

general, special, or runoff election, or primary or preference election, or nominating convention or caucus.

(B) *References to Presidential and Vice Presidential candidates.* The public communication refers to a clearly identified Presidential or Vice Presidential candidate and is publicly distributed or otherwise publicly disseminated in a jurisdiction during the period of time beginning 120 days before the clearly identified candidate's primary or preference election in that jurisdiction, or nominating convention or caucus in that jurisdiction, up to and including the day of the general election.

(3) The communication satisfies at least one of the conduct standards in 11 CFR 109.21(d)(1) through (d)(6), subject to the provisions of 11 CFR 109.21(e), (g), and (h). A candidate's response to an inquiry about that candidate's positions on legislative or policy issues, but not including a discussion of campaign plans, projects, activities, or needs, does not satisfy any of the conduct standards in 11 CFR 109.21(d)(1) through (d)(6).

Notwithstanding paragraph (b)(1) of this section, the candidate with whom a party coordinated communication is coordinated does not receive or accept an in-kind contribution, and is not required to report an expenditure that results from conduct described in 11 CFR 109.21(d)(4) or (d)(5), unless the candidate, authorized committee, or an agent of any of the foregoing, engages in conduct described in 11 CFR 109.21(d)(1) through (d)(3).

\* \* \* \* \*

Dated: June 2, 2006.

**Michael E. Toner,**

*Chairman, Federal Election Commission.*

[FR Doc. 06-5195 Filed 6-7-06; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6715-01-P

## DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

### Bureau of Industry and Security

#### 15 CFR Parts 736 and 744

[Docket No. 060531141-6141-01]

RIN: 0694-AD76

#### Correction to General Order Concerning Mayrow General Trading and Related Entities

**AGENCY:** Bureau of Industry and Security, Commerce.

**ACTION:** Final rule; Correction

**SUMMARY:** The Bureau of Industry and Security is correcting a final rule that

appeared in the **Federal Register** on June 5, 2006 (71 FR 32272). This rule corrects an inadvertent error in the telephone number listed in the **FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:** section of the preamble.

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:** [Corrected] Michael D. Turner, Director, Office of Export Enforcement, Bureau of Industry and Security, Department of Commerce, P.O. Box 273, Washington, DC 20044; Phone: (202) 482-1208, x3; E-mail: [rp22@bis.doc.gov](mailto:rp22@bis.doc.gov); Fax: (202) 482-0964.

**Eileen Albanese,**

*Director, Office of Export Services.*

[FR Doc. E6-8961 Filed 6-7-06; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 3510-33-P

## DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

### National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration

#### 15 CFR Part 902

#### 50 CFR Part 648

[Docket No. 060314069-6138-002; I.D. 030306B]

RIN 0648-AT25

#### Fisheries of the Northeastern United States; Atlantic Sea Scallop Fishery; Framework 18

**AGENCY:** National Marine Fisheries Service (NMFS), National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration (NOAA), Commerce.

**ACTION:** Final rule.

**SUMMARY:** This final rule implements Framework Adjustment 18 (Framework 18) to the Atlantic Sea Scallop Fishery Management Plan (FMP), which was developed by the New England Fishery Management Council (Council). The following management measures are implemented by this rule: Scallop fishery specifications for 2006 and 2007 (open area days-at-sea (DAS) and Scallop Access Area trip allocations); scallop Area Rotation Program adjustments; and revisions to management measures that would improve administration of the FMP. In addition, a seasonal closure of the Elephant Trunk Access Area (ETAA) is implemented to reduce potential interactions between the scallop fishery and sea turtles, and to reduce finfish and scallop bycatch mortality.

**DATES:** Effective June 15, 2006.

**ADDRESSES:** Copies of Framework 18, the Regulatory Impact Review (RIR), including the Initial Regulatory

Flexibility Analysis (IRFA), and the Environmental Assessment (EA) are available on request from Paul J. Howard, Executive Director, New England Fishery Management Council (Council), 50 Water Street, Newburyport, MA 01950. These documents are also available online at <http://www.nefmc.org>. NMFS prepared a Final Regulatory Flexibility Analysis (FRFA), which is contained in the Classification section of the preamble of this rule. Copies of the FRFA and the Small Entity Compliance Guide are available from the Regional Administrator, Northeast Regional Office, NMFS, One Blackburn Drive, Gloucester, MA 01930-2298, and are also available via the internet at <http://www.nero.nmfs.gov>.

Written comments regarding the burden-hour estimates or other aspects of the collection-of-information requirements contained in this rule should be submitted to the Regional Administrator at One Blackburn Drive, Gloucester, MA, 01930, and by e-mail to [David\\_Rostker@omb.eop.gov](mailto:David_Rostker@omb.eop.gov), or to the Federal e-rulemaking portal <http://www.regulations.gov>, or fax to (202) 395-7285.

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:** Peter W. Christopher, Fishery Policy Analyst, 978-281-9288; fax 978-281-9135.

#### SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

##### Background

The Council adopted Framework 18 to the Atlantic Sea Scallop FMP on November 17, 2005, and submitted it to NMFS on December 16, 2005, for review and approval. Framework 18 was developed and adopted by the Council to meet the FMP's requirement to adjust biennially the management measures for the scallop fishery. The FMP requires the biennial adjustments to ensure that measures meet the target fishing mortality rate (F) and other goals of the FMP and achieve optimum yield (OY) from the scallop resource on a continuing basis. A proposed rule for Framework 18 was published on March 30, 2006 (71 FR 16091). The public comment period for the proposed rule ended on April 14, 2006. This rule implements management measures for the 2006 and 2007 fishing years, which are described in detail below.

##### Approved Management Measures

In the proposed rule, NMFS requested comments on all proposed management measures, and specifically highlighted a provision relating to the harvest of research set-aside from within an Access Area if the yellowtail flounder