Special Events entrance (Constitution Ave., NW., between 7th and 9th Streets, NW.). Reservations are not required but space may be limited.

### FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

Nancy Allard at 301–837–1477 or Carla Patterson at 301–837–0993, or at *Vision@nara.gov.* 

Dated: August 31, 2007.

#### Nancy Allard,

NARA Federal Register Liaison. [FR Doc. E7–17729 Filed 9–7–07; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 7515-01-P

### NATIONAL SCIENCE FOUNDATION

# Advisory Committee for Biological Sciences; Notice of Meeting

In accordance with the Federal Advisory Committee Act (Pub. L., 92– 463, as amended), the National Science Foundation announces the following meeting:

**NAME:** Advisory Committee for Biological Sciences (1110).

**DATE AND TIME:** October 18, 2007—8 a.m.—5 p.m. October 19, 2007—8 a.m.—12 p.m.

**PLACE:** National Science Foundation, 4201 Wilson Blvd., Arlington, VA 22230, Room 375.

TYPE OF MEETING: Open.

CONTACT PERSON: Dr. Charles Liarakos, Senior Advisor, Biological Sciences, Room 605, National Science Foundation, 4201 Wilson Boulevard, Arlington, VA 22230 Tel No.: (703) 292–8400.

**MINUTES:** May be obtained from the contact person listed above.

PURPOSE OF MEETING: The Advisory Committee for BIO provides advice, recommendations, and oversight concerning major program emphases, directions, and goals for the researchrelated activities of the divisions that make up BIO.

## Agenda

- Undergraduate Education in the Biological Sciences and Biology Education Presentations.
  - COV Reports.
  - Discussion.

Dated: September 5, 2007.

### Susanne Bolton,

Committee Management Officer. [FR Doc. E7–17725 Filed 9–7–07; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 7555–01–P

## NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION

[Docket No. 72-26]

Notice of Availability of Supplement to the Environmental Assessment and Final Finding of No Significant Impact for the Diablo Canyon Independent Spent Fuel Storage Installation

**AGENCY:** Nuclear Regulatory Commission.

**ACTION:** Notice of Availability and Finding of No Significant Impact.

**SUMMARY:** Notice is hereby given that the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission (NRC) is issuing a supplement to the Environmental Assessment (EA) for the Diablo Canyon Independent Spent Fuel Storage Installation (ISFSI) and a final Finding of No Significant Impact (FONSI). NRC issued the EA and initial FONSI for this action on October 24, 2003, and subsequently issued a license for the Diablo Canyon ISFSI to the Pacific Gas and Electric Company (PG&E), on March 22, 2004. The license authorizes PG&E to receive, possess, store, and transfer spent nuclear fuel and associated radioactive materials resulting from the operation of the Diablo Canyon Power Plant in an ISFSI at the site for a term of 20 years. NRC is issuing this supplement to the EA and final FONSI in response to the June 2, 2006, decision by the United States Court of Appeals for the Ninth Circuit, San Luis Obispo Mothers for Peace v. NRC, 449 F.3d 1016 (9th Cir. 2006). This supplement to the EA addresses the environmental impacts from potential terrorist acts against the Diablo Canyon ISFSI.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: James R. Hall, Senior Project Manager, Licensing Branch, Division of Spent Fuel Storage and Transportation, Mail Stop EBB-3D-02M, U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission, Washington, DC, 20555-0001. Telephone: (301) 492-3319; e-mail: jrh@nrc.gov.

## SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

## I. Introduction

On December 21, 2001, PG&E submitted an application to NRC, requesting a site-specific license to build and operate an ISFSI, to be located on the site of the Diablo Canyon Power Plant, in San Luis Obispo County, California. In accordance with the National Environmental Policy Act (NEPA), the NRC staff issued an EA for this action on October 24, 2003, in conformance with NRC requirements specified in 10 CFR 51.21 and 51.30, and the associated guidance in NRC

report NUREG-1748, "Environmental Review Guidance for Licensing Actions Associated with NMSS Programs." Based on the EA, NRC also issued a FONSI for this action on October 24, 2003, in accordance with 10 CFR 51.31 and 51.32.

On March 22, 2004, the NRC staff issued Materials License No. SNM–2511 to PG&E, pursuant to 10 CFR Part 72, authorizing PG&E to receive, possess, store, and transfer spent nuclear fuel and associated radioactive materials resulting from the operation of the Diablo Canyon Power Plant in an ISFSI at the site for a term of 20 years. PG&E has begun construction of the Diablo Canyon ISFSI and currently plans to start transferring spent fuel to the ISFSI in mid-2008.

After NRC's issuance of the license for the Diablo Canyon ISFSI, the San Luis Obispo Mothers for Peace and other parties filed suit in the United States Court of Appeals for the Ninth Circuit, asking that NRC be required to consider terrorist acts in its environmental review associated with this licensing action. In its decision of June 2, 2006, San Luis Obispo Mothers for Peace v. NRC, 449 F.3d 1016 (9th Cir. 2006), the Ninth Circuit held that NRC could not categorically refuse to consider the consequences of a terrorist attack under NEPA and remanded the case to NRC.

In response to the Ninth Circuit decision, the Commission issued a Memorandum and Order on February 26, 2007, directing the NRC staff to prepare a revised EA, addressing the likelihood of a terrorist attack at the Diablo Canyon ISFSI site and the potential consequences of such an attack. On May 29, 2007, the NRC staff issued a preliminary supplement to the EA and draft FONSI to address the environmental impacts from potential terrorist acts against the Diablo Canyon ISFSI. On May 31, 2007, NRC published a notice of availability in the Federal Register (72 FR 30398), providing opportunity for public comment on the preliminary supplement to the EA and draft FONSI and establishing July 2, 2007, as the deadline to submit comments. Approximately 32 individual comment documents (i.e., letters, facsimiles, and e-mails) were received by the NRC. Of the 32 comment documents received, 12 were nearly identical letters, and many others contained the same or similar comments. As a result, the NRC staff grouped similar or related comments together and developed 17 general comment areas. NRC's summary of the comments received and its responses are provided in an appendix to the final supplemental EA.