

**(e) Unsafe Condition**

This AD was prompted by a report of improper grinding of the inner diameter of the main landing gear (MLG) outer cylinders, resulting in possible heat damage to the outer cylinders. The FAA is issuing this AD to address heat damage to the MLG outer cylinders. The unsafe condition, if not addressed, could cause failure of a principal structural element to sustain its limit load or collapse of the MLG, which could result in loss of control of the airplane or runway departure.

**(f) Compliance**

Comply with this AD within the compliance times specified, unless already done.

**(g) Required Actions**

Except as specified by paragraph (h) of this AD: At the applicable times specified in the “Compliance” paragraph of Boeing Alert Requirements Bulletin 757–32A0216 RB, dated January 26, 2024, do all applicable actions identified in, and in accordance with, the Accomplishment Instructions of Boeing Alert Requirements Bulletin 757–32A0216 RB, dated January 26, 2024.

**Note 1 to paragraph (g):** Guidance for accomplishing the actions required by this AD can be found in Boeing Alert Service Bulletin 757–32A0216, dated January 26, 2024, which is referred to in Boeing Alert Requirements Bulletin 757–32A0216 RB, dated January 26, 2024.

**(h) Exceptions to Requirements Bulletin Specifications**

Where the “Boeing Recommended Compliance Time” column in the table under the “Compliance” paragraph of Boeing Alert Requirements Bulletin 757–32A0216 RB, dated January 26, 2024, refers to the original issue date of Boeing Alert Requirements Bulletin 757–32A0216 RB, this AD requires using the effective date of this AD.

**(i) Alternative Methods of Compliance (AMOCs)**

(1) The Manager, AIR–520, Continued Operational Safety Branch, FAA, has the authority to approve AMOCs for this AD, if requested using the procedures found in 14 CFR 39.19. In accordance with 14 CFR 39.19, send your request to your principal inspector or responsible Flight Standards Office, as appropriate. If sending information directly to the manager of the certification office, send it to the attention of the person identified in paragraph (j)(1) of this AD. Information may be emailed to: [AMOC@faa.gov](mailto:AMOC@faa.gov). Before using any approved AMOC, notify your appropriate principal inspector, or lacking a principal inspector, the manager of the responsible Flight Standards Office.

(2) An AMOC that provides an acceptable level of safety may be used for any repair, modification, or alteration required by this AD if it is approved by The Boeing Company Organization Designation Authorization (ODA) that has been authorized by the Manager, AIR–520, Continued Operational Safety Branch, FAA, to make those findings. To be approved, the repair method, modification deviation, or alteration

deviation must meet the certification basis of the airplane, and the approval must specifically refer to this AD.

**(j) Related Information**

(1) For more information about this AD, contact Stefanie Roesli, Aviation Safety Engineer, FAA, 2200 South 216th St., Des Moines, WA 98198; phone: 206–231–3964; email: [stefanie.n.roesli@faa.gov](mailto:stefanie.n.roesli@faa.gov).

(2) Material identified in this AD that is not incorporated by reference is available at the address specified in paragraph (k)(3) this AD.

**(k) Material Incorporated by Reference**

(1) The Director of the Federal Register approved the incorporation by reference of the material listed in this paragraph under 5 U.S.C. 552(a) and 1 CFR part 51.

(2) You must use this material as applicable to do the actions required by this AD, unless the AD specifies otherwise.

(i) Boeing Alert Requirements Bulletin 757–32A0216 RB, dated January 26, 2024.

(ii) [Reserved]

(3) For Boeing material identified in this AD, contact Boeing Commercial Airplanes, Attention: Contractual & Data Services (C&DS), 2600 Westminister Blvd., MC 110–SK57, Seal Beach, CA 90740–5600; telephone 562–797–1717; website [myboeingfleet.com](http://myboeingfleet.com).

(4) You may view this material at the FAA, Airworthiness Products Section, Operational Safety Branch, 2200 South 216th St., Des Moines, WA. For information on the availability of this material at the FAA, call 206–231–3195.

(5) You may view this material at the National Archives and Records Administration (NARA). For information on the availability of this material at NARA, visit [www.archives.gov/federal-register/cfr/ibr-locations](http://www.archives.gov/federal-register/cfr/ibr-locations) or email [fr.inspection@nara.gov](mailto:fr.inspection@nara.gov).

Issued on July 3, 2025.

**Lona C. Saccomando,**

*Acting Deputy Director, Integrated Certificate Management Division, Aircraft Certification Service.*

[FR Doc. 2025–12671 Filed 7–7–25; 8:45 am]

**BILLING CODE 4910–13–P**

---

**FEDERAL COMMUNICATIONS COMMISSION**
**47 CFR Part 73**

**[MB Docket No. 17–318; DA 25–530; FR ID 300811]**

**National Television Multiple Ownership Rule**

**AGENCY:** Federal Communications Commission.

**ACTION:** Proposed rule; reopening of comment period.

**SUMMARY:** In this document, the Media Bureau of the Federal Communications Commission seeks to update the record in the National Television Multiple Ownership Rule proceeding, in which the Commission has sought comment

whether to modify, retain, or eliminate the 39% national audience reach cap and/or the UHF discount.

**DATES:** The comment period for the proposed rule published January 26, 2018 (83 FR 3661), is reopened. Comments are due: August 4, 2025. Reply comments are due: August 22, 2025.

**ADDRESSES:** All filings must be submitted in MB Docket No. 17–318. Pursuant to §§ 1.415 and 1.419 of the Commission’s rules, 47 CFR 1.415, 1.419, interested parties may file comments and reply comments on or before the dates indicated on the first page of this document. Comments may be filed using the Commission’s Electronic Comment Filing System (ECFS).

- *Electronic Filers:* Comments may be filed electronically using the internet by accessing the ECFS: <https://www.fcc.gov/ecfs>.

- *Paper Filers:* Parties who choose to file by paper must file an original and one copy of each filing.

- Filings can be sent by hand or messenger delivery, by commercial courier, or by the U.S. Postal Service. All filings must be addressed to the Secretary, Federal Communications Commission.

- Hand-delivered or messenger-delivered paper filings for the Commission’s Secretary are accepted between 8:00 a.m. and 4:00 p.m. by the FCC’s mailing contractor at 9050 Junction Drive, Annapolis Junction, MD 20701. All hand deliveries must be held together with rubber bands or fasteners. Any envelopes and boxes must be disposed of before entering the building.
- Commercial courier deliveries (any deliveries not by the U.S. Postal Service) must be sent to 9050 Junction Drive, Annapolis Junction, MD 20701.

- Filings sent by U.S. Postal Service First-Class Mail, Priority Mail, and Priority Mail Express must be sent to 45 L Street NE, Washington, DC 20554.

*People with Disabilities.* To request materials in accessible formats for people with disabilities (braille, large print, electronic files, audio format), send an email to [fcc504@fcc.gov](mailto:fcc504@fcc.gov) or call the Consumer & Governmental Affairs Bureau at 202–418–0530 (voice).

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:** Ty Bream, [Ty.Bream@fcc.gov](mailto:Ty.Bream@fcc.gov), of the Industry Analysis Division, Media Bureau, (202) 418–0644.

**SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:** This is a summary of the Media Bureau’s Public Notice in MB Docket No. 17–318, DA 25–530, that was released June 18, 2025. The full text of this document is available for public inspection online at

<https://docs.fcc.gov/public/attachments/DA-25-530A1.pdf> and via the search function on the Commission's Electronic Document Management System (EDOCS) web page at <https://www.fcc.gov/edocs>. Documents will be available electronically in ASCII, Microsoft Word, and/or Adobe Acrobat. Alternative formats are available for people with disabilities (Braille, large print, electronic files, audio format, etc.) and reasonable accommodations (accessible format documents, sign language interpreters, CART, etc.) may be requested by sending an email to [fcc504@fcc.gov](mailto:fcc504@fcc.gov) or call the Consumer & Governmental Affairs Bureau at 202-418-0530 (voice).

### Synopsis

With this Public Notice, the Media Bureau seeks to refresh the record in the National Television Multiple Ownership Rule proceeding. The prior comment and reply comment period in this proceeding closed over seven years ago. Given the passage of time, we now seek further comment to refresh the record in this proceeding.

**Background.** In December 2017, the Commission adopted a Notice of Proposed Rulemaking (*National Cap NPRM*) (83 FR 3661, Jan. 26, 2018) to seek comment on whether to retain, modify, or eliminate its rule limiting entities from owning or controlling broadcast television stations that, in the aggregate, reach more than 39% of the television audience households in the United States. The *National Cap NPRM* also sought comment on a component of the rule which provides a 50% discount to UHF stations for purposes of calculating compliance with the 39% audience reach cap, often referred to as the UHF discount.

**Discussion.** With this Public Notice, we open a new comment window and encourage the submission of new or additional information to refresh the record in the National Television Multiple Ownership Rule proceeding. The dockets in this and other proceedings show that the national audience reach cap still generates significant interest to commenters who continue to submit information about it, despite the fact that the record closed seven years ago. Accordingly, we present commenters with this further opportunity to refresh the record in the National Television Multiple Ownership proceeding.

First, we seek comment on materials filed since the comment period ended in April 2018. We invite commenters to review these materials and comment on whether they highlight any issues that

warrant further comment and consideration. Are there issues raised in the *National Cap NPRM* for which new and relevant information has come to light? How have the positions of commenters in this proceeding changed over time as a result of new information? To what extent is prior information in the record outdated or superseded by more recent developments? Where possible, commenters should explain how any new analysis, evidence, or proposals relate to the Commission's promotion of the public interest.

Second, we seek comment on new or additional information regarding the television and video programming marketplace that is relevant to this proceeding. Are there changes in the video programming marketplace that would affect the Commission's prior conclusions about the national audience reach cap? For example, in the *National Cap NPRM*, the Commission noted, among other developments, the growth of video programming options available to consumers (including online alternatives to traditional video distribution), reverse compensation fees paid by affiliates to broadcast networks, common ownership of broadcast and cable networks, consolidation among both MVPDs and non-network owned broadcast television station groups, and continuing MVPD video subscriber losses. Are there any developments relevant to the relationship between national broadcast networks and their local affiliate television station groups? Have recent industry developments altered the incentives or behavior of networks, local television affiliates, and other market participants in ways relevant to the national audience reach cap? In the *National Cap NPRM*, the Commission discussed economies of scale made possible by expansion of station ownership that may help broadcast television remain competitive in the marketplace and deter the migration of expensive over-the-air programming to other video programming distributors. The Commission also reasoned that, by placing limits on the expansion of network owned and operated station groups, a national cap would preserve a balance in the marketplace between the networks and their local affiliates. Specifically, the Commission noted its prior conclusions, dating back to 2003, that a national cap would promote localism by enabling local affiliates to influence programming decisions by the networks and to exercise their rights to preempt the airing of network programming in favor of programming

better suited to their local communities' needs. Do these prior conclusions remain accurate in 2025, and can they be expected to remain valid going forward? If so, and the Commission retains a national audience reach cap, should common ownership of stations that are not affiliated with major national broadcast networks (*i.e.*, ABC, CBS, NBC, or FOX) be excluded from the cap? If the Commission retains the cap in any form, should it include a UHF discount or any other form of discount?

We also seek comment on any other relevant trends observed within the television and video programming industry or in related markets that are now relevant to the Commission's review of the cap. For example, how has the national audience reach cap affected broadcast television's market position in relation to other video distributors, such as online video providers, that are not restricted by ownership limits? Do the current relationships and business dealings between broadcast television and other video distributors support modification or elimination of the national audience reach cap?

Finally, we seek comment on whether there are any other legal or economic developments that the Commission should consider in the context of the national television audience cap. For example, how, if at all, have legal developments affected the Commission's past conclusions about its authority to implement changes to the national audience reach cap and the UHF discount?

**Initial Regulatory Flexibility Analysis.** The *National Cap NPRM* included an Initial Regulatory Flexibility Analysis (IRFA) pursuant to 5 U.S.C. 603, exploring the potential impact on small entities of the Commission's proposals. We invite parties to file comments on the IRFA in light of this request to refresh the record.

**Ex Parte Rules—Permit But Disclose.** This proceeding shall be treated as a "permit-but-disclose" proceeding in accordance with the Commission's *ex parte* rules. Persons making *ex parte* presentations must file a copy of any written presentation or a memorandum summarizing any oral presentation within two business days after the presentation (unless a different deadline applicable to the Sunshine period applies). Persons making oral *ex parte* presentations are reminded that memoranda summarizing the presentation must (1) list all persons attending or otherwise participating in the meeting at which the *ex parte* presentation was made, and (2) summarize all data presented and

arguments made during the presentation. If the presentation consisted in whole or in part of the presentation of data or arguments already reflected in the presenter's written comments, memoranda or other filings in the proceeding, the presenter may provide citations to such data or arguments in his or her prior comments, memoranda, or other filings (specifying the relevant page and/or paragraph numbers where such data or arguments can be found) in lieu of summarizing them in the memorandum. Documents shown or given to Commission staff during *ex parte* meetings are deemed to be written *ex parte* presentations and must be filed consistent with rule § 1.1206(b). In proceedings governed by rule § 1.49(f) or for which the Commission has made available a method of electronic filing, written *ex parte* presentations and memoranda summarizing oral *ex parte* presentations, and all attachments thereto, must be filed through the electronic comment filing system available for that proceeding, and must be filed in their native format (e.g., .doc, .xml, .ppt, searchable .pdf). Participants in this proceeding should familiarize

themselves with the Commission's *ex parte* rules.

**Filing Comments and Replies.** All filings must be submitted in MB Docket No. 17–318. Pursuant to §§ 1.415 and 1.419 of the Commission's rules, 47 CFR 1.415, 1.419, interested parties may file comments and reply comments on or before the dates indicated on the first page of this document. Comments may be filed using the Commission's Electronic Comment Filing System (ECFS).

- **Electronic Filers:** Comments may be filed electronically using the internet by accessing the ECFS: <https://www.fcc.gov/ecfs>.

- **Paper Filers:** Parties who choose to file by paper must file an original and one copy of each filing.

- Filings can be sent by hand or messenger delivery, by commercial courier, or by the U.S. Postal Service. All filings must be addressed to the Secretary, Federal Communications Commission.

- Hand-delivered or messenger-delivered paper filings for the Commission's Secretary are accepted between 8:00 a.m. and 4:00 p.m. by the FCC's mailing contractor at 9050

Junction Drive, Annapolis Junction, MD 20701. All hand deliveries must be held together with rubber bands or fasteners. Any envelopes and boxes must be disposed of before entering the building.

- Commercial courier deliveries (any deliveries not by the U.S. Postal Service) must be sent to 9050 Junction Drive, Annapolis Junction, MD 20701.

- Filings sent by U.S. Postal Service First-Class Mail, Priority Mail, and Priority Mail Express must be sent to 45 L Street NE, Washington, DC 20554.

**People with Disabilities.** To request materials in accessible formats for people with disabilities (braille, large print, electronic files, audio format), send an email to [fcc504@fcc.gov](mailto:fcc504@fcc.gov) or call the Consumer & Governmental Affairs Bureau at 202–418–0530 (voice).

(Authority: 47 U.S.C. 154, 303, 334, 336, 339.)

#### List of Subjects in 47 CFR Part 73

Television.

Federal Communications Commission.

**Thomas Horan,**

*Chief of Staff, Media Bureau.*

[FR Doc. 2025–12603 Filed 7–7–25; 8:45 am]

**BILLING CODE 6712–01–P**