

assistance in using the Internet to locate docket submissions.

V. Authority and Signature

David Michaels, PhD, MPH, Assistant Secretary of Labor for Occupational Safety and Health, directed the preparation of this notice. The authority for this notice is the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995 (44 U.S.C. 3506 *et seq.*) and Secretary of Labor's Order No. 4–2010 (75 FR 55355).

Signed at Washington, DC on December 2, 2010.

David Michaels,

Assistant Secretary of Labor for Occupational Safety and Health.

[FR Doc. 2010–30737 Filed 12–7–10; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4510–26–P

LEGAL SERVICES CORPORATION

Sunshine Act Meeting; Notice

TIME AND DATE: The Legal Services Corporation Board of Directors' Operations & Regulations Committee ("Committee") will meet *telephonically* on December 15, 2010. The meeting will begin at 11 a.m., Eastern Time, and continue until completion of the Committee's agenda.

LOCATION: Legal Services Corporation, F. William McCalpin Conference Center, 3rd Floor, 3333 K Street, NW., Washington, DC 20007.

PUBLIC OBSERVATION: Unless otherwise noticed, all meetings of the LSC Board of Directors are open to public observation. Members of the public that are unable to attend but wish to listen to a public proceeding may do so by following the telephone call-in directions given below. You are asked to keep your telephone muted to eliminate background noises. From time to time the presiding Chair may solicit comments from the public.

Call-In Directions for Open Sessions

- Call toll-free number: 1–(866) 451–4981;
- When prompted, enter the following numeric pass code: 5907707348;
- When connected to the call, please "MUTE" your telephone immediately.

STATUS OF MEETING: Open.

MATTERS TO BE CONSIDERED:

OPEN SESSION

1. Approval of agenda.
2. Consider and act on strategic planning.
- Presentation by Mattie Cohan, Senior Assistant General Counsel.
3. Public comment.

4. Consider and act on other business.
5. Consider and act on adjournment of meeting.

CONTACT PERSON FOR INFORMATION:

Katherine Ward, Executive Assistant, at (202) 295–1500. Questions may be sent by electronic mail to

FR_NOTICE_QUESTIONS@lsc.gov.

SPECIAL NEEDS: Upon request, meeting notices will be made available in alternate formats to accommodate visual and hearing impairments. Individuals who have a disability and need an accommodation to attend the meeting may notify Katherine Ward at (202) 295–1500 or FR_NOTICE_QUESTIONS@lsc.gov.

Dated: December 6, 2010.

Patricia D. Batie,

Corporate Secretary.

[FR Doc. 2010–31027 Filed 12–6–10; 4:15 pm]

BILLING CODE 7050–01–P

LEGAL SERVICES CORPORATION

Sunshine Act Meeting; Notice

TIME AND DATE: The Legal Services Corporation Board of Directors' Search Committee for LSC President ("Search Committee" or "Committee") will meet on December 13, 2010. The meeting will begin at 10 a.m. (Eastern Time) and continue until conclusion of the Committee's agenda.

LOCATION: Sidley and Austin, LLP, 1 South Dearborn Street, Chicago, Illinois 60603.

STATUS OF MEETING: Closed. The meeting of the Search Committee will be closed to the public pursuant to a vote of the Board of Directors authorizing the Committee to interview select candidates for the position of LSC President. [No new paragraph here] Such closure is authorized by the relevant provisions of the Government in the Sunshine Act [5 U.S.C. 552b(c)(6)] and LSC's implementing regulation 45 CFR 1622.5(e).¹

The transcript of any portions of the closed session falling within the relevant provision of the Government in Sunshine Act, 5 U.S.C. 552b(c)(6), and LSC's implementing regulation, 45 CFR 1622.5(e), will not be available for public inspection. The transcript of any portions not falling within either of these provisions will be available for public inspection.

MATTERS TO BE CONSIDERED:

Closed Session

1. Approval of Agenda.

¹ 45 CFR 1622.5(e) protects information the disclosure of which would constitute a clearly unwarranted invasion of personal privacy.

2. Review of applications regarding candidates for the position of LSC President.

3. Consider and act on other business.

4. Consider and act on adjournment of meeting.

CONTACT PERSON FOR INFORMATION:

Kathleen Connors, Executive Assistant to the President, at (202) 295–1500.

Questions may be sent by electronic mail to

FR_NOTICE_QUESTIONS@lsc.gov.

SPECIAL NEEDS: Upon request, meeting notices will be made available in alternate formats to accommodate visual and hearing impairments. Individuals who have a disability and need an accommodation to attend the meeting may notify Kathleen Connors at (202) 295–1500 or

FR_NOTICE_QUESTIONS@lsc.gov.

Dated: December 6, 2010.

Patricia D. Batie,

Corporate Secretary.

[FR Doc. 2010–31025 Filed 12–6–10; 4:15 pm]

BILLING CODE 7050–01–P

NATIONAL FOUNDATION ON THE ARTS AND THE HUMANITIES

National Endowment for the Arts; Proposed Collection; Comment Request

ACTION: Notice.

SUMMARY: The National Endowment for the Arts (NEA), as part of its continuing effort to reduce paperwork and respondent burden, conducts a preclearance consultation program to provide the general public and Federal agencies with an opportunity to comment on proposed and/or continuing collections of information in accordance with the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995 (PRA95) [44 U.S.C. 3506(c)(A)]. This program helps to ensure that requested data can be provided in the desired format, reporting burden (time and financial resources) is minimized, collection instruments are clearly understood, and the impact of collection requirements on respondents can be properly assessed. Currently, the NEA is soliciting comments concerning the proposed information collection on arts participation in the U.S. A copy of the current information collection request can be obtained by contacting the office listed below in the address section of this notice.

DATES: Written comments must be submitted to the office listed in the address section below on or before February 1, 2011. The NEA is

particularly interested in comments which:

- Evaluate whether the proposed collection of information is necessary for the proper performance of the functions of the agency, including whether the information will have practical utility;
- Evaluate the accuracy of the agency's estimate of the burden of the proposed collection of information including the validity of the methodology and assumptions used;
- Enhance the quality, utility, and clarity of the information to be collected; and
- Minimize the burden of the collection of information on those who are to respond, including through the use of appropriate automated, electronic, mechanical, or other technological collection techniques or other forms of information technology, e.g., permitting electronic submissions of responses.

ADDRESSES: Sunil Iyengar, National Endowment for the Arts, 1100 Pennsylvania Avenue, NW., Room 616, Washington, DC 20506-0001, telephone (202) 682-5424 (this is not a toll-free number), fax (202) 682-5677.

Kathy Plowitz-Worden,

*Office of Guidelines and Panel Operations,
National Endowment for the Arts.*

[FR Doc. 2010-30758 Filed 12-7-10; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 7537-01-P

NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION

[Docket Nos. 50-338 and 50-339; Docket Nos. 50-280 and 50-281; NRC-2010-0376]

Virginia Electric and Power Company North Anna Power Station, Unit Nos. 1 and 2 Surry Power Station, Unit Nos. 1 and 2; Environmental Assessment and Finding of No Significant Impact

The U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission (NRC) is considering changes to the Emergency Plan, pursuant to 10 CFR 50.54, "Conditions of licenses," paragraph (q), for North Anna Power Station, Unit Nos. 1 and 2 (NAPS), for Renewed Facility Operating License Nos. NPF-4 and NPF-7, and Surry Power Station, Unit Nos. 1 and 2 (Surry) for Renewed Facility Operating License Nos. DPR-32 and DPR-37, issued to Virginia Electric and Power Company (the licensee), for operation of NAPS and Surry located in Louisa County, Virginia, and Surry County, Virginia, respectively. Therefore, as required by 10 CFR 51.21, the NRC performed an environmental assessment. Based on the results of the

environmental assessment, the NRC is issuing a finding of no significant impact.

Environmental Assessment

Identification of the Proposed Action

The proposed action would upgrade selected Emergency Action Levels (EALs) based on NEI 99-01, Revision 5, "Methodology for Development of Emergency Action Levels," using the guidance of NRC Regulatory Issue Summary 2003-18, Supplement 1 and 2, "Use of Nuclear Energy Institute (NEI) 99-01, Methodology for Development of Emergency Action Levels."

The proposed action is in accordance with the licensee's applications dated January 29, 2010 (Agencywide Documents Access and Management System (ADAMS) Accession No. ML100500566).

The Need for the Proposed Action

The proposed action is needed because amendments would change an EAL scheme based on NUREG-0654, "Criteria for Preparation and Evaluation of Radiological Emergency Response Plan and Preparedness in Support of Nuclear Power Plants," to one based on NEI 99-01, "Methodology for Development of Emergency Action Levels," Revision 4. This would change the methodology for deriving selected Notification of Unusual Event values in Table R-1, Gaseous Effluent Monitor Classification Thresholds, and deleting EAL RA2.4, which evaluates abnormal radiation readings at infrequently accessed areas and revise the radiation level threshold values for reactor coolant system (RCS) letdown indication.

Environmental Impacts of the Proposed Action

The NRC has completed its environmental assessment of the proposed EAL changes to NAPS and Surry. The staff has concluded that the changes would not significantly affect plant safety and would not have a significant adverse effect on the probability of an accident occurring. The proposed action would not result in an increased radiological hazard beyond those previously analyzed in the Updated Final Safety Analysis Report. There will be no change to radioactive effluents that affect radiation exposures to plant workers and members of the public. No changes will be made to plant buildings or the site property. Therefore, no changes or different types of radiological impacts are expected as a result of the proposed changes.

The proposed action does not result in changes to land use or water use, or

result in changes to the quality or quantity of non-radiological effluents. No changes to the National Pollution Discharge Elimination System permit are needed. No effects on the aquatic or terrestrial habitat in the vicinity of the plant, or to threatened, endangered, or protected species under the Endangered Species Act, or impacts to essential fish habitat covered by the Magnuson-Stevens Act are expected. There are no impacts to the air or ambient air quality. There are no impacts to historic and cultural resources. There would be no noticeable effect on socioeconomic conditions in the region.

Accordingly, the NRC concludes that there are no significant environmental impacts associated with the proposed action.

Environmental Impacts of the Alternatives to the Proposed Action

As an alternative to the proposed action, the NRC staff considered denial of the proposed action (i.e., the "no-action" alternative). Denial of the application would result in no change in current environmental impacts. The environmental impacts of the proposed action and the alternative action are similar.

Alternative Use of Resources

The action does not involve the use of any different resources than those previously considered in the "Final Environmental Statement Related to the Continuation of Construction and the Operation," for NAPS dated April 1973, and Surry dated May 1972 and June 1972, respectively, as supplemented through the "Generic Environmental Impact Statement for License Renewal of Nuclear Plants: Supplements 6 and 7 Regarding Surry and NAPS—Final Report (NUREG-1437, Supplements 6 and 7)," dated November 2002.

Agencies and Persons Consulted

In accordance with its stated policy, on November 17, 2010, the staff consulted with the Virginia State official, Leslie P. Foldesi, Director of the Division of Radiological Health, regarding the environmental impact of the proposed action. The State official had no comments.

Finding of No Significant Impact

On the basis of the environmental assessment, the NRC concludes that the proposed action will not have a significant effect on the quality of the human environment. Accordingly, the NRC has determined not to prepare an environmental impact statement for the proposed action.