

listed above, to modify the limitation on the quantity of imports subject to the TRQ. The Act specifies factors to be considered in making determinations on such requests. The TRQ is effective for goods entered or withdrawn from warehouse for consumption, on or after January 1, 2001, and will remain in force through 2003. A TRQ allocation will be valid only in the year for which it is issued.

On December 1, 2000, the President issued Proclamation 7383 that, among other things, delegates authority to the Secretary of Commerce to allocate the TRQ; to consider, on an annual basis, requests to modify the limitation on the quantity of the TRQ and to recommend appropriate modifications to the President; and to issue regulations to implement these provisions. On January 22, 2001, the Department of Commerce published regulations establishing procedures for allocation of the tariff rate quotas (66 FR 6459, 15 CFR part 335) and for considering requests for modification of the limitations (66 FR 6459, 15 CFR part 340). The Department must collect certain information in order to fairly allocate the TRQ to eligible persons and to make informed recommendations to the President on whether or not to modify the limitation on the quantity of the TRQ. The Office of Management and Budget (OMB) has approved this information collection request (OMB Number 0625-0240) with an expiration date of July 31, 2001. This request for comment is for the proposed information collection after July 31, 2001.

II. Method of Collection

The information collection forms will be provided via the Internet and by mail to requesting firms.

III. Data

OMB Number: 0625-0240.

Form Number: ITA-4137P, ITA-4138P, ITA-4139, and ITA-4140P.
Type of Review: Regular.
Affected Public: Business or other for-profit.

Estimated Number of Respondents: 35.

Estimated Time Per Response: 1-24 hours.

Estimated Total Annual Burden Hours: 1,222 hours.

Estimated Total Annual Costs: \$207,275.

The estimated annual cost for this collection is \$207,275 (\$66,825 for respondents and \$140,450 for federal government).

IV. Request for Comments

Comments are invited on (a) whether the proposed collection of information is necessary for the proper performance of the functions of the agency, including whether the information shall have practical utility; (b) the accuracy of the agency's estimate of the burden (including hours and costs) of the proposed collection of information; (c) ways to enhance the quality, utility, and clarity of the information to be collected; and (d) ways to minimize the burden of the collection of information on respondents, including through the use of automated collection techniques or forms of information technology.

Comments submitted in response to this notice will be summarized and/or included in the request for OMB approval of this information collection; they also will become a matter of public record.

Dated: February 27, 2001.

Madeleine Clayton,

Departmental Forms Clearance Officer, Office of the Chief Information Officer.

[FR Doc. 01-5157 Filed 3-2-01; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 3510-DR-P

DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

International Trade Administration

Antidumping or Countervailing Duty Order, Finding, or Suspended Investigation; Opportunity To Request Administrative Review

AGENCY: Import Administration, International Trade Administration, Department of Commerce.

ACTION: Notice of opportunity to request administrative review of antidumping or countervailing duty order, finding, or suspended investigation.

Background

Each year during the anniversary month of the publication of an antidumping or countervailing duty order, finding, or suspension of investigation, an interested party, as defined in section 771(9) of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended (the Act), may request, in accordance with section 351.213(2000) of the Department of Commerce (the Department) Regulations, that the Department conduct an administrative review of that antidumping or countervailing duty order, finding, or suspended investigation.

Opportunity To Request a Review

Not later than the last day of March 2001, interested parties may request administrative review of the following orders, findings, or suspended investigations, with anniversary dates in March for the following periods:

	Period
Antidumping Duty Proceeding	
Bangladesh: Cotton Shop Towels, A-538-802	3/1/00-2/28/01
Canada: Iron Construction Castings, A-122-503	3/1/00-2/28/01
France: Brass Sheet & Strip, A-427-602	3/1/00-2/28/01
Germany: Brass Sheet & Strip, A-428-602	3/1/00-2/28/01
India: Sulfanilic Acid, A-533-806	3/1/00-2/28/01
Italy: Brass Sheet & Strip, A-475-601	3/1/00-2/28/01
Japan: Stainless Steel Butt-Weld Pipe Fittings, A-588-702	3/1/00-2/28/01
Spain: Stainless Steel Bar, A-469-805	3/1/00-2/28/01
Taiwan: Light-Walled Welded Rectangular Carbon Steel Tubing, A-583-803	3/1/00-2/28/01
Thailand: Circular Welded Carbon Steel Pipes & Tubes, A-549-502	3/1/00-2/28/01
The People's Republic of China:	
Chloropicrin, A-570-002	3/1/00-2/28/01
Glycine, A-570-836	3/1/00-2/28/01
Countervailing Duty Proceeding	
France: Brass Sheet and Strip, C-427-603	1/1/00-12/31/00
India: Sulfanilic Acid, C-533-807	1/1/00-12/31/00
Iran: In-Shell Pistachios Nuts, C-507-501	1/1/00-12/31/00

	Period
Pakistan: Cotton Shop Towels, C-535-001	1/1/00-12/31/00
Turkey: Welded Carbon Steel Pipes and Tubes, C-489-502	1/1/00-12/31/00

Suspension Agreements

None.

In accordance with section 351.213(b) of the regulations, an interested party as defined by section 771(9) of the Act may request in writing that the Secretary conduct an administrative review. For both antidumping and countervailing duty reviews, the interested party must specify the individual producers or exporters covered by an antidumping finding or an antidumping or countervailing duty order or suspension agreement for which it is requesting a review, and the requesting party must state why it desires the Secretary to review those particular producers or exporters. If the interested party intends for the Secretary to review sales of merchandise by an exporter (or a producer if that producer also exports merchandise from other suppliers) which were produced in more than one country of origin and each country of origin is subject to a separate order, then the interested party must state specifically, on an order-by-order basis, which exporter(s) the request is intended to cover.

Six copies of the request should be submitted to the Assistant Secretary for Import Administration, International Trade Administration, Room 1870, U.S. Department of Commerce, 14th Street & Constitution Avenue, NW., Washington, DC 20230. The Department also asks parties to serve a copy of their requests to the Office of Antidumping/Countervailing Enforcement, Attention: Sheila Forbes, in room 3065 of the main Commerce Building. Further, in accordance with section 351.303(f)(1)(i) of the regulations, a copy of each request must be served on every party on the Department's service list.

The Department will publish in the **Federal Register** a notice of "Initiation of Administrative Review of Antidumping or Countervailing Duty Order, Finding, or Suspended Investigation" for requests received by the last day of March 2001. If the Department does not receive, by the last day of March 2001, a request for review of entries covered by an order, finding, or suspended investigation listed in this notice and for the period identified above, the Department will instruct the Customs Service to assess antidumping or countervailing duties on those entries at a rate equal to the cash deposit of (or bond for) estimated antidumping or

countervailing duties required on those entries at the time of entry, or withdrawal from warehouse, for consumption and to continue to collect the cash deposit previously ordered.

This notice is not required by statute but is published as a service to the international trading community.

Dated: February 27, 2001.

Holly A. Kuga,

Acting Deputy Assistant Secretary, Group II for Import Administration.

[FR Doc. 01-5280 Filed 3-2-01; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 3510-DS-P

DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

International Trade Administration

[A-570-836]

Glycine From the Peoples Republic of China: Amended Final Results of New Shipper Administrative Review

AGENCY: Import Administration, International Trade Administration, Department of Commerce.

ACTION: Notice of amended final results in the antidumping duty new shipper administrative review of glycine from the People's Republic of China.

EFFECTIVE DATE: March 5, 2001.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Robert Bolling or Rick Johnson, AD/CVD Enforcement Group III, Office 9, Import Administration, International Trade Administration, U.S. Department of Commerce, 14th Street and Constitution Avenue, NW., Washington, DC 20230; telephone: (202) 482-3434 or (202) 482-3818, respectively.

Scope of the Review

The product covered by this review is glycine, which is a free-flowing crystalline material, like salt or sugar. Glycine is produced at varying levels of purity and is used as a sweetener/taste enhancer, a buffering agent, reabsorbable amino acid, chemical intermediate, and a metal complexing agent. Glycine is currently classified under subheading 2922.49.4020 of the Harmonized Tariff Schedule of the United States ("HTSUS"). This proceeding includes glycine of all purity levels. Although the HTSUS subheading is provided for convenience and Customs purposes, the written

description of the scope of this review is dispositive.

Amendment of Final Results

On January 31, 2001, the Department of Commerce (the Department) published the final results of its new shipper administrative review on glycine from the People's Republic of China (66 FR 8383). This review covered Nantong Dongchang Chemical Industry Corp., a new shipper of the subject merchandise to the United States. The period of review (POR) is March 1, 1999 through August 31, 1999.

On February 2, 2001, we received a submission from Hampshire Chemical Corporation and Chatter Chemicals (collectively, "Petitioners") alleging a clerical error in the final results of this new shipper administrative review of the antidumping duty order on glycine from the People's Republic of China. Respondent submitted rebuttal comments on February 8, 2001. The allegation and rebuttal comments were filed in a timely fashion.

Comment 1: Petitioners allege that the Department committed a ministerial error in the final results of the new shipper review. Petitioners state that the Department used an incorrect factory overhead surrogate value that was derived from the financial statement of Daurala Organics Ltd. Petitioners claim that the Department used a 21.07 percent factory overhead surrogate value; however, the correct percentage is 21.70 percent.

Respondent argues that no clerical error has occurred and therefore, no recalculation of the final margin is necessary. Respondent asserts that under section 351.224(c) of the Department's regulations, comments concerning ministerial errors made in the preliminary results are to be included in an interested parties case brief. Respondent contends that petitioners' alleged error occurred during the preliminary stage of this review, and thus petitioners' comments are untimely according to Department regulations and no correction needs to be made to the factory overhead ratio.

Department's Position: After a review of petitioners' allegation, we agree with petitioners and have corrected our calculation worksheet to correct the factory overhead surrogate value. For the factory overhead ratio we used to correct this ministerial error, please see the *Memorandum from Robert A.*