection is sought, and

(ii) The specific predicate under which the improper or omitted report should have been provided (e.g., the report was required by a specified regulation, NHTSA Information Request, or NHTSA Special Order).

(2) Submit the complete and correct information that was required to be submitted but was improperly submitted or was not previously submitted, including relevant documents that were not previously submitted, or, if the person cannot do so, provide a detailed description of that information and/or the content of those documents and the reason why the individual cannot provide them to NHTSA (e.g., the information or documents are not in the individual's possession or control).

(3) For a corporation, the submission must be signed by an authorized person (ordinarily, the individual officer or employee who submitted the improper report or who should have provided the report that the corporation failed to submit on behalf of the company, or someone in the company with authority to make such a submission).

(4) Submissions must be made by a means which permits the sender to verify promptly that the report was in fact received by NHTSA and the day it was received by NHTSA.

(5) Submit the report to Chief Counsel (NCC-10), National Highway Traffic Safety Administration, Room 5219, 400 Seventh Street, SW., Washington, DC 20590.

Issued on: December 15, 2000.

Sue Bailey,
Administrator.

[FR Doc. 00-32527 Filed 12-22-00; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4910-59-P

DEPARTMENT OF THE INTERIOR

Fish and Wildlife Service

50 CFR Part 17

RIN 1018-AF33

Endangered and Threatened Wildlife and Plants; Final Rule to List Nine Bexar County, Texas Invertebrate Species as Endangered

AGENCY: Fish and Wildlife Service, Interior.

ACTION: Final rule.

SUMMARY: We, the U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service (Service), determine nine cave-dwelling invertebrates from Bexar County, Texas, to be endangered species under the authority of the Endangered Species Act of 1973, as amended (Act). *Rhadine exilis* (no common name) and *Rhadine infernalis* (no common name) are small, essentially eyeless ground beetles. *Batrissodes ventyivi* (Helotes mold beetle) is a small, eyeless beetle. *Texella cokendolpheri* (Robber Baron Cave harvestman) is a small, eyeless harvestman (daddy-longlegs). *Cicurina baronia* (Robber Baron cave spider), *Cicurina madla* (Madla's cave spider), *Cicurina venii* (no common name), *Cicurina vespera* (vesper cave spider), and *Neoleptoneta microps* (Government Canyon cave spider) are all small, eyeless or essentially eyeless spiders.

These species (referred to in this final rule as the nine invertebrates) are known from karst topography (limestone formations containing caves, sinks, fractures and fissures) in north and northwest Bexar County. Threats to the species and their habitat include destruction and/or deterioration of habitat by construction; filling of caves and karst features and loss of permeable cover; contamination from septic effluent, sewer leaks, run-off, pesticides, and other sources; predation by and competition with nonnative fire ants; and vandalism. This action will implement Federal protection provided by the Act for these species. We based our decision on the best available information, including that received during public comment on the proposal to list these species.

EFFECTIVE DATE: The effective date of this rule is December 26, 2000 (see **EFFECTIVE DATE** section under below).

ADDRESSES: The complete file for this rule is available for inspection, by appointment, during normal business hours at the Austin Ecological Services Field Office, 10711 Burnet Road, Suite 200, Austin, Texas 78758.