

week, to leave a message or question with the above individual. You will receive a reply during normal business hours.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: The purpose for which the withdrawal was first made requires this extension to continue the protection of the support facilities for the safe and secure operation of national defense activities on the NTTR. The lands withdrawn by PLO No. 7634 on May 6, 2005 (70 FR 24114), and serialized as N-77821 (NVNV106208383), are located at Base Camp in central Nye County, 60 miles east of Tonopah, Nevada. Public access to the land has been restricted since the 1960s.

Order

By virtue of the authority vested in the Secretary of the Interior by section 204(f) of the Federal Land Policy and Management Act of 1976, 43 U.S.C. 1714(f), it is ordered as follows:

1. Subject to valid existing rights, PLO No. 7634 (70 FR 24114 (2005)), which withdrew 1,979 acres of public land from settlement, sale, location, or entry under the general land laws, including the United States mining laws, but not from leasing under the mineral leasing laws, and reserved the land for use by the United States Air Force to protect support facilities for the safe and secure operation of national defense activities on the NTTR, is hereby extended for an additional 20-year period.

2. This withdrawal will expire 20 years from the effective date of this Order, unless, as a result of a review conducted prior to the expiration date pursuant to section 204(f) of the Federal Land Policy and Management Act of 1976, 43 U.S.C. 1714(f), the Secretary determines that the withdrawal shall be further extended.

(Authority: 43 U.S.C. 1714)

Doug Burgum,

Secretary of the Interior.

[FR Doc. 2025-07073 Filed 4-23-25; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4331-21-P

INTERNATIONAL TRADE COMMISSION

[Investigation No. 731-TA-1114 (Third Review)]

Steel Nails From China

Determination

On the basis of the record¹ developed in the subject five-year review, the

¹ The record is defined in § 207.2(f) of the Commission's Rules of Practice and Procedure (19 CFR 207.2(f)).

United States International Trade Commission ("Commission") determines, pursuant to the Tariff Act of 1930 ("the Act"), that revocation of the antidumping duty order on steel nails from China would be likely to lead to continuation or recurrence of material injury to an industry in the United States within a reasonably foreseeable time.

Background

The Commission instituted this review on November 1, 2024 (89 FR 87413) and determined on February 4, 2025, that it would conduct an expedited review (90 FR 11327, March 5, 2025).

The Commission made this determination pursuant to section 751(c) of the Act (19 U.S.C. 1675(c)). It completed and filed its determination in this review on April 18, 2025. The views of the Commission are contained in USITC Publication 5610 (April 2025), entitled *Steel Nails from China: Investigation No. 731-TA-1114 (Third Review)*.

By order of the Commission.

Issued: April 18, 2025.

Lisa Barton,

Secretary to the Commission.

[FR Doc. 2025-07031 Filed 4-23-25; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 7020-02-P

INTERNATIONAL TRADE COMMISSION

Notice of Receipt of Complaint; Solicitation of Comments Relating to the Public Interest

AGENCY: U.S. International Trade Commission.

ACTION: Notice.

SUMMARY: Notice is hereby given that the U.S. International Trade Commission has received a complaint entitled *Certain Balloon Dilation Devices, Systems, and Components Thereof, DN 3822*; the Commission is soliciting comments on any public interest issues raised by the complaint or complainant's filing pursuant to the Commission's Rules of Practice and Procedure.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Lisa R. Barton, Secretary to the Commission, U.S. International Trade Commission, 500 E Street SW, Washington, DC 20436, telephone (202) 205-2000. The public version of the complaint can be accessed on the Commission's Electronic Document Information System (EDIS) at <https://edis.usitc.gov>. For help accessing EDIS, please email EDIS3Help@usitc.gov.

General information concerning the Commission may also be obtained by accessing its internet server at United States International Trade Commission (USITC) at <https://www.usitc.gov>. The public record for this investigation may be viewed on the Commission's Electronic Document Information System (EDIS) at <https://edis.usitc.gov>. Hearing-impaired persons are advised that information on this matter can be obtained by contacting the Commission's TDD terminal on (202) 205-1810.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: The Commission has received a complaint and a submission pursuant to § 210.8(b) of the Commission's Rules of Practice and Procedure filed on behalf of Entellus Medical, Inc., Stryker Corporation, and Stryker Sales, LLC on April 18, 2025. The complaint alleges violations of section 337 of the Tariff Act of 1930 (19 U.S.C. 1337) in the importation into the United States, the sale for importation, and the sale within the United States after importation of certain balloon dilation devices, systems, and components thereof. The complaint names as respondents: Fiagon GMBH of Germany; Fiagon AG Medical Technologies of Germany; Fiagon NA Corporation of Austin, TX; Fiagon NA, LLC of Austin, TX; and Hemostasis, LLC of White Bear Lake, MN. The complainant requests that the Commission issue a limited exclusion order, cease and desist orders, and impose a bond upon respondents' alleged infringing articles during the 60-day Presidential review period pursuant to 19 U.S.C. 1337(j).

Proposed respondents, other interested parties, members of the public, and interested government agencies are invited to file comments on any public interest issues raised by the complaint or § 210.8(b) filing. Comments should address whether issuance of the relief specifically requested by the complainant in this investigation would affect the public health and welfare in the United States, competitive conditions in the United States economy, the production of like or directly competitive articles in the United States, or United States consumers.

In particular, the Commission is interested in comments that:

(i) explain how the articles potentially subject to the requested remedial orders are used in the United States;

(ii) identify any public health, safety, or welfare concerns in the United States relating to the requested remedial orders;

(iii) identify like or directly competitive articles that complainant,