1016TH—MEETING—Continued

Item No.	Docket No.	Company
E-10 E-11 E-12 E-13 E-14 E-15	ES15-14-000	NorthWestern Corporation. Entergy Services, Inc. Entergy Services, Inc. Avista Corporation. Southwest Power Pool, Inc.
Gas		
G–1	RP13-436-001	CAlifornians for Renewable Energy, Inc. Michael E. Boyd. Robert M. Sarvey v. Pacific Gas and Electric Company.
Hydro		
H–3	OMITTED. P-2169-109 RM15-18-000 P-1892-027, P-1855-046, P-1904-074	Brookfield Smoky Mountain Hydropower, LLC. Commencement of Assessment of Annual Charges. TransCanada Hydro Northeast Inc.
Certificates		
C-1 C-2 C-3 C-4 C-5 C-6	OMITTED.	Impulsora Pipeline, LLC. Paiute Pipeline Company. Algonquin Gas Transmission, LLC. Roadrunner Gas Transmission, LLC. Black Hills Shoshone Pipeline, LLC. Energy West Development, Inc.

Issued: May 7, 2015.

Nathaniel J. Davis, Sr.,

Deputy Secretary.

703-993-3100.

A free webcast of this event is available through www.ferc.gov. Anyone with Internet access who desires to view this event can do so by navigating to www.ferc.gov's Calendar of Events and locating this event in the Calendar. The event will contain a link to its webcast. The Capitol Connection provides technical support for the free webcasts. It also offers access to this event via television in the DC area and via phone bridge for a fee. If you have any questions, visit www.CapitolConnection.org or contact Danelle Springer or David Reininger at

Immediately following the conclusion of the Commission Meeting, a press briefing will be held in the Commission Meeting Room. Members of the public may view this briefing in the designated overflow room. This statement is intended to notify the public that the press briefings that follow Commission meetings may now be viewed remotely at Commission headquarters, but will not be telecast through the Capitol Connection service.

[FR Doc. 2015–11509 Filed 5–8–15; 11:15 am]

BILLING CODE 6717-01-P

DEPARTMENT OF ENERGY

Federal Energy Regulatory Commission

[Docket No. EL13-88-000]

Northern Indiana Public Service Company v. Midcontinent Independent System Operator, Inc. PJM Interconnection, L.L.C.; Notice of Technical Conference

On September 11, 2013, Northern Indiana Public Service Company (NIPSCO) filed a complaint against Midcontinent Independent System Operator, Inc. (MISO) and PJM Interconnection, L.L.C. (PJM). NIPSCO requested that the Commission order MISO and PJM to reform the interregional transmission planning process of the Joint Operating Agreement between MISO and PJM (MISO-PJM JOA).1 On December 18, 2014, the Commission issued an order directing Commission staff to convene a technical conference to explore issues raised in the NIPSCO Complaint related to the MISO-PJM JOA and the MISO-PJM seam.² Take notice that such conference will be held on June 15,

2015, at the Commission's headquarters at 888 First Street NE., Washington, DC 20426, between 9:00 a.m. and 4:00 p.m. (Eastern Time) in the Commission Meeting Room. The technical conference will be led by Commission staff and may be attended by one or more Commissioners.

The technical conference will not be transcribed. However, there will be a free webcast of the conference. The webcast will allow persons to listen to the technical conference, but not participate. Anyone with Internet access who wants to listen to the conference can do so by navigating to the Calendar of Events at www.ferc.gov and locating the technical conference in the Calendar. The technical conference will contain a link to its webcast. The Capitol Connection provides technical support for the webcast and offers the option of listening to the meeting via phone-bridge for a fee. If you have any questions, visit www.CapitolConnection.org or call 703-993 - 3100.3

Advance registration is required for all attendees. Attendees may register in advance at the following Web page: https://www.ferc.gov/whats-new/registration/06-15-15-form.asp.
Attendees should allow time to pass

 $^{^1\}mathrm{NIPSCO}$ Complaint, Docket No. EL13–88–000 (filed Sept. 11, 2013).

² Northern Indiana Public Service Co. v. Midcontinent Indep. Sys. Operator, Inc. and PJM Interconnection, L.L.C., 149 FERC ¶ 61,248, at P 35 (2014).

³ The webcast will continue to be available on the Calendar of Events on the Commission's Web site www.ferc.gov for three months after the conference.

through building security procedures before the 9:00 a.m. (Eastern Time) start time of the technical conference. In addition, information on this event will be posted on the Calendar of Events on the Commission's Web site, www.ferc.gov, prior to the event.

Those wishing to be panelists in the program for this event should nominate themselves through the on-line registration form no later than close of business May 18, 2015 at the following Web page, https://www.ferc.gov/whatsnew/registration/06-15-15-speakerform.asp. At this Web page, please identify the name(s) of the person(s) wishing to be a panelist and which issue(s) below you wish to discuss. Panelists will be selected to ensure relevant topics and to accommodate time constraints. Other attendees may ask questions or make comments as time permits.

Commission conferences are accessible under section 508 of the Rehabilitation Act of 1973. For accessibility accommodations please send an email to accessibility@ferc.gov or call toll free 1–866–208–3372 (voice) or 202–502 -8659 (TTY); or send a fax to 202–208–2106 with the required accommodations.

The technical conference will consist of five sessions and focus on the issues raised by NIPSCO in the Complaint, as detailed below. The times given below are approximate and may change, as needed. As time permits, at the end of each session staff will open the floor for questions and comments from attendees.

Conference Introduction: Commission Staff (9:00 a.m.-9:15 a.m.) Session 1: Transmission Planning Cycles (9:15 a.m.-10:15 a.m.)

Panelists should be prepared to discuss the issue of whether the Commission should require the MISO-PJM cross-border transmission planning process to run concurrently with the MISO and PJM regional transmission planning cycles, rather than after those regional transmission planning cycles, and to answer questions including, but not limited to, the following: How could MISO and PJM modify their regional transmission planning cycles to better align with the MISO-PJM cross-border transmission planning process? What impact would this have on other regional and interregional transmission planning cycles (i.e., neighboring regions)? Should MISO and PJM use the same planning deadlines to achieve regional benefits from coordination of the cross-border transmission planning process? Discussion of these issues should focus on the MISO-PJM seam in

general, and NIPSCO's location on the seam in particular.

Session 2: Modeling and Criteria (10:15 a.m.–11:15 a.m.)

Panelists should be prepared to describe the existing joint interregional transmission planning model used to evaluate cross-border transmission projects and to answer questions including, but not limited to, the following: Are changes needed to this existing model or the assumptions used to enable coordinated study of proposed cross-border transmission projects? Should the Commission require MISO and PJM to use a single common set of criteria to evaluate cross-border transmission projects as proposed by NIPSCO in the Complaint? In addition to the benefit metric, what other metrics do PJM and MISO consider in the evaluation of cross-border transmission projects? Should the Commission require that there be consistency between PJM's and MISO's regional transmission planning analyses such that both entities are consistent in their application of reliability criteria and modeling assumptions as proposed by NIPSCO in the Complaint? Discussion of these issues should focus on the MISO-PJM seam in general, and NIPSCO's location on the seam in particular.

Lunch Break: (11:15 a.m.-12:15 p.m.) Session 3: Market-to-Market Payments (12:15 p.m.-1:30 p.m.)

Panelists should be prepared to answer questions including, but not limited to, the following: Should the Commission require MISO and PJM to amend the criteria to evaluate crossborder market efficiency transmission projects to address all known benefits, including avoidance of future market-tomarket payments made to reallocate short-term transmission capacity in the real-time operation of the system as proposed by NIPSCO in the Complaint? Have MISO, PJM, and the market monitors identified trends in market-tomarket payments that may be relevant to NIPSCO's position along the PJM-MISO seam? Is there a relationship between the cross-border transmission planning process (and evaluation of potential interregional transmission projects) and persistent market-to-market payments being made between the RTOs? Are persistent market-to-market payments an indicator of the need for new transmission? Please provide examples of transmission projects that have been considered under the existing crossborder transmission planning process for the purpose of mitigating congestion and/or constraints that lead to persistent market-to-market payments but that

have not been developed, and the reasons the transmission project was not developed.

Break: (1:30 p.m.–1:45 p.m.)
Session 4: Lower Voltage Transmission
Projects (1:45 p.m.–2:45 p.m.)

Panelists should be prepared to discuss the issue of whether the Commission should require MISO and PJM to have a process for joint transmission planning and cost allocation of lower voltage and lower cost cross-border upgrades, as proposed by NIPSCO in the Complaint, and to answer questions including, but not limited to, the following: How would a lower voltage criteria align with current regional cost allocation methods? Are lower voltage transmission projects expected to provide region-wide or local benefits? Discussion of these issues should focus on the MISO-PIM seam in general, and NIPSCO's location on the seam in particular.

Session 5: Generator Interconnections and Retirements (2:45 p.m.-3:45 p.m.)

Panelists should be prepared to discuss the issue of whether the Commission should require MISO and PJM to improve the processes within the MISO-PJM JOA with respect to new generator interconnections and generation retirements, as proposed by NIPSCO in the Complaint, and to answer questions including, but not limited to, the following: What impact does the interconnection or retirement of external generation have on a neighboring region? How do MISO and PJM model new generation, or the retirement of existing generation, on a neighboring system? At what stage of the interconnection process do MISO and PJM share information or coordinate studies? How does the current process of studying external generation impact congestion? How do MISO and PIM share information about generator interconnections and retirements? What are the differences in timing between the two RTOs for sharing information about generator interconnections and retirements? Discussion of these issues should focus on the MISO-PJM seam in general, and NIPSCO's location on the seam in particular.

Conference Conclusion: Next Steps (3:45 p.m.—4:00 p.m.)

Following the technical conference, the Commission will consider posttechnical conference comments submitted on or before July 15, 2015. Reply comments are due on or before August 5, 2015. The written comments will be included in the formal record of the proceeding, which, together with the record developed to date, will form the basis for further Commission action.

For more information about this technical conference, please contact Lina Naik, 202–502–8882, lina.naik@ferc.gov, regarding legal issues; or Jason Strong, 202–502–6124, jason.strong@ferc.gov, and Ben Foster, 202–502–6149, ben.foster@ferc.gov, regarding technical issues; or Sarah McKinley, 202–502–8368, sarah.mckinley@ferc.gov, regarding logistical issues.

Dated: May 5, 2015.

Kimberly D. Bose,

Secretary.

[FR Doc. 2015-11405 Filed 5-11-15; 8:45 a.m.]

BILLING CODE 6717-01-P

DEPARTMENT OF ENERGY

Federal Energy Regulatory Commission

[Docket No. EL15-58-000]

Louisiana Generating, LLC; Notice of Filing

Take notice that on April 28, 2015, Louisiana Generating LLC submitted an amendment to its April 7, 2015 filed request to recover costs under Midcontinent Independent System Operator, Inc.'s FERC Electric Tariff Schedule 24 and Schedule 24—A.

Any person desiring to intervene or to protest this filing must file in accordance with Rules 211 and 214 of the Commission's Rules of Practice and Procedure (18 CFR 385.211, 385.214). Protests will be considered by the Commission in determining the appropriate action to be taken, but will not serve to make protestants parties to the proceeding. Any person wishing to become a party must file a notice of intervention or motion to intervene, as appropriate. Such notices, motions, or protests must be filed on or before the comment date. On or before the comment date, it is not necessary to serve motions to intervene or protests on persons other than the Applicant.

The Commission encourages electronic submission of protests and interventions in lieu of paper using the "eFiling" link at http://www.ferc.gov. Persons unable to file electronically should submit an original and 5 copies of the protest or intervention to the Federal Energy Regulatory Commission, 888 First Street NE., Washington, DC 20426.

This filing is accessible on-line at http://www.ferc.gov, using the "eLibrary" link and is available for electronic review in the Commission's

Public Reference Room in Washington, DC. There is an "eSubscription" link on the Web site that enables subscribers to receive email notification when a document is added to a subscribed docket(s). For assistance with any FERC Online service, please email *FERCOnlineSupport@ferc.gov*, or call (866) 208–3676 (toll free). For TTY, call (202) 502–8659.

Comment Date: 5:00 p.m. Eastern Time on May 8, 2015.

Dated: April 28, 2015.

Kimberly D. Bose,

Secretary.

[FR Doc. 2015-11410 Filed 5-11-15; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6717-01-P

DEPARTMENT OF ENERGY

Federal Energy Regulatory Commission

Notice of Commission Staff Attendance

The Federal Energy Regulatory Commission (Commission) hereby gives notice that members of the Commission's staff may attend the following meeting related to the transmission planning activities of the Florida Reliability Coordinating Council, Inc.

The Florida Reliability Coordinating Council, Inc. (FRCC) Process First Quarter Meeting.

May 6, 2015, 10:00 a.m.–12:00 p.m. (Eastern Standard Time)

The above-referenced meeting will be via web conference.

The above-referenced meeting is open to stakeholders.

Further information may be found at: https://www.frcc.com/Default.aspx.

The discussions at the meeting described above may address matters at issue in the following proceedings:

Docket No. ER13–1932, Tampa Electric Company

Docket No. ER13–1922, Duke Energy Florida (Progress Energy Florida) Docket No. ER13–1929, Florida Power & Light Company

Docket No. ER13–1928, Duke Energy Carolinas/Carolina Power & Light Docket Nos. ER13–107, ER13–1935, South Carolina Electric & Gas Company

Docket No. ER13–1941, Alabama Power Company et al.

Docket No. ER13–1940, Ohio Valley Electric Corporation

For more information, contact Rhonda Jones, Office of Energy Market Regulation, Federal Energy Regulatory Commission at (202) 502–6154 or Rhonda.Jones@ferc.gov. Dated: May 5, 2015.

Kimberly D. Bose,

Secretary.

[FR Doc. 2015-11406 Filed 5-11-15; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6717-01-P

DEPARTMENT OF ENERGY

Federal Energy Regulatory Commission

[Docket No. CP15-105-000]

Texas Gas Transmission, LLC; Notice of Intent To Prepare an Environmental Assessment for the Proposed Western Kentucky Lateral Project and Request for Comments on Environmental Issues

The staff of the Federal Energy Regulatory Commission (FERC or Commission) will prepare an environmental assessment (EA) that will discuss the environmental impacts of the Western Kentucky Lateral Project involving construction and operation of facilities by Texas Gas Transmission, LLC (Texas Gas) in Muhlenberg County, Kentucky. The Commission will use this EA in its decision-making process to determine whether the project is in the public convenience and necessity.

This notice announces the opening of the scoping process the Commission will use to gather input from the public and interested agencies on the project. You can make a difference by providing us with your specific comments or concerns about the project. Your comments should focus on the potential environmental effects, reasonable alternatives, and measures to avoid or lessen environmental impacts. Your input will help the Commission staff determine what issues they need to evaluate in the EA. To ensure that your comments are timely and properly recorded, please send your comments so that the Commission receives them in Washington, DC on or before June 4, 2015.

If you sent comments on this project to the Commission before the opening of this docket on March 4, 2015, you will need to file those comments in Docket No. CP15–105–000 to ensure they are considered as part of this proceeding.

This notice is being sent to the Commission's current environmental mailing list for this project. State and local government representatives should notify their constituents of this proposed project and encourage them to comment on their areas of concern.

If you are a landowner receiving this notice, a pipeline company representative may contact you about the acquisition of an easement to