

administrative review of HWR from Korea.¹ As reflected in the *Final Results*, Commerce calculated a weighted-average dumping margin of 20.79 percent for DOSCO and 12.81 percent Kukje Steel, one of the companies receiving the review-specific average rate.²

DOSCO and Kukje Steel appealed Commerce's *Final Results* to the CIT. On September 29, 2020, the CIT remanded for Commerce to explain or reconsider its finding of a particular market situation (PMS) in the Korean market for the hot-rolled coil input and its application of a PMS adjustment to the respondents' cost of production (COP).³ On December 22, 2020, Commerce issued the First Remand Results, in which, under protest, it determined that there is no PMS that distorts the COP of HWR and recalculated the weighted-average dumping margin for DOSCO without the PMS adjustment to the COP for the sales-below-cost test.⁴ As a result, Commerce calculated a revised weighted-average dumping margin for DOSCO of 11.00 percent. Moreover, as a result of Commerce's recalculation of the weighted-average dumping margin for DOSCO, Commerce revised the review-specific average rate applied to Kukje Steel to 7.89 percent.

On June 24, 2021, the CIT sustained Commerce's Final Remand Results.⁵

Timken Notice

In its decision in *Timken*,⁶ as clarified by *Diamond Sawblades*,⁷ the Court of Appeals for the Federal Circuit held that, pursuant to section 516A(c) and (e) of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended (the Act), Commerce must publish a notice of a court decision that is not "in harmony" with a Commerce determination and must suspend liquidation of entries pending a "conclusive" court decision.⁸ The CIT's June 24, 2021 judgment in this case

constitutes a final decision of that court that is not in harmony with Commerce's *Final Results*. This notice is published in fulfillment of the publication requirements of *Timken*.

Amended Final Results

Because there is now a final court decision, Commerce is amending its *Final Results* with respect to the weighted-average dumping margins for DOSCO and Kukje Steel.⁹ The revised weighted-average dumping margins are as follows:

Exporter/producer	Weighted-average dumping margin (percent)
Dong A-Steel Company	11.00
Kukje Steel Co., Ltd	7.89

Cash Deposit Requirements

Because DOSCO and Kukje Steel have a superseding cash deposit rate, *i.e.*, there have been final results published in a subsequent administrative review, we will not issue revised cash deposit instructions to U.S. Customs and Border Protection (CBP). This notice will not affect the current cash deposit rate.

Liquidation of Suspended Entries

During the pendency of litigation, including any appeal, Commerce remains enjoined by Court order from liquidating entries: (1) Produced and/or exported by Dong-A Steel Company or Kukje Steel Co., Ltd.; (2) the subject of the *Final Results*; (3) entered, or were withdrawn from warehouse, for consumption on or after March 1, 2016, up to and including August 27, 2016, and on or after September 12, 2016, up to and including August 31, 2017; and (4) remain unliquidated as of the date the Court issued the applicable statutory injunction. These entries will remain enjoined pursuant to the terms of the injunction during the pendency of any appeals process.

In the event that the CIT's final judgment is not appealed or, if appealed, is upheld by a final and conclusive court decision, Commerce

will instruct CBP to assess antidumping duties on unliquidated entries of subject merchandise produced and/or exported by DOSCO and Kukje Steel, in accordance with 19 CFR 351.212(b) and the Final Remand Results. We will instruct CBP to assess antidumping duties on all appropriate entries covered by this review when the importer-specific *ad valorem* assessment rate is not zero or *de minimis*. Where an import-specific *ad valorem* assessment rate is zero or *de minimis*,¹⁰ we will instruct CBP to liquidate the appropriate entries without regard to antidumping duties.

Consistent with Commerce's assessment practice, for entries of subject merchandise during the POR produced by DOSCO or Kukje Steel for which they did not know that the merchandise was destined for the United States, we will instruct CBP to liquidate unreviewed entries at the all others rate if there is no rate for the intermediate company(ies) involved in the transaction.¹¹

Notification to Interested Parties

This notice is issued and published in accordance with sections 516A(c) and (e) and 777(i)(1) of the Act.

Dated: June 25, 2021.

James Maeder,

Deputy Assistant Secretary for Antidumping and Countervailing Duty Operations.

[FR Doc. 2021-14048 Filed 6-30-21; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 3510-DS-P

DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

International Trade Administration

Initiation of Five-Year (Sunset) Reviews

AGENCY: Enforcement and Compliance, International Trade Administration, Department of Commerce.

SUMMARY: In accordance with the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended (the Act), the Department of Commerce (Commerce) is automatically initiating the five-year reviews (Sunset Reviews) of the antidumping and countervailing duty (AD/CVD) order(s) and suspended investigation(s) listed below. The International Trade Commission (the ITC) is publishing concurrently with this notice its notice of *Institution of Five-Year Reviews* which covers the same order(s) and suspended investigation(s).

¹⁰ See 19 CFR 351.106(c)(2).

¹¹ For a full discussion of this practice, see *Antidumping and Countervailing Duty Proceedings: Assessment of Antidumping Duties*, 68 FR 23954 (May 6, 2003).

¹ See *Heavy Walled Rectangular Welded Carbon Steel Pipes and Tubes from the Republic of Korea: Final Results of Antidumping Duty Administrative Review and Final Determination of No Shipments; 2016-2017*, 84 FR 24471 (May 28, 2019) (*Final Results*).

² *Id.* at 24472.

³ See *Dong-A Steel Company v. United States*, 475 F. Supp. 3d 1317 (CIT 2020) (*Remand Order*).

⁴ See *Final Results of Redetermination Pursuant to Court Remand*, Consol. Court No. 19-00104, dated December 21, 2020 (*Final Remand Results*) at 1.

⁵ See *Dong-A Steel Company v. United States*, Slip Op. 21-79, Consol. Court No. 19-00104 (CIT 2021) (*DOSCO*).

⁶ See *Timken Co. v. United States*, 893 F.2d 337, 341 (Fed. Cir. 1990) (*Timken*).

⁷ See *Diamond Sawblades Mfrs. Coal. v. United States*, 626 F.3d 1374 (Fed. Cir. 2010) (*Diamond Sawblades*).

⁸ See sections 516A(c) and (e) of the Act.

⁹ See section 735(c)(5)(A) of the Act; see also *Heavy Walled Rectangular Welded Carbon Steel Pipes and Tubes from the Republic of Korea: Preliminary Results of Antidumping Duty Administrative Review and Preliminary Determination of No Shipments; 2016-2017*, 83 FR 50892 (October 10, 2018), and accompanying Preliminary Decision Memorandum at 4 (explaining the method for determining the rate applied to companies not selected for individual examination), method unchanged in the *Final Results*; and Memorandum, "Calculation of the Review-Specific Average Rate for the Final Results of Redetermination," dated December 21, 2020.

DATES: Applicable July 1, 2021.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

Commerce official identified in the *Initiation of Review* section below at AD/CVD Operations, Enforcement and Compliance, International Trade Administration, U.S. Department of Commerce, 1401 Constitution Avenue NW, Washington, DC 20230. For information from the ITC, contact Mary Messer, Office of Investigations, U.S. International Trade Commission at (202) 205-3193.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

Background

Commerce's procedures for the conduct of Sunset Reviews are set forth in its *Procedures for Conducting Five-Year (Sunset) Reviews of Antidumping and Countervailing Duty Orders*, 63 FR 13516 (March 20, 1998) and 70 FR 62061 (October 28, 2005). Guidance on methodological or analytical issues relevant to Commerce's conduct of Sunset Reviews is set forth in *Antidumping Proceedings: Calculation*

of the Weighted-Average Dumping Margin and Assessment Rate in Certain Antidumping Duty Proceedings; Final Modification, 77 FR 8101 (February 14, 2012).

Initiation of Review

In accordance with section 751(c) of the Act and 19 CFR 351.218(c), we are initiating the Sunset Reviews of the following antidumping and countervailing duty order(s) and suspended investigation(s):

DOC case No.	ITC case No.	Country	Product	Commerce contact
A-351-602	731-TA-308	Brazil	Carbon Steel Butt-Weld Pipe Fittings (5th Review)	Mary Kolberg, (202) 482-1785.
A-570-814	731-TA-502	China	Carbon Steel Butt-Weld Pipe Fittings (5th Review)	Mary Kolberg, (202) 482-1785.
A-570-028	731-TA-1279	China	Hydrofluorocarbon Blends and Components Thereof (1st Review).	Mary Kolberg, (202) 482-1785.
A-570-506	731-TA-298	China	Porcelain-on-Steel Cooking Ware (5th Review)	Thomas Martin, (202) 482-3936.
A-588-602	731-TA-309	Japan	Carbon Steel Butt-Weld Pipe Fittings (5th Review)	Mary Kolberg, (202) 482-1785.
A-588-843	731-TA-771	Japan	Stainless Steel Wire Rod (4th Review)	Mary Kolberg, (202) 482-1785.
A-580-829	731-TA-772	South Korea	Stainless Steel Wire Rod (4th Review)	Mary Kolberg, (202) 482-1785.
A-583-605	731-TA-310	Taiwan	Carbon Steel Butt-Weld Pipe Fittings (5th Review)	Mary Kolberg, (202) 482-1785.
A-583-828	731-TA-775	Taiwan	Stainless Steel Wire Rod (4th Review)	Mary Kolberg, (202) 482-1785.
A-549-807	731-TA-521	Thailand	Carbon Steel Butt-Weld Pipe Fittings (5th Review)	Mary Kolberg, (202) 482-1785.

Filing Information

As a courtesy, we are making information related to sunset proceedings, including copies of the pertinent statute and Commerce's regulations, Commerce's schedule for Sunset Reviews, a listing of past revocations and continuations, and current service lists, available to the public on Commerce's website at the following address: <https://enforcement.trade.gov/sunset/>. All submissions in these Sunset Reviews must be filed in accordance with Commerce's regulations regarding format, translation, and service of documents. These rules, including electronic filing requirements via Enforcement and Compliance's Antidumping and Countervailing Duty Centralized Electronic Service System (ACCESS), can be found at 19 CFR 351.303.

In accordance with section 782(b) of the Act, any party submitting factual information in an AD/CVD proceeding must certify to the accuracy and completeness of that information. Parties must use the certification formats provided in 19 CFR 351.303(g). Commerce intends to reject factual submissions if the submitting party does not comply with applicable revised certification requirements.

Letters of Appearance and Administrative Protective Orders

Pursuant to 19 CFR 351.103(d), Commerce will maintain and make available a public service list for these

proceedings. Parties wishing to participate in any of these five-year reviews must file letters of appearance as discussed at 19 CFR 351.103(d). To facilitate the timely preparation of the public service list, it is requested that those seeking recognition as interested parties to a proceeding submit an entry of appearance within 10 days of the publication of the Notice of Initiation. Because deadlines in Sunset Reviews can be very short, we urge interested parties who want access to proprietary information under administrative protective order (APO) to file an APO application immediately following publication in the **Federal Register** of this notice of initiation. Commerce's regulations on submission of proprietary information and eligibility to receive access to business proprietary information under APO can be found at 19 CFR 351.304-306. Note that Commerce has temporarily modified certain of its requirements for serving documents containing business proprietary information, until further notice.¹

Information Required From Interested Parties

Domestic interested parties, as defined in section 771(9)(C), (D), (E), (F), and (G) of the Act and 19 CFR 351.102(b), wishing to participate in a Sunset Review must respond not later

than 15 days after the date of publication in the **Federal Register** of this notice of initiation by filing a notice of intent to participate. The required contents of the notice of intent to participate are set forth at 19 CFR 351.218(d)(1)(ii). In accordance with Commerce's regulations, if we do not receive a notice of intent to participate from at least one domestic interested party by the 15-day deadline, Commerce will automatically revoke the order without further review.²

If we receive an order-specific notice of intent to participate from a domestic interested party, Commerce's regulations provide that *all parties* wishing to participate in a Sunset Review must file complete substantive responses not later than 30 days after the date of publication in the **Federal Register** of this notice of initiation. The required contents of a substantive response, on an order-specific basis, are set forth at 19 CFR 351.218(d)(3). Note that certain information requirements differ for respondent and domestic parties. Also, note that Commerce's information requirements are distinct from the ITC's information requirements. Consult Commerce's regulations for information regarding Commerce's conduct of Sunset Reviews. Consult Commerce's regulations at 19 CFR part 351 for definitions of terms and for other general information concerning antidumping and

¹ See *Temporary Rule Modifying AD/CVD Service Requirements Due to COVID-19*, 85 FR 41363 (July 10, 2020).

² See 19 CFR 351.218(d)(1)(iii).

countervailing duty proceedings at Commerce.

This notice of initiation is being published in accordance with section 751(c) of the Act and 19 CFR 351.218(c).

Dated: June 16, 2021.

James Maeder,

Deputy Assistant Secretary for Antidumping and Countervailing Duty Operations.

[FR Doc. 2021-14111 Filed 6-30-21; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 3510-DS-P

DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration

Draft Revised Management Plan for the Mission-Aransas National Estuarine Research Reserve

AGENCY: Office for Coastal Management (OCM), National Ocean Service (NOS), National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration (NOAA), U.S. Department of Commerce (DOC).

ACTION: Request for comments on draft revised management plan.

SUMMARY: The National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration (NOAA) is soliciting comments from the public regarding a proposed revision of the management plan for the Mission-Aransas National Estuarine Research Reserve. The management plan provides a framework for the direction and timing of a reserve's programs; allows reserve managers to assess a reserve's success in meeting its goals and to identify any necessary changes in direction; and is used to guide programmatic evaluations of the reserve. Plan revisions are required of each reserve in the National Estuarine Research Reserve System at least every five years. This revised plan is intended to replace the plan approved in 2015.

DATES: Comments must be received on or before August 2, 2021.

ADDRESSES: Comments may be submitted by:

- *Electronic Submission:* Submit all electronic public comments by email to matt.chasse@noaa.gov and jace.tunnell@austin.utexas.edu. Include "Comments on draft Mission-Aransas Management Plan" in the subject line of the message.

Instructions: The draft revised management plan can be downloaded or viewed at: <http://missionaransas.org/about/management-plan>. The document is also available by sending a written request to the point of contact identified below under **FOR FURTHER INFORMATION**.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Matt Chasse, NOAA Office for Coastal

Management, matt.chasse@noaa.gov, or 410-570-1020.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

Background

Pursuant to 15 CFR 921.33(c), a state must revise the management plan for the research reserve at least every five years. If approved by NOAA, the Mission-Aransas Reserve's revised plan will replace the plan previously approved in 2015.

The draft revised management plan outlines strategic goals and objectives and the administrative structure, as well as programs or plans for conducting research and environmental monitoring, education, and training; volunteer management, communications, and resource protection; public access and visitor use; restoration and resource manipulation; and considerations for future land acquisition and facility development to support reserve operations.

In particular, this draft plan describes how reserve programs will address specific goals. These goals include the advancement and dissemination of scientific knowledge about Texas coastal ecosystems; increasing the understanding, appreciation, and stewardship of coastal ecosystems; the conservation, protection, and restoration of Texas coastal habitats and wildlife; establishing and nurturing partnerships to promote and advance coastal research, management, and community resiliency and literacy; recognition of staff and volunteer contributions; and maintaining strong facilities that build capacity and enrich programs.

The revised management plan builds upon past successes and accomplishments and is designed to address specific priority coastal management issues. The priority issues for research and monitoring include marine debris, industrial growth impacts, eDNA, freshwater inflow, biological monitoring, and sea level rise and coastal subsidence. For education and training, priorities to be addressed include connecting children and nature; outdoor education programming, climate change and its effects on coastal environments; coastal ecology and habitat diversity; marine debris and its impacts on the coastal environment; and stewardship of estuarine and coastal resources. These issues align with the 2017-2022 National Estuarine Research Reserve System's strategic plan.

Since its inception, this reserve has engaged in strategic partnerships with its land managing partners and others based on mutual interests. These partnerships are expected to be maintained or expanded through the

revised management plan including reserve administration of the Amos Rehabilitation Keep (ARK), providing animal rehabilitation services for species endemic to the estuary. The reserve is also planning to maintain and improve reserve facilities including Fennessey Ranch, the Bay Education Center, the ARK, and the Patton Marine Science Education Center. Additionally, no boundaries changes are incorporated into the revised management plan. The revised management plan, once approved, would serve as the guiding document for the 186,189-acre research reserve for the next five years.

NOAA's Office for Coastal Management analyzes the environmental impacts of the proposed approval of this draft revised management plan in accordance with section 102(2)(C) of the National Environmental Policy Act of 1969, as amended, 42 U.S.C. 4332(2)(C), and the Council on Environmental Quality Regulations for Implementing the Procedural Provisions of NEPA (40 CFR 1500-1508). The public is invited to comment on the draft revised management plan. NOAA will take these comments into consideration in deciding whether to approve the draft revised management plan in whole or in part.

Authority: 16 U.S.C. 1451 *et seq.*; 15 CFR 921.33.

Keelin S. Kuipers,

Deputy Director, Office for Coastal Management, National Ocean Service, National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration.

[FR Doc. 2021-14042 Filed 6-30-21; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 3510-NK-P

DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration

[RTID 0648-XB204]

Marine Mammals; File No. 25761

AGENCY: National Marine Fisheries Service (NMFS), National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration (NOAA), Commerce.

ACTION: Notice; receipt of application.

SUMMARY: Notice is hereby given that Earthscape Productions, Ltd., St Stephens Avenue, Bristol, BS1 1YL, United Kingdom, (Responsible Party: Tina Razdan), has applied in due form for a permit to conduct commercial or educational photography on pinnipeds.