regarding potential environmental and socioeconomic impacts associated with each alternative. As such, the scope of this PEA is broad and encompasses activities to support ABCT stationing and planning for facilities projected to be required from fiscal years 2018 to 2021. The programmatic approach is designed to allow for early planning, coordination, and flexibility throughout implementation of the Army's process of stationing an ABCT.

Implementation of the proposed action would require unit stationing (e.g., realignment or inactivation), garrison construction and demolition, live-fire training, and maneuver training. The proposed action and alternatives do not propose or require land expansion or acquisition.

Adverse impacts were anticipated to be less than significant for air quality and greenhouse gas, biological resources, cultural resources, soils, traffic and transportation, surface waters, and wetlands. Negligible adverse effects were anticipated for land use, noise, geology, groundwater, floodplains, airspace, facilities, energy demand and generation, utilities, hazardous materials, hazardous waste, and human health and safety. Socioeconomic impacts for Fort Carson were anticipated to be negligible under Alternative 1 and moderately adverse under Alternatives 2 through 5. Socioeconomic impacts for Fort Bliss, Fort Hood, Fort Riley, and Fort Stewart were anticipated to be beneficial under the gain-scenario alternative for each installation, with no impacts under the remaining alternatives.

Members of the public, federallyrecognized Native American Tribes, and federal, state, and local agencies are invited to submit written comments on the PEA and/or draft FNSI.

The PEA and draft FNSI may be accessed at: https://aec.army.mil/index.php?cID=352.

Brenda S. Bowen,

Army Federal Register Liaison Officer. [FR Doc. 2018–06459 Filed 3–29–18; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 5001-03-P

DEPARTMENT OF DEFENSE

Department of the Army; Corps of Engineers

Withdrawal of Notice of Intent To Prepare a Draft Environmental Impact Statement for the North Branch Ecorse Creek, Flood Risk Management General Reevaluation Study, Wayne County, MI

AGENCY: Department of the Army, U.S. Army Corps of Engineers, DoD. **ACTION:** Notice of intent; withdrawal.

SUMMARY: The U.S. Army Corps of Engineers (USACE), Detroit District, is issuing this notice to advise Federal, state, and local government agencies and the public that the USACE is withdrawing its Notice of Intent to prepare a Draft Environmental Impact Statement (DEIS) for the reevaluation study of flood risk management alternatives for the North Branch Ecorse Creek, Wayne County, Michigan.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

Charles Uhlarik, Chief, Environmental Analysis Branch, at (313) 226–2476 or by mail at U.S. Army Corps of Engineers, Detroit District; 477 Michigan Avenue, 6th Floor; Detroit, MI 48226–2550.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: The Corps of Engineers published a notice of intent to prepare a DEIS in the September 24, 2010, issue of the Federal Register (75 FR 58369). Since that time, scoping comments and public meetings, a Draft U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service Coordination Act Report, an Environmental Assessment (EA), and agency/public review of the EA did not reveal any significant impacts on the human environment. Therefore, the Detroit District, Corps of Engineers, is no longer considering preparation of a Draft Environmental Impact Statement for the North Branch Ecorse Creek, Flood Risk Management General Reevaluation Study.

Paul A. Powell,

Project Manager.

[FR Doc. 2018–06464 Filed 3–29–18; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 3720-58-P

DEPARTMENT OF ENERGY

Proposed Agency Information Collection

AGENCY: Office of Energy Efficiency and Renewable Energy, Department of Energy (DOE).

ACTION: Notice and request for OMB review and comment.

SUMMARY: The Department of Energy (DOE) has submitted to the Office of Management and Budget (OMB) for clearance, pursuant to the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995, a three-year extension to its collection of information titled: Budget Justification, OMB No. 1910-5162. The proposed collection will establish application consistency for numerous Grant and Cooperative Agreement application packages from potential and chosen recipients. This effort will also streamline processes and provide applicants with a clear and straightforward tool to assist with project budgeting. In addition it will endow DOE reviewers with adequate information to determine if proposed costs are allowable, allocable, and reasonable.

DATES: Comments regarding this continued information collection must be received on or before May 5, 2018. If you anticipate that you will be submitting comments, but find it difficult to do so within the period of time allowed by this notice, please advise the DOE Desk Officer at OMB of your intention to make a submission as soon as possible. The Desk Officer may be telephoned at (202) 395–4650.

ADDRESSES: Written comments should be sent to: DOE Desk Officer, Office of Information and Regulatory Affairs, Office of Management and Budget, New Executive Office Building, Room 10102, 735 17th Street NW, Washington, DC 20503.

And to: U.S. Department of Energy, Golden Field Office, 15013 Denver West Parkway, Golden, CO 80401–3111, Attn: James Cash.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

Requests for additional information or copies of the information collection instrument and instructions should be directed to James Cash, U.S. Department of Energy, Golden Field Office, 15013 Denver West Parkway, Golden, CO 80401–3111, or by phone (240) 562–1456, or by email at james.cash@ee.doe.gov. The information collection instrument, titled "Budget Justification" may also be viewed at https://energy.gov/eere/funding/downloads/budget-justification-eere-335-and-3351.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: This information collection request contains:

- (1) *OMB No.* 1910–5162, Budget Justification;
- (2) Information Collection Request Title: Budget Justification;
- (3) Type of Request: Renewal; (4) Purpose: This collection of information is necessary in order for DOE to identify allowable, allocable, and reasonable recipient project costs