17. Nueces River Project, Texas:
Recalculate existing contract repayment schedule to conform with the provisions of the Emergency Drought Relief Act of 1996. The revised schedule is to reflect a 5-year deferment of payments.
Received approval of the BON from the Commissioner and a public notice has been printed in the Corpus Christi Caller-Times. Contract amendment for deferment and extension of repayment obligation has been executed.

25. Green Mountain Project, Colorado: Historic user pool surplus water for municipal recreation. This agreement is with the City of Grand Junction, City of Fruita, and the Town of Palisade. Contract has been executed.

32. Virginia L. and Earl K. Sauerwein (Individual), Shoshone Project, Buffalo Bill Dam, Wyoming: Exchange water service contract not to exceed 100 acrefeet of water to service 126 acres. Contract has been executed.

36. Tom Green County and Improvement District No. 1, San Angelo Project, Texas: The District has requested a deferment of its 2001 construction payment. Received approval of the BON and delegation of authority to execute an amendment for deferment of the 2001 construction charge installment from the Commissioner. A public notice has been printed in the San Angelo Times. Contract amendment for deferment of the 2001 repayment obligation has been executed.

Dated: July 31, 2001.

Elizabeth Cordova-Harrison,

Deputy Director, Office of Policy. [FR Doc. 01–19556 Filed 8–3–01; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4310-MN-P

INTERNATIONAL TRADE COMMISSION

[Investigation No. 337-TA-448]

Certain Oscillating Sprinklers, Sprinkler Components, and Nozzles; Notice of Commission Determination Not To Review an Initial Determination Terminating the Investigation as to One Respondent on the Basis of a Settlement Agreement

AGENCY: International Trade

Commission.

ACTION: Notice.

SUMMARY: Notice is hereby given that the U.S. International Trade Commission has determined not to review an initial determination ("ID") of the presiding administrative law judge ("ALJ") in the above-captioned investigation terminating the

investigation as to respondent Rain Bird Manufacturing Corporation ("Rain Bird") on the basis of a settlement agreement reached between complainant L.R. Nelson Corp. ("Nelson") and Rain Bird.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Laurent de Winter, Esq., Office of the General Counsel, U.S. International Trade Commission, 500 E Street, SW., Washington, DC 20436, telephone 202-708-5452. General information concerning the Commission may also be obtained by accessing its Internet server (http://www.usitc.gov). The public record for this investigation may be viewed on the Commission's electronic docket (EDIS-ON-Line) at http:// dockets.usitc.gov/eol.public. Hearingimpaired persons are advised that information on the matter can be obtained by contacting the

Commission's TDD terminal on 202-

205-1810.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: The Commission instituted this investigation, which concerns allegations of unfair acts in violation of section 337 of the Tariff Act of 1930 in the importation and sale of certain oscillating sprinklers, sprinkler components, and nozzles, on February 9, 2001. 66 FR 9721. On June 26, 2001, Nelson moved, pursuant to 19 U.S.C. 1337(c) and Commission rule 210.21(a), to terminate the investigation with respect to Rain Bird, asserting that it had reached a settlement agreement with Rain Bird regarding the alleged infringement of the patent in controversy, U.S. Letters Patent

On July 9, 2001, the presiding ALJ issued an ID (Order No. 11) terminating the investigation as to Rain Bird on the basis of the settlement agreement.

This action is taken under the authority of section 337 of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended (19 U.S.C. 1337), and Commission rule 210.42 (19 CFR 210.42).

Copies of the nonconfidential version of the ID and all other nonconfidential documents filed in connection with this investigation are available for inspection during official business hours (8:45 a.m. to 5:15 p.m.) in the Office of the Secretary, U.S. International Trade Commission, 500 E Street, SW., Washington, DC 20436, telephone 202–205–2000.

Issued: July 31, 2001. By order of the Commission.

Donna R. Koehnke,

Secretary.

[FR Doc. 01–19592 Filed 8–3–01; 8:45 am]
BILLING CODE 7020–02–P

DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE

Drug Enforcement Administration

In the Matter of Rosalind A. Cropper, M.D.; Grant of Application

On June 15, 1999, the Deputy Assistant Administrator, Office of Diversion Control, Drug Enforcement Administration (DEA), issued an Order to Show Cause to Rosalind A. Cropper, M.D. (Respondent), proposing to deny her pending application for a DEA Certificate of Registration in the State of Tennessee, pursuant to 21 U.S.C. 823(f), and revoke her DEA Certificate of Registration (BC0747381, as a practitioner in the State of Louisiana, under 21 U.S.C. 823(f), 824(a)(1) and 824(a)(4), on the grounds that her registration would be inconsistent with the public interest. The Order to Show Cause alleged, in substance that:

(1) Between September 1991 and May 1992, Respondent dispensed methadone, a Schedule II controlled substance, to drug-dependent persons for detoxification or maintenance treatment without being registered as a narcotic treatment program as required pursuant to 21 U.S.C. 823(g).

(2) Respondent entered into a Memorandum of Agreement (MOA) with DEA, effective between July 11, 1995, and July 10, 1998, in which she agreed to maintain a log of all methadone that she prescribed, dispensed, or administered and to send a copy of such log to the DEA New Orleans Field Division quarterly. In this MOA Respondent also agreed to notify DEA quarterly if she did not prescribe, dispense, or administer any methadone. While and after this MOA was in effect, Respondent failed to send any copies of any log or to otherwise notify DEA of any activity pertaining to her handling or not handling methadone.

(3) On April 22, 1992, the State of Louisiana Methadone Authority, Division of Alcohol and Drug Abuse, Office of Human Services, Department of Health and Hospitals (Methadone Authority) denied Respondent's application of September 12, 1991, to operate a Methadone Treatment Program.

(4) Respondent knew or should have know that DEA, effective May 10, 1995, denied her application, dated September 6, 1991, to be registered as a Narcotic Treatment Program pursuant to a final order issued by the DEA Deputy Administrator, 60 FR 18143 (1995).

(5) Respondent materially falsified an application for a DEA Certificate of Registration dated February 2, 1998, by indicating that she never had a Federal