

L. 89–651; as amended by Pub. L. 106–36; 80 Stat. 897; 15 CFR part 301), we invite comments on the question of whether an instrument of equivalent scientific value, for the purposes for which the instrument shown below is intended to be used, is being manufactured in the United States.

Comments must comply with 15 CFR 301.5(a)(3) and (4) of the regulations and be filed within 20 days with the Statutory Import Programs Staff, U.S. Department of Commerce, 14th and Constitution Ave., NW., Room 2104, Washington, DC 20230. Applications may be examined between 8:30 a.m. and 5 p.m. in Room 2104, U.S. Department of Commerce.

Docket Number: 08–003. **Applicant:** Rice University, 6100 Main Street, Houston, TX 77005. **Instrument:** Variable Temperature High Magnetic Field Nanometer-Precision Probe Station. **Manufacturer:** Attocube Systems AG, Germany.

Intended Use: The instrument is intended to be used to allow multiterminal electronic measurement of novel materials, particularly those difficult to wire up in traditional geometries. This instrument will enable additional analytical physics and chemistry research involving nanomaterials. This instrument can supply a cryostate and magnet system with four independently nanopositionable probes. This variable temperature probe system is unique and is essential to enable a variety of physics and chemistry research efforts involving nanomaterials. Application accepted by Commissioner of Customs: January 31, 2008.

Dated: March 3, 2008.

Faye Robinson,

*Director, Statutory Import Programs Staff,
Import Administration.*

[FR Doc. 08–984 Filed 3–6–08; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 3510–DS–M

DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

International Trade Administration

University of Washington, et al.; Notice of Consolidated Decision on Applications for Duty-Free Entry of Electron Microscopes

This is a decision consolidated pursuant to section 6(c) of the Educational, Scientific, and Cultural Materials Importation Act of 1966 (Pub. L. 89–651, 80 Stat. 897; 15 CFR part 301). Related records can be viewed between 8:30 a.m. and 5 p.m. in Room 2104, U.S. Department of Commerce,

14th and Constitution Avenue, NW., Washington, DC.

Docket Number: 07–072. **Applicant:** University of Washington, Seattle, WA 98105. **Instrument:** Electron Microscope, Model Tecnai G2 F20 Twin. **Manufacturer:** FEI Company, Netherlands. **Intended Use:** See notice at 73 FR 7250, February 7, 2008.

Docket Number: 08–002. **Applicant:** University of Texas at Austin, Austin, TX 78721. **Instrument:** Electron Microscope, Model Quanta 600 FEG. **Manufacturer:** FEI Company, Czech Republic. **Intended Use:** See notice at 73 FR 7250, February 7, 2008.

Comments: None received. **Decision:** Approved. No instrument of equivalent scientific value to the foreign instrument, for such purposes as these instruments are intended to be used, was being manufactured in the United States at the time the instruments were ordered. **Reasons:** Each foreign instrument is an electron microscope and is intended for research or scientific educational uses requiring an electron microscope. We know of no electron microscope, or any other instrument suited to these purposes, which was being manufactured in the United States at the time of order of each instrument.

Dated: March 3, 2008.

Faye Robinson,

*Director, Statutory Import Programs Staff,
Import Administration.*

[FR Doc. E8–4532 Filed 3–6–08; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 3510–DS–P

DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

International Trade Administration

[A–549–817]

Certain Hot–Rolled Carbon Steel Flat Products from Thailand: Notice of Extension of Time Limit for the Final Results of the Antidumping Duty Administrative Review

AGENCY: Import Administration, International Trade Administration, Department of Commerce.

EFFECTIVE DATE: March 7, 2008.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Dena Crossland or Stephen Bailey, AD/CVD Operations, Office 7, Import Administration, International Trade Administration, U.S. Department of Commerce, 14th Street and Constitution Avenue, NW, Washington, DC 20230; telephone: (202) 482–3362 or (202) 482–0193, respectively.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

Background

On December 7, 2007, the Department of Commerce (“the Department”) published the preliminary results of the administrative review of the antidumping duty order on certain hot-rolled carbon steel flat products (“hot-rolled steel”) from Thailand, covering the period November 1, 2005, through October 31, 2006. See *Certain Hot–Rolled Carbon Steel Flat Products from Thailand: Preliminary Results of Antidumping Duty Administrative Review and Partial Rescission*, 72 FR 69187 (December 7, 2007) (“*Preliminary Results*”). The final results of this review are currently due no later than April 5, 2008.

Extension of Time Limit for Final Results of Review

Pursuant to section 751(a)(3)(A) of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended (“the Act”), the Department shall make a final determination in an administrative review of an antidumping duty order within 120 days after the date on which the preliminary results are published. However, section 751(a)(3)(A) of the Act allows the Department to extend the 120-day period to 180 days after the preliminary results, if it determines it is not practicable to complete the review within the foregoing time period.

The Department finds that it is not practicable to complete the final results of the administrative review of hot-rolled steel from Thailand within the 120-day period due to the complexity of two issues which were briefed by petitioner, respondent, and domestic interested party. First, the Department applied facts otherwise available to G Steel Public Company Limited (“G Steel”) in the *Preliminary Results* because we were unable to verify G Steel’s yield strength data in both the home market and U.S. market. Second, in the *Preliminary Results*, we determined that G Steel and Nakornthai Strip Mill Public Company Limited (“NSM”), another respondent in this administrative review, became affiliated at the end of the POR, but that the requirements had not been met to collapse the two companies. We need additional time to analyze parties’ comments regarding both of these issues.

Therefore, in accordance with section 751(a)(3)(A) of the Act, the Department is extending the time period for completion of the final results of this review by 60 days to 180 days after the date on which the preliminary results were published. Accordingly, the final results are now due no later than June 4, 2008.