

## DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE

## Antitrust Division

**Notice Pursuant to the National Cooperative Research and Production Act of 1993—PXI Systems Alliance, Inc.**

Notice is hereby given that, on February 11, 2002, pursuant to section 6(a) of the National Cooperative Research and Production Act of 1993, 15 U.S.C. 4301 *et seq.* ("the Act"), PXI Systems Alliance, Inc. has filed written notifications simultaneously with the Attorney General and the Federal Trade Commission disclosing changes in its membership status. The notifications were filed for the purpose of extending the Act's provisions limiting the recovery of antitrust plaintiffs to actual damages under specified circumstances. Specifically, Advanced Test Methods, Camberley, Surrey, UNITED KINGDOM; Datappli, Midland, MI; Data Patterns, West Mambalam, Chennai, INDIA; GTE-ERS, Ontario, CA; SBS Greenspring Computers, Newark, CA; Shaanxi Hitech, Xi'an, PEOPLE'S REPUBLIC OF CHINA; and Vero/APW Electronics, Southampton, Hampshire, UNITED KINGDOM have been dropped as parties to this venture.

No other changes have been made in either the membership or planned activity of the group research project. Membership in this group research project remains open, and PXI Systems Alliance, Inc. intends to file additional written notification disclosing all changes in membership.

On November 22, 2000, PXI Systems Alliance, Inc. filed its original notification pursuant to section 6(a) of the Act. The Department of Justice published a notice in the **Federal Register** pursuant to section 6(b) of the Act on March 8, 2001 (66 FR 13971).

The last notification was filed with the Department of August 20, 2001. A notice was published in the **Federal Register** pursuant to section 6(b) of the Act on September 25, 2001 (66 FR 49042).

**Constance K. Robinson,**  
*Director of Operations, Antitrust Division.*  
[FR Doc. 02-7283 Filed 3-26-02; 8:45 am]  
BILLING CODE 4410-11-M

## DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE

## Antitrust Division

**Notice Pursuant to the National Cooperative Research and Production Act of 1993—PXI Systems Alliance, Inc.**

Notice is hereby given that, on February 13, 2002, pursuant to section 6(a) of the National Cooperative Research and Production Act of 1993, 15 U.S.C. 4301 *et seq.* ("the Act"), PXI Systems Alliance, Inc. has filed written notifications simultaneously with the Attorney General and the Federal Trade Commission disclosing changes in its membership status. The notifications were filed for the purpose of extending the Act's provisions limiting the recovery of antitrust plaintiffs to actual damages under specified circumstances. Specifically, Ines GmbH, Leibnizstrasse, Bad Breisig, Germany has been added as a party to this venture.

No other changes have been made in either the membership or planned activity of the group research project. Membership in this group research project remains open, and PXI Systems Alliance, Inc. intends to file additional written notification disclosing all changes in membership.

On November 22, 2000, PXI Systems Alliance, Inc. filed its original notification pursuant to section 6(a) of the Act. The Department of Justice published a notice in the **Federal Register** pursuant to section 6(b) of the Act on March 8, 2001 (66 FR 13971).

The last notification was filed with the Department on February 11, 2002. A notice has not yet been published in the **Federal Register**.

**Constance K. Robinson,**  
*Director of Operations, Antitrust Division.*  
[FR Doc. 02-7284 Filed 3-26-02; 8:45 am]  
BILLING CODE 4410-11-M

## DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE

## Antitrust Division

**Notice Pursuant to the National Cooperative Research and Production Act of 1993—Spray Drift Task Force**

Notice is hereby given that, on February 26, 2002, pursuant to section 6(a) of the National Cooperative Research and Production Act of 1993, 15 U.S.C. 4301 *et seq.* ("the Act"), Spray Drift Task Force has filed written notifications simultaneously with the Attorney General and the Federal Trade Commission disclosing changes in its membership status. The notifications were filed for the purpose of extending

the Act's provisions limiting the recovery of antitrust plaintiffs to actual damages under specified circumstances. Specifically, Nissan Chemical Industries, Ltd., Tokyo, Japan has been added as a party to this venture. Also, Micro-Flo Company, Memphis, TN has been dropped as a party to this venture.

No other changes have been made in either the membership or planned activity of the group research project. Membership in this group research project remains open, and Spray Drift Task Force intends to file additional written notification disclosing all changes in membership.

On May 15, 1990, Spray Drift Task Force filed its original notification pursuant to section 6(a) of the Act. The Department of Justice published a notice in the **Federal Register** pursuant to section 6(b) of the Act on July 5, 1990 (55 FR 27701).

The last notification was filed with the Department on January 28, 2002. A notice has not yet been published in the **Federal Register**.

**Constance K. Robinson,**  
*Director of Operations, Antitrust Division.*  
[FR Doc. 02-7285 Filed 3-26-02; 8:45 am]  
BILLING CODE 4410-11-M

## DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE

## Drug Enforcement Administration

**Manufacture of Controlled Substances; Notice of Registration**

By Notice dated September 24, 2001, and published in the **Federal Register** on October 3, 2001 (66 FR 50454), Roche Diagnostics Corporation, ATTN: Regulatory Compliance, 9115 Hague Road, Indianapolis, Indiana 46250, made application by letter to the Drug Enforcement Administration (DEA) to be registered as a bulk manufacturer of alpramethadol (9605) a basic class of controlled substance listed in Schedule I.

Roche Diagnostics Corporation plans to manufacture small quantities of the above listed controlled substance for incorporation in drug of abuse detection kits.

No comments or objections have been received. DEA has considered the factors in Title 21, United States Code, section 823(a) and determined that the registration of Roche Diagnostics Corporation, is consistent with the public interest at this time. DEA has investigated Roche Diagnostics Corporation on a regular basis to ensure that the company's continued registration is consistent with the public interest. These investigations have