

Advisory Council, which was established to provide guidance to the Secretary on long-term planning for Great Sand Dunes National Park and Preserve.

DATES: The meeting date is:

1. July 28, 2006, 9 a.m.–12 p.m., Mosca, Colorado.

ADDRESSES: The meeting location is:

1. Mosca, Colorado—Great Sand Dunes National Park and Preserve Visitor Center, 11999 Highway 150, Mosca, CO 81146.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

Steve Chaney, 719–378–6312.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: At the July 28 meeting, the National Park Service will share a summary and discuss the comments received during the review period for the draft General Management Plan, Wilderness Study and EIS. A public comment period will be held from 11:30 a.m. to 12 p.m.

Michael D. Snyder,

Regional Director.

[FR Doc. 06–6076 Filed 7–7–06; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4312–CL–M

DEPARTMENT OF THE INTERIOR

National Park Service

Selma to Montgomery National Historic Trail Advisory Council Notice of Meeting

Notice is hereby given in accordance with the Federal Advisory Committee Act, Public Law 92–463, that a meeting of the Selma to Montgomery National Historic Trail Advisory Council will be held Tuesday, July 18, 2006 at 9 a.m. until 3:30 p.m., at the Lowndes County Interpretive Center located at 7001 Highway 80 West, Hayneville, Alabama. The Selma to Montgomery National Historic Trail Advisory Council was established pursuant to Public Law 100–192 establishing the Selma to Montgomery National Historic Trail. This Council was established to advise the National Park Service on such issues as preservation of trail routes and features, public use, standards for posting and maintaining trail markers, and administrative matters.

The matters to be discussed include:

(A) Welcome New Members.

(B) Update on Lowndes County IC.

(C) Update on other Interpretive Sites.

The meeting will be open to the public. However, facilities and space accommodating members of the public are limited and persons will be accommodated for first come, first serve basis. Anyone may file a written statement with Catherine F. Light, Trail

Superintendent concerning the matters to be discussed.

Persons wishing further information concerning this meeting may contact Catherine F. Light, Trail Superintendent, Selma to Montgomery National Historic Trail, at 334–727–6390 (phone), 334–727–4597 (fax) or mail 1212 Old Montgomery Road, Tuskegee Institute, Alabama 36088.

Dated: June 21, 2006.

Shirley T. Streeter,

Administrative Officer, Selma to Montgomery NHT.

[FR Doc. 06–6075 Filed 7–7–06; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4310–04–M

INTERNATIONAL TRADE COMMISSION

In the Matter of Certain Power Supply Controllers and Products Containing Same; Notice of Commission Determination Not To Review a Final Initial Determination of Violation of Section 337; Schedule for Filing Written Submissions on Remedy, the Public Interest, and Bonding

AGENCY: U.S. International Trade Commission

ACTION: Notice.

SUMMARY: Notice is hereby given that the U.S. International Trade Commission has determined not to review the Administrative Law Judge's ("ALJ") final Initial Determination ("ID") finding a violation of section 337. Notice is further given that the Commission is requesting briefing on remedy, public interest, and bonding with respect to the respondent found in violation.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

Michelle Walters, Esq., Office of the General Counsel, U.S. International Trade Commission, 500 E Street, SW., Washington, DC 20436, telephone (202) 708–5468. Copies of non-confidential documents filed in connection with this investigation are or will be available for inspection during official business hours (8:45 a.m. to 5:15 p.m.) in the Office of the Secretary, U.S. International Trade Commission, 500 E Street, SW., Washington, DC 20436, telephone (202) 205–2000. General information concerning the Commission may also be obtained by accessing its Internet server at <http://www.usitc.gov>. The public record for this investigation may be viewed on Commission's electronic docket (EDIS) at <http://www.usitc.gov/secretary/edis.htm>. Hearing-impaired persons are advised that information on this matter can be

obtained by contacting the Commission's ADD terminal on (202) 205–1810.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: On June 13, 2005, the Commission instituted this investigation, based on a complaint filed by Power Integrations, Inc. ("PI") of San Jose, California. The complaint, as amended and supplemented, alleges violations of section 337 of the Tariff Act of 1930 (19 U.S. 1337) in the importation into the United States, the sale for importation, and the sale within the United States after importation of certain power supply controllers and products containing the same by reason of infringement of claims 1–3, 6, 9, and 17–19 of United States Patent No. 6,212,079; claims 1–3, 5, 6, 24, 28, and 29 of United States Patent No. 6,351,398 ("the '398 patent"; claims 8 and 12 of United States Patent No. 6,366,481; and claims 1, 4, 9–11, 12, 17, 19, 20, 22, 23, 26, 27, 30, 31, and 34 of United States Patent No. 6,538,908 ("the '908 patent"). During the investigation, the Commission allowed PI to terminate the investigation with regard to several claims, leaving only claims 1, 3, 5, and 6 of the '398 patent and claims 26 and 27 of the '908 patent in this investigation. The complaint named a single respondent, System General Corporation ("SG").

On May 15, 2006, the ALJ issued a final ID, including his recommended determination on remedy and bonding. In his ID, the ALJ found that SG's accused products infringe claims 1, 3, 5, and 6 of the '398 patent and claims 26 and 27 of the '908 patent. Moreover, he concluded that these claims are not invalid for anticipation under 35 U.S.C. 102 and that the '398 patent and the '908 patent are not unenforceable due to inequitable conduct. Finally, the ALJ concluded that PI proved that there is a domestic industry in the United States with respect to both patents. As a result, the ALJ recommended issuing a limited exclusion order directed to infringing power supply controllers produced by SG, as well as certain downstream products containing these controllers.

On May 26, 2006, respondent SG filed a petition for review, challenging various aspects of the ALL's final ID. On June 5, 2006, PI and the Commission investigative attorney separately filed responses to SG's petition for review, asserting that the ALJ properly determined that there was a violation of section 337 with regard to the asserted claims.

Having examined the record of this investigation, including the ALL's final ID, the petitions for review, and the responses thereto, the Commission has