

protect that habitat. Moreover, the Management Plan/EIS failed to discuss alternatives to the status quo regarding livestock grazing in Craters of the Moon. Specifically, the Court found that the EIS supporting the Management Plan violated NEPA and FLPMA by failing to: (1) Consider a no-grazing alternative; (2) Consider the recommendations for sage-grouse conservation contained within a 2004 Nature Conservancy Report and the 2004 Western Association of Fish and Wildlife Agencies Conservation Assessment; (3) Fully discuss the agency's Special Status Species Policy and National Sage Grouse Habitat Conservation Strategy; and (4) Consider any alternative that would have reduced grazing levels. In November 2012, the Court remanded all issues concerning the Craters of the Moon Management Plan to the BLM, without vacatur, for the purpose of revising the Management Plan. Accordingly, through the amendment process announced in this Notice, the BLM will analyze a no-grazing alternative and reduced grazing alternative(s) for BLM-managed lands within the Craters of the Moon.

The BLM's ongoing Idaho and Southwestern Montana Sub-Regional EIS/RMP amendment process (Sub-Regional EIS/RMP amendment) will address measures for sage-grouse conservation and is expected to result in a plan amendment to the existing Craters of the Moon Management Plan. The BLM anticipates that the Sub-Regional EIS/RMP amendment effort will be completed in the fall of 2014. The amendment announced in this Notice is expected to primarily address issues related to management of livestock grazing in the Craters of the Moon planning area. However, the BLM may also address additional issues relating to the conservation measures for sage-grouse identified in the U.S. District Court's Orders that are not addressed in the Sub-Regional EIS/RMP amendment process.

The BLM has identified the following preliminary issues: The need to comply with the Idaho District Court's September 28, 2011, and November 20, 2012, Orders by analyzing Land Use Plan-level grazing allocations, including a no grazing alternative and a reduced grazing alternative in Craters of the Moon; and the need to develop conservation measures for sage-grouse in Craters of the Moon. Preliminary planning criteria include: Compliance with FLPMA, NEPA, and all other relevant Federal law, Executive orders, and management policies of the BLM; valid existing rights will be recognized; and Native American Tribal consultations will be conducted in

accordance with policy and tribal concerns will be given due consideration.

You may submit comments to the BLM on issues and planning criteria for the plan amendment at any public scoping meeting, or you may submit them using one of the methods listed in the **ADDRESSES** section above. The public is encouraged to help identify concerns specifically related to livestock grazing and sage-grouse conservation that should be addressed during the plan amendment process. To be most helpful, you should submit comments by the close of the 30-day scoping period or within 30 days after the last public meeting, whichever is later.

The BLM will use the NEPA public participation requirements to assist the agency in satisfying the public involvement requirements under Section 106 of the National Historic Preservation Act (NHPA) (16 U.S.C. 470(f)) pursuant to 36 CFR 800.2(d)(3). The information about historic and cultural resources within the area potentially affected by the proposed action will assist the BLM in identifying and evaluating impacts to such resources in the context of both NEPA and Section 106 of the NHPA.

The BLM will consult with Indian tribes on a government-to-government basis in accordance with Executive Order 13175 and other policies. Federal, State, and local agencies, along with tribes and other stakeholders that may be interested in or affected by the proposed action that the BLM is evaluating, are invited to participate in the scoping process.

Before including your address, phone number, email address, or other personal identifying information in your comment, you should be aware that your entire comment—including your personal identifying information—may be made publicly available at any time. While you can ask us in your comment to withhold your personal identifying information from public review, we cannot guarantee that we will be able to do so. The BLM will evaluate all submissions and identify issues to be addressed in the Plan amendment.

The BLM will use an interdisciplinary approach to develop the Plan amendment. Specialists with expertise in the following disciplines will be involved in the planning process: rangeland management, outdoor recreation, archaeology, wildlife, botany, fire ecology, and soils.

Authority: 40 CFR 1501.7 and 43 CFR 1610.5–5(b).

Holly Hampton,
Monument Manager.

[FR Doc. 2013–15512 Filed 6–27–13; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4310–GG–P

DEPARTMENT OF THE INTERIOR

Bureau of Land Management

[LLWYP0700.L16100000.
DP0000.LXSS041K0000]

Notice of Availability of the Draft Resource Management Plan and Associated Environmental Impact Statement for the Buffalo Resource Management Plan Revision, Buffalo Field Office, WY

AGENCY: Bureau of Land Management, Interior.

ACTION: Notice of availability.

SUMMARY: In accordance with the National Environmental Policy Act (NEPA) of 1969, as amended, and the Federal Land Policy and Management Act (FLPMA) of 1976, as amended, the Bureau of Land Management (BLM) has prepared a Draft Resource Management Plan (RMP) and Draft Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for the Buffalo Field Office and by this notice is announcing the opening of a 90-day comment period.

DATES: To ensure that comments will be considered, the BLM must receive written comments on the Draft RMP/EIS within 90 days following the date the Environmental Protection Agency publishes its Notice of Availability (NOA) of the Draft RMP/EIS in the **Federal Register**. The BLM will announce future meetings or hearings and any other public participation activities at least 15 days in advance through public notices, media releases, and/or the project Web site: <http://www.blm.gov/wy/st/en/programs/Planning/rmps/buffalo.html>.

ADDRESSES: You may submit comments by any of the following methods:

- **Web site:** <http://www.blm.gov/wy/st/en/programs/Planning/rmps/buffalo.html>.

- **Email:**

BRMP_Rev_WYMail@blm.gov;

- **Fax:** (307) 684–1122;

- **Mail:** Buffalo RMP, BLM Buffalo Field Office, 1425 Fort Street, Buffalo, WY 82834; or

- By personal delivery to the Buffalo Field Office or at a BLM-hosted public meeting.

Copies of the Draft RMP/EIS are available in the Buffalo Field Office at

the above address and at the following locations:

- Bureau of Land Management, Wyoming State Office, 5353 Yellowstone Road, Cheyenne, WY 82003

- Bureau of Land Management, High Plains District Office, 2987 Prospector Drive, Casper, WY 82604

Persons who use a telecommunications device for the deaf (TDD) may call the Federal Information Relay Service (FIRS) at 1-800-877-8339 to contact the above individual during normal business hours. The FIRS is available 24 hours a day, 7 days a week, to leave a message or question with the above individual. You will receive a reply during normal business hours.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

Thomas Bills, Buffalo RMP Team Leader, BLM Buffalo Field Office, 1425 Fort Street, Buffalo, WY 82834; telephone 307-684-1133; or email BRMP_Rev_WYMail@blm.gov.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: The planning area includes lands within the BLM Buffalo Field Office's administrative boundaries, including all of Campbell, Johnson, and Sheridan counties in Wyoming. The planning area includes all lands, regardless of jurisdiction, totaling approximately 7.35 million acres; however, the BLM will only make decisions on lands that fall under the BLM's jurisdiction. BLM-administered surface, totaling approximately 782,000 acres, and Federal mineral estate, totaling approximately 4.8 million acres, make up the decision area. BLM issued a Notice of Intent (NOI) on November 14, 2008, for the Buffalo RMP Revision Project and associated EIS, which initiated public scoping. Public meetings were held December 1-5, 2008. Approximately 130 members of the public attended the public scoping meetings held in Wright, Buffalo, Gillette, Sheridan, and Kaycee. The revised RMP will replace the 1985 Buffalo RMP as amended. The Draft RMP/EIS includes a series of management actions, within four management alternatives, designed to address management challenges and issues raised during scoping. These include, but are not limited to, energy development (coal, oil and gas, renewable energy, and uranium), wildlife habitat management including that of the greater sage-grouse, livestock grazing, air quality, lands with wilderness characteristics, suitability for wild and scenic river designation, special management areas including Areas of Critical Environmental Concern

(ACEC), and travel management. The four alternatives are:

- A. *Alternative A: (No Action):* Continues existing management;

- B. *Alternative B:* Emphasizes conservation of natural and cultural resources while providing for compatible development and use;

- C. *Alternative C:* Emphasizes resource development and use while protecting natural and cultural resources; and

- D. *Alternative D (Preferred):* Provides development opportunities while protecting sensitive resources.

The preferred alternative has been identified as described in 40 CFR 1502.14(e). However, identification of a preferred alternative does not represent the final agency decision. The proposed RMP and final EIS will reflect changes or adjustments based on information received during public comment, new information, or changes in BLM policies or priorities. The proposed RMP may include portions of alternatives analyzed in the draft RMP/EIS. For this reason, the BLM encourages comments on all alternatives and management actions described in the Draft RMP/EIS.

In accordance with 43 CFR 1610.7-2(b) and BLM Manual 1613, this NOA announces a concurrent public comment period on proposed Areas of Critical Environmental Concern (ACECs). There are no designated ACECs in the existing BFO land use plan (Alternative A) and Alternative C does not propose designating any ACECs. Alternative B proposes eight ACECs and Alternative D proposes three ACECs. The management restrictions which would occur if areas proposed for designation were formally designated are different in alternatives B and D. In Alternative B, management for all ACEC's would prohibit all surface-disturbing activities not compatible with the area's values, including closing to all forms of solid and fluid mineral leasing and development; recommending withdrawal of all ACECs from locatable mineral entry; excluding ROWs; and either closing or limiting motorized vehicles to designated roads and trails. ACECs would be managed as visual resource management (VRM) class II, retention, under Alternative B.

Alternative D proposes ACEC specific management for the values of concern. The values of concern and the acres that would be designated under Alternatives B and D are as follows:

- Burnt Hollow, (Alternative B 17,208 acres, Alternative D not designated) Values: Visual resources, geologic features, and fragile watersheds.

- Cantontment Reno, (Alternative B 523 acres, Alternative D not designated) Value: Historic resources.

- Dry Creek Petrified Tree, (Alternative B 2,567 acres, Alternative D not designated) Value: Geologic features.

- Fortification Creek, (Alternatives B & D 32,602 acres) Values: Visual resources, wildlife resources, and fragile watersheds. Alternative D management would prohibit all surface-disturbing activities not compatible with the area's values; close the area to all forms of mineral activity including solid and fluid mineral leasing; recommend withdrawal from locatable mineral entry; exclude Rights of Ways (ROWs); and limit motorized vehicles to designated roads and trails.

- Hole-in-the-Wall, (Alternative B 11,952 acres, Alternative D not designated) Values: Visual and cultural resources.

- Pumpkin Buttes, (Alternatives B & D 1,733 acres) Value: Cultural resources. Alternative D management would prohibit surface-disturbing activities not compatible with the area's values including a No Surface Occupancy stipulation for new fluid mineral leases; recommend withdrawal from locatable mineral entry; exclude ROWs; and close the area to motorized vehicles.

- Sagebrush Ecosystem, (Alternative B 467,897 acres, Alternative D not designated) Value: Sagebrush ecosystems with dependent rare and sensitive species.

- Welch Ranch, (Alternatives B 1,748 acres, Alternative D 1,116 acres) Values: Visual resources, wildlife resources, and presence of a natural hazard. Alternative D management would prohibit all surface-disturbing activities not compatible with the area's values including closing the area to all forms of mineral leasing and development including solid minerals; recommend withdrawal from locatable mineral entry and exclude ROWs. Travel would be limited to administrative use on designated routes.

You may submit comments in writing to the BLM at any public meeting, or you may submit them to the BLM using one of the methods listed in the **ADDRESSES** section above. In order to reduce the use of paper and control costs, the BLM strongly encourages the public to submit comments electronically at the project Web site or via email. Only comments submitted using the methods described in the **ADDRESSES** section above will be accepted. Comments submitted must include the commenter's name and street address. Whenever possible, please include reference to either the page or section in the Draft RMP/EIS to which the comment applies. Before including your address, phone number,

email address, or other personal identifying information in your comment, you should be aware that your entire comment—including your personal identifying information—may be made publicly available at any time. While you can ask us in your comment to withhold your personal identifying information from public review, we cannot guarantee that we will be able to do so.

All submissions from organizations or businesses, and from individuals identifying themselves as representatives or officials of organizations or businesses, are available for public inspection in their entirety.

Authority: 40 CFR 1506.6, 40 CFR 1506.10, 43 CFR 1610.2.

Donald A. Simpson,
State Director, Wyoming.

[FR Doc. 2013-15381 Filed 6-27-13; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4310-22-P

DEPARTMENT OF THE INTERIOR

Bureau of Land Management

[LLID100000.L54400000.EU0000.
LVCLD09D0630 (IDI-35073)]

Public Land Order No. 7816; Partial Revocation of the Executive Order dated April 17, 1926; Idaho

AGENCY: Bureau of Land Management, Interior.

ACTION: Public Land Order.

SUMMARY: This order partially revokes a withdrawal created by an Executive Order insofar as it affects 1,037.66 acres of public lands withdrawn from settlement, sale, location, or entry under the public land laws, including location for non-metaliferous minerals under the United States mining laws, for protection of springs and waterholes and designated as Public Water Reserve No. 107. This order also opens the lands to conveyance out of Federal ownership.

DATES: Effective Date: June 28, 2013.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Laura Underhill, BLM, Idaho State Office, 208-373-3866 or Jan Parmenter, BLM, Idaho Falls District Office, 208-524-7562 or John Sullivan, BLM Boise District Office, 208-384-3338. Persons who use a telecommunications device for the deaf (TDD) may call the Federal Information Relay Service (FIRS) at 1-800-877-8339 to reach any of the contacts stated above. The FIRS is available 24 hours a day, 7 days a week, to leave a message or question with either of the above individuals. You will

receive a reply during normal business hours.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: The Bureau of Land Management has determined that portions of the withdrawal created by an Executive Order dated April 17, 1926, which established Public Water Reserve No. 107, encumber several parcels of land that are isolated from larger tracks of Federal land making management difficult, or are part of an Idaho State land exchange. The partial revocation of the withdrawal is needed to facilitate the land conveyances out of Federal ownership.

Order

By virtue of the authority vested in the Secretary of the Interior by Section 204 of the Federal Land Policy and Management Act of 1976, (43 U.S.C. 1714), it is ordered as follows:

1. The withdrawal created by the Executive Order dated April 17, 1926, which established Public Water Reserve No. 107, is hereby revoked insofar as it affects the following described lands:

Boise Meridian

T. 6 S., R. 3 W.,

Sec. 27, NE $\frac{1}{4}$ SE $\frac{1}{4}$.

T. 7 S., R. 3 W.,

Sec. 1, SE $\frac{1}{4}$ SW $\frac{1}{4}$;

Sec. 2, lot 4;

Sec. 10, SW $\frac{1}{4}$ SW $\frac{1}{4}$ and NW $\frac{1}{4}$ SE $\frac{1}{4}$;

Sec. 11, NW $\frac{1}{4}$ NE $\frac{1}{4}$ and NE $\frac{1}{4}$ NW $\frac{1}{4}$.

T. 9 S., R. 2 W.,

Sec. 20, SE $\frac{1}{4}$ NE $\frac{1}{4}$, NE $\frac{1}{4}$ SW $\frac{1}{4}$, and

SE $\frac{1}{4}$ SE $\frac{1}{4}$;

Sec. 21, NE $\frac{1}{4}$ NW $\frac{1}{4}$;

Sec. 28, SW $\frac{1}{4}$ NW $\frac{1}{4}$;

Sec. 34, NW $\frac{1}{4}$ SE $\frac{1}{4}$.

T. 9 S., R. 3 W.,

Sec. 11, NE $\frac{1}{4}$ SE $\frac{1}{4}$, NW $\frac{1}{4}$ SE $\frac{1}{4}$, and

SE $\frac{1}{4}$ SE $\frac{1}{4}$.

T. 9 S., R. 4 W.,

Sec. 6, lot 7 and 12, and SE $\frac{1}{4}$ SW $\frac{1}{4}$,

NE $\frac{1}{4}$ SE $\frac{1}{4}$, NW $\frac{1}{4}$ SE $\frac{1}{4}$, and SW $\frac{1}{4}$ SE $\frac{1}{4}$;

Sec. 7, lot 1 and NW $\frac{1}{4}$ NE $\frac{1}{4}$.

T. 9 S., R. 44 E.,

Sec. 7, SE $\frac{1}{4}$ NE $\frac{1}{4}$;

Sec. 17, SW $\frac{1}{4}$ NE $\frac{1}{4}$.

The areas described aggregate 1,037.66 acres in Caribou and Owyhee Counties.

2. At 9 a.m., on July 29, 2013, the lands described in Paragraph 1 will be open to conveyance pursuant to the land disposal and conveyance authorities of the Federal Land Policy and Management Act of 1976, as amended, (43 U.S.C. 1713), subject to valid existing rights, the provisions of existing withdrawals, other segregations of record, and the requirements of applicable law.

Dated: May 30, 2013.

Rhea S. Suh,

Assistant Secretary—Policy, Management and Budget.

[FR Doc. 2013-15511 Filed 6-27-13; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4310-GG-P

DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE

Foreign Claims Settlement Commission

Privacy Act of 1974; System of Records

AGENCY: Foreign Claims Settlement Commission of the United States, DOJ.

ACTION: Notice of a New System of Records.

SUMMARY: Pursuant to the Privacy Act of 1974 (5 U.S.C. 552a), the Foreign Claims Settlement Commission (Commission), Department of Justice, proposes to establish a new system of records to enable the Commission to carry out its statutory responsibility to receive, examine, adjudicate and render final decisions with respect to claims for compensation of U.S. nationals referred to the Commission by the Department of State under 22 U.S.C. 1623(a)(1)(C) ("Claims Referred by the Department of State"). The Claims Referred by the Department of State System will include documentation provided by the claimants as well as background material that will assist the Commission in the processing of their claims. The system will also include the final decision of the Commission regarding the claim.

DATES: In accordance with 5 U.S.C. 552a(e)(4) and (11), the public is given a 30-day period in which to comment. Accordingly, please submit any comments by July 29, 2013. The Office of Management and Budget (OMB), which has oversight responsibility under the Act, requires a 40-day period in which to conclude its review of the system.

ADDRESSES: The public, OMB, and Congress are invited to submit any comments to the Foreign Claims Settlement Commission of the United States, 600 E Street NW., Suite 6002, Washington, DC 20579.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: The Administrative Office, Foreign Claims Settlement Commission, U.S. Department of Justice, 600 E Street NW., Suite 6002, Washington, DC 20579, or by telephone at (202) 616-6975.

In accordance with 5 U.S.C. 552a(r), the Department has provided a report to