not serve to make protestants parties to the proceedings. This filing is available for review at the Commission in the Public Reference Room or may be viewed on the Commission's Web site at http://www.ferc.gov using the "FERRIS" link. Enter the docket number excluding the last three digits in the docket number field to access the document. For assistance, please contact FERC Online Support at

FERCOnlineSupport@ferc.gov or toll-free at (866) 208–3676, or TTY, contact (202) 502–8659. The Commission strongly encourages electronic filings. See 18 CFR 385.2001(a)(1)(iii) and the instructions on the Commission's Web site under the "e-Filing" link.

Protest Date: May 20, 2003.

Magalie R. Salas,

Secretary.

[FR Doc. 03–12437 Filed 5–16–03; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 6717–01–P

DEPARTMENT OF ENERGY

Federal Energy Regulatory Commission

[Docket No. RP96-359-015]

Transcontinental Gas Pipe Line Corporation; Notice of Negotiated Rates

May 13, 2003.

Take notice that on May 6, 2003, Transcontinental Gas Pipe Line Corporation (Transco) tendered for filing a copy of the executed service agreement amendment that contains a negotiated daily facilities reservation rate surcharge (facilities surcharge) under Transco's Rate Schedule FT for the costs of the expansion of the U.S. Steel Meter Station, a delivery point to PECO Energy Company (PECO). The effective date of this facilities surcharge is May 7, 2003, which is the anticipated in-service date of the U.S. Steel Meter Station expansion.

Transco states that Transco and PECO are parties to a service agreement, dated June 1, 2001, under Transco's Rate Schedule FT for firm transportation service under Transco's Leidy East Expansion Project. Transco further states that it has agreed to construct an expansion of the U.S. Steel Meter Station, a delivery point to PECO located on Transco's main line in Bucks County, Pennsylvania. Transco asserts that pursuant to Section 20.7 of the General Terms and Conditions of Transco's FERC Gas Tariff, Transco and PECO have executed an amendment to the service agreement to revise Exhibit C thereto to include a facilities

surcharge for the expansion of the U.S. Steel Meter Station in addition to the negotiated daily reservation rate for PECO's firm transportation service under Transco's Leidy East Expansion Project. Transco states that the effective date of this facilities surcharge is May 7, 2003, which is the anticipated inservice date of the U.S. Steel Meter Station expansion.

Transco states that copies of the filing are being mailed to its affected customers and interested state commissions.

Any person desiring to be heard or to protest said filing should file a motion to intervene or a protest with the Federal Energy Regulatory Commission, 888 First Street, NE., Washington, DC 20426, in accordance with Sections 385.214 or 385.211 of the Commission's Rules and Regulations. All such motions or protests must be filed in accordance with Section 154.210 of the Commission's Regulations. Protests will be considered by the Commission in determining the appropriate action to be taken, but will not serve to make protestants parties to the proceedings. Any person wishing to become a party must file a motion to intervene. This filing is available for review at the Commission in the Public Reference Room or may be viewed on the Commission's Web site at http:// www.ferc.gov using the "FERRIS" link. Enter the docket number excluding the last three digits in the docket number field to access the document. For assistance, please contact FERC Online Support at

FERCOnlineSupport@ferc.gov or toll-free at (866) 208–3676, or TTY, contact (202) 502–8659. The Commission strongly encourages electronic filings. See 18 CFR 385.2001(a)(1)(iii) and the instructions on the Commission's Web site under the "e-Filing" link.

Comment Date: May 19, 2003.

Magalie R. Salas,

Secretary.

[FR Doc. 03–12445 Filed 5–16–03; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 6717–01–P

DEPARTMENT OF ENERGY

Federal Energy Regulatory Commission

[Docket Nos. EC03-85-000, et al.]

Granite II Holding, LLC, et al.; Electric Rate and Corporate Filings

May 12, 2003.

The following filings have been made with the Commission. The filings are

listed in ascending order within each docket classification.

1. Granite II Holding LLC, Quixx Corporation, Quixx Resources, Inc., Energy Investors Funds Group, EIF Mustang Holding I, LLC

[Docket No. EC03-85-000]

Take notice that on May 2, 2003, Granite II Holding, LLC (Granite), Quixx Corporation (Quixx), Quixx Resources, Inc. (Quixx Resources), Energy Investors Funds Group, and EIF Mustang Holdings I, LLC (Mustang Holdings) (all collectively, Applicants) filed with the Federal Energy Regulatory Commission (the Commission) an application for authorization pursuant to Section 203 of the Federal Power Act and part 33 of the Commission's regulations (the Application). The Applicants state that the application seeks authorization for Granite, Quixx, and Quixx Resources to sell their ownership interests in Denver City Energy Associates, L.P. (DCE) to Mustang Holdings for cash. The Applicants state the DCE holds an undivided 50 percent interest in an approximately 489–MW generating facility near Denver City, Texas. The Applicants assert that jurisdictional assets included in the transaction are rate schedules, a long-term power purchase agreement, books and records, and certain facilities associated with the generation assets that are necessary to effectuate wholesale sales of electricity.

Applicants seek expedited review of the Application and request confidential treatment of certain documents submitted therewith.

Comment Date: May 23, 2003.

2. Mountain View Power Company, L.L.C.

[Docket No. EG03-67-000]

Take notice that on May 6, 2003, Mountain View Power Company, L.L.C. (Applicant), filed with the Federal Energy Regulatory Commission an application for determination of exempt wholesale generator status pursuant to part 365 of the Commission's regulations.

Applicant states that it proposes to own or operate, or both own and operate, a natural gas-fired, combined cycle electric generation station, together with ancillary facilities, with an aggregate capacity of approximately 1,052, to be located in San Bernardino County, California. Applicant further states that all output from the generating facilities will be sold exclusively at wholesale.

Comment Date: June 2, 2003.