

their APLs without the use of the Federal NUPC database? Please explain.

FNS appreciates your thoughtful and responsive replies to all questions. Your feedback is essential to help FNS ensure administration of the WIC Program is effective and efficient as possible. Together, we can strive to improve operations and outcomes to best serve participants, stakeholders, and American taxpayers.

**Pamilyn Miller,**

*Administrator, Food and Nutrition Service.*

## Appendix A:

Current NUPC Database Elements

### 1. National UPC Database Core Fields

The Core Fields contain information that is set on the National level and cannot be edited at the WIC State Agency level. Only FNS staff or the FNS contractor may edit these fields.

#### National Core Fields

UPC/PLU Number  
Manufacturer Code  
Manufacturer Name  
[Food] Category  
[Food] Subcategory  
Default Filtered  
Comments

### 2. WIC State Agency Fields, including Editable Fields

These fields are generally specific to each WIC State Agency and can only be edited by that State agency. Some of these fields (Product Size through Benefit Unit Description Type) may be adopted from a national entry or an entry by another State agency and then edited to reflect current State-specific authorized foods. These fields generally do not have any national attributes—they are specific to each State agency. The only State agency field that cannot be edited by the WIC State agency is the Product Unit of Measure (UOM). Most fields, *e.g.*, price, are optional.

#### WIC State Agency Fields

Product Size  
Product UOM \*  
Product Name  
Benefit Unit Description Type  
Short Product Name  
Benefit Unit Description  
Container Size  
Container Type  
Price  
Price Type  
Broadband Flag  
Agency Effective Date  
Agency End Date  
Package Size  
Rebate Flag  
Manual Voucher Indicator  
Filter for State Agency Search  
\* Cannot be edited by State agency

[FR Doc. 2020-08041 Filed 4-15-20; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 3410-30-P

## DEPARTMENT OF AGRICULTURE

### Forest Service

#### Newspapers Used for Publication of Legal Notices by the Rocky Mountain Region, Which Includes Colorado, Kansas, Nebraska, and Parts of South Dakota and Wyoming

**AGENCY:** Forest Service, USDA.

**ACTION:** Notice.

**SUMMARY:** This notice lists the newspapers that will be used by the Ranger Districts, Forests, and Regional Office of the Rocky Mountain Region to publish legal notices. The intended effect of this action is to inform interested members of the public which newspapers the Forest Service will use to publish notices of proposed actions and notices of decision. This will provide the public with constructive notice of Forest Service proposals and decisions, provide information on the procedures to comment, object or appeal, and establish the date that the Forest Service will use to determine if comments or appeals/objections were timely.

**DATES:** Publication of legal notices in the listed newspapers will begin on the date of this publication and continue until further notice.

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:** Lucy Maldonado, Regional Administrative Review Coordinator (Acting), Rocky Mountain Region, 1617 Cole Blvd., Bldg. 17, Lakewood, CO 80401; or by phone at (303) 275-5188 or email at [lucy.g.maldonado@usda.gov](mailto:lucy.g.maldonado@usda.gov).

**SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:** The administrative procedures at 36 CFR 214, 218, and 219 require the Forest Service to publish notices in a newspaper of general circulation. The content of the notices is specified in 36 CFR 214, 218, and 219. In general, the notices will identify: The decision or project, by title or subject matter; the name and title of the official making the decision; how to obtain additional information; and where and how to file comments or appeals/objections. The date the notice is published will be used to establish the official date for the beginning of the comment or appeal/objection period. The newspapers to be used are as follows:

#### Regional Forester, Rocky Mountain Region

Regional Forester decisions affecting National Forests in Colorado, Kansas, Nebraska and those portions of South Dakota and Wyoming within the Rocky Mountain Region: *The Denver Post*

#### Arapaho and Roosevelt National Forests and Pawnee National Grassland

Forest Supervisor decisions: *Coloradoan*  
Canyon Lakes District Ranger decisions: *Coloradoan*  
Pawnee District Ranger decisions: *Greeley Tribune*  
Boulder District Ranger decisions: *Daily Camera*  
Clear Creek District Ranger decisions: *Clear Creek Courant*  
Sulphur District Ranger decisions: *Middle Park Times*

#### Bighorn National Forest

Forest Supervisor and District Ranger decisions: *Casper Star-Tribune*

#### Black Hills National Forest

Forest Supervisor and District Ranger decisions: *The Rapid City Journal*

#### Grand Mesa, Uncompahgre, and Gunnison National Forests

Forest Supervisor decisions: *Grand Junction Daily Sentinel*  
Grand Valley District Ranger decisions: *Grand Junction Daily Sentinel*  
Paonia District Ranger decisions: *Delta County Independent*  
Gunnison District Ranger decisions: *Gunnison Country Times*  
Norwood District Ranger decisions: *Telluride Daily Planet*  
Ouray District Ranger decisions: *Montrose Daily Press*

#### Medicine Bow-Routt National Forests and Thunder Basin National Grassland

Forest Supervisor decisions: *Laramie Daily Boomerang*  
Laramie District Ranger decisions: *Laramie Daily Boomerang*  
Douglas District Ranger decisions: *Casper Star-Tribune*  
Brush Creek—Hayden District Ranger decisions: *Rawlins Daily-Times*  
District Ranger decisions for Hahns Peak-Bears Ears and Yampa: *Steamboat Pilot*  
Parks District Ranger decisions: *Jackson County Star*

#### Nebraska National Forest, Nebraska and South Dakota

Forest Supervisor decisions: *The Rapid City Journal*  
Bessey District/Charles E. Bessey Tree Nursery District Ranger decisions: *The North Platte Telegraph*  
Pine Ridge District Ranger decisions: *The Rapid City Journal*  
District Ranger decisions for Samuel R. McKelvie National Forest: *The North Platte Telegraph*  
District Ranger decisions for Fall River and Wall Districts, Buffalo Gap National Grassland: *The Rapid City Journal*

District Ranger decisions for Fort Pierre National Grassland: *The Capital Journal*

**Pike and San Isabel National Forests and Cimarron and Comanche National Grasslands**

Forest Supervisor decisions: *Pueblo Chieftain*

San Carlos District Ranger decisions: *Pueblo Chieftain*

Comanche District—Carrizo Unit District Ranger decisions: *Plainsman Herald*

Comanche District—Timpas Unit District Ranger decisions: *Tribune Democrat*

Cimarron District Ranger decisions: *Tri-State News*

South Platte District Ranger decisions: *Douglas County News Press*

Leadville District Ranger decisions: *Herald Democrat*

Salida District Ranger decisions: *The Mountain Mail*

South Park District Ranger decisions: *Fairplay Flume*

Pikes Peak District Ranger decisions: *The Gazette*

**Rio Grande National Forest**

Forest Supervisor and District Ranger decisions: *Valley Courier*

**San Juan National Forest**

Forest Supervisor decisions: *Durango Herald*

Columbine District Ranger decisions: *Durango Herald*

Pagosa District Ranger decisions: *Pagosa Sun*

Dolores District Ranger decisions: *Cortez Journal*

**Shoshone National Forest**

Forest Supervisor decisions: *Cody Enterprise*

Clarks Fork District Ranger decisions: *Powell Tribune*

Wapiti and Greybull Districts Ranger decisions: *Cody Enterprise*

Wind River District Ranger decisions: *The Dubois Frontier*

Washakie District Ranger decisions: *Lander Journal*

**White River National Forest**

Forest Supervisor decisions: *The Glenwood Springs Post Independent*

Aspen-Sopris District Ranger decisions: *Aspen Times*

Blanco District Ranger decisions: *Rio Blanco Herald Times*

Dillon District Ranger decisions: *Summit Daily*

Eagle-Holy Cross District Ranger decisions: *Vail Daily*

Rifle District Ranger decisions: *Citizen Telegram*

**Allen Rowley,**

*Associate Deputy Chief, National Forest System.*

[FR Doc. 2020-07963 Filed 4-15-20; 8:45 am]

**BILLING CODE 3411-15-P**

**DEPARTMENT OF AGRICULTURE**

**Forest Service**

**Revision of the Land Management Plan for the Chugach National Forest**

**AGENCY:** Forest Service, USDA.

**ACTION:** Notice of approval of the Revised Land Management Plan for Chugach National Forest.

**SUMMARY:** Jeff E. Schramm, the Forest Supervisor for the Chugach National Forest, Alaska Region, has signed the Record of Decision (ROD) for the Revised Land Management Plan (Land Management Plan) for the Chugach National Forest. The ROD documents the rationale for approving the Land Management Plan and is consistent with the Objection Review Official's response to objections and instructions.

**DATES:** The Revised Land Management Plan for the Chugach National Forest will become applicable May 18, 2020 (36 CFR 219.17(a)(1)). To view the final ROD, final environmental impact statement (FEIS), the Revised Land Management Plan, and other related documents, please visit the Chugach National Forest Revision project website at: <https://www.fs.usda.gov/project/?project=40816>. A legal notice of approval is also being published in Chugach National Forest's newspaper of record, the *Anchorage Daily News*. A copy of this legal notice will be posted on the website listed above.

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:**

David Fitz-Enz, Forest Planner, Chugach National Forest at 907-743-9595 or [david.fitz-enz@usda.com](mailto:david.fitz-enz@usda.com). Individuals who use telecommunication devices for the deaf (TDD) may call the Federal Information Relay Service (FIRS) at 1-800-877-8339 between 8:00 a.m. and 8:00 p.m., Eastern Time, Monday through Friday. Written requests for information may be sent to the Chugach National Forest, ATTN: David Fitz-Enz, Chugach National Forest Supervisor's Office, 161 E 1st Ave., Door 8, Anchorage, AK 99501.

**SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:** The Forest encompasses 5.4 million acres in Southcentral Alaska and includes a 1.9-million-acre Wilderness Study Area (WSA). Inventoried roadless areas

comprise 99% of the Chugach National Forest. Glaciers cover 30% of the Chugach National Forest and 20% is forested. From its largely intact ecosystems, the Chugach National Forest plays a key role in supporting local economies with abundant populations of Pacific salmon and wildlife, forest products, fuelwood, diverse recreation opportunities, mining, subsistence, and clean air and water. Between its contributions to commercial salmon harvests, recreation opportunities, fishing, hunting, and special use authorizations, the Forest generates over 4,000 jobs, worth approximately \$36 million to local economies annually.

Since 2012, the Chugach National Forest has worked with Alaska Native Tribes, Alaska Native Corporations, the State of Alaska, local communities, and the public to develop a plan that supports local economies, reduces community wildfire risk, supports adaptive management, and prioritizes ecosystem health and resilience to stressors such as drought and climate variability. The Land Management Plan fosters collaborative relationships, facilitates special use permitting, assures access, emphasizes hazardous fuels management, maintains properly functioning watersheds, supports subsistence and traditional uses, identifies 1.4 million acres to recommend for inclusion in the National Wilderness Preservation System within the WSA, carries forward the 2002 National Wild and Scenic Rivers System recommendation, and provides for the viability and persistence of two species of conservation concern within the planning area.

The Chugach National Forest released its FEIS, 2019 Land Management Plan, and draft ROD on August 30, 2019. The 60-day objection period ended on October 29, 2019. The Forest Service received 43 eligible objections. The Objection Review Official issued his written responses to the objection issues on January 27, 2020. The Regional Forester (Objection Review Official) provided the Forest Supervisor with instruction to add rationale in errata to the FEIS or final ROD, define and describe actions more clearly in the Land Management Plan and ROD, and remove and modify some plan components in the Land Management Plan.

As instructed by the Objection Review Official, the Forest modified the FEIS (through errata in the ROD), the Land Management Plan, the ROD, and the planning record. All modifications are completed and are responsive to issues