

Express Mail and Priority Mail) must be sent to 9300 East Hampton Drive, Capitol Heights, MD 20743.

- U.S. Postal Service first-class, Express, and Priority mail should be addressed to 445 12th Street SW., Washington, DC 20554.

In addition, parties must serve one copy of each pleading with the Commission's duplicating contractor, Best Copy and Printing, Inc., 445 12th Street SW., Room CY-B402, Washington, DC 20554, or via email to fcc@bcpiweb.com. For detailed instructions for submitting comments and additional information on the rulemaking process, see the **SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION** section of this document.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

Caitlin Vogus, Consumer and Governmental Affairs Bureau, Disability Rights Office, (202) 418-1264, email: Caitlin.Vogus@fcc.gov.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: This is a summary of the Commission's Public Notice, document DA 13-2191, released on November 14, 2013. The full text of document DA 13-2191, and any subsequently filed documents in this matter will be available for public inspection and copying via ECFS, and during regular business hours at the FCC Reference Information Center, Portals II, 445 12th Street SW., Room CY-A257, Washington, DC 20554. It also may be purchased from the Commission's duplicating contractor, Best Copy and Printing, Inc., Portals II, 445 12th Street SW., Room CY-B402, Washington, DC 20554, telephone: (800) 378-3160, fax: (202) 488-5563, or Internet: www.bcpiweb.com. Document DA 13-2191 can also be downloaded in Word or Portable Document Format (PDF) at <http://www.fcc.gov/encyclopedia/telecommunications-relay-services-trs>. To request materials in accessible formats for people with disabilities (Braille, large print, electronic files, audio format), send an email to fcc504@fcc.gov or call the Consumer and Governmental Affairs Bureau at 202-418-0530 (voice), 202-418-0432 (TTY).

Synopsis

1. On September 26, 2013, AT&T filed a petition requesting clarification, or, in the alternative, expedited waiver of the requirement contained in the *2013 STS Order*, published at 78 FR 49693, August 15, 2013, and codified at 47 CFR 64.604(a)(1)(viii) of the Commission's rules, for providers to offer STS users the option to have their voices muted during an STS call. AT&T Services, Inc., *Request for Clarification, or in the*

Alternative, Petition for Expedited Waiver, CG Docket Nos. 08-15 and 03-123.

2. Specifically, AT&T claims that it can meet this obligation for incoming calls to an STS user who has not pre-selected muting in his or her profile by "mut[ing] the user's voice only if the STS user drops off the call and the CA adds the user back to the call." AT&T seeks clarification that this process complies with 47 CFR 64.604(a)(1)(viii) of the Commission's rules. In the alternative, AT&T seeks a twelve-month expedited waiver of the STS muting rules for calls where the STS user has not pre-selected muting in his or her profile.

AT&T claims that the waiver will allow it to continue offering STS users the call-back option while it modifies its platform to add a "hold" function during STS calls, to allow the CA to mute an STS user's voice at any time during a call without requiring a call-back.

Federal Communications Commission.

Karen Peltz Strauss,

Deputy Chief, Consumer and Governmental Affairs Bureau.

[FR Doc. 2013-28829 Filed 12-13-13; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6712-01-P

FEDERAL COMMUNICATIONS COMMISSION

47 CFR Part 64

[CG Docket Nos. 13-24 and 03-123; DA 13-2190]

Request for Comment on Petition Filed by Sprint Corporation for Reconsideration of Certain Rules Adopted for Internet Protocol Captioned Telephone Service

AGENCY: Federal Communications Commission.

ACTION: Petition of Reconsideration; request for comments.

SUMMARY: In this document, the Commission seeks comment on a Sprint Corporation (Sprint) petition requesting reconsideration of certain rules adopted for Internet Protocol Captioned Telephone Service (IP CTS) in the *IP CTS Reform Order*. The Commission seeks comment on Sprint's request to reconsider the rule prohibiting all providers from receiving compensation from the Interstate Telecommunications Relay Service Fund (TRS Fund) for minutes of use generated by consumers using IP CTS software and applications that consumers receive at no charge or purchase for less than \$75. Second, the Commission seeks comment on Sprint's

request to modify the registration and certification requirements to allow access to IP CTS phones in public places. Third, the Commission seeks comment on Sprint's request to allow using a slightly different wording from the Commission's required wording for labels on IP CTS equipment.

DATES: Comments are due December 31, 2013 and reply comments are due January 10, 2014.

ADDRESSES: You may submit comments, identified by CG Docket Nos. 13-24 and 03-123, by any of the following methods:

Electronic Filers: Comments may be filed electronically using the Internet by accessing the Commission's Electronic Comment Filing System (ECFS), through the Commission's Web site <http://fjallfoss.fcc.gov/ecfs2/>. Filers should follow the instructions provided on the Web site for submitting comments. For ECFS filers, in completing the transmittal screen, filers should include their full name, U.S. Postal service mailing address, and CG Docket Nos. 13-24 and 03-123.

- *Paper filers:* Parties who choose to file by paper must file an original and one copy of each filing. Filings can be sent by hand or messenger delivery, by commercial overnight courier, or by first-class or overnight U.S. Postal Service mail (although the Commission continues to experience delays in receiving U.S. Postal Service mail). All filings must be addressed to the Commission's Secretary, Office of the Secretary, Federal Communications Commission.

- All hand-delivered or messenger-delivered paper filings for the Commission's Secretary must be delivered to FCC Headquarters at 445 12th Street SW., Room TW-A325, Washington, DC 20554. All hand deliveries must be held together with rubber bands or fasteners. Any envelopes must be disposed of *before* entering the building.

- Commercial Mail sent by overnight mail (other than U.S. Postal Service Express Mail and Priority Mail) must be sent to 9300 East Hampton Drive, Capitol Heights, MD 20743.

- U.S. Postal Service first-class, Express, and Priority mail should be addressed to 445 12th Street SW., Washington, DC 20554.

In addition, parties must serve one copy of each pleading with the Commission's duplicating contractor, Best Copy and Printing, Inc., 445 12th Street SW., Room CY-B402, Washington, DC 20554, or via email to fcc@bcpiweb.com. For detailed instructions for submitting comments

and additional information on the rulemaking process, *see* the **SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION** section of this document.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

Gregory Hlibok, Consumer and Governmental Affairs Bureau, Disability Rights Office, (202) 559-5158, email: Gregory.Hlibok@fcc.gov.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: This is a summary of the Commission's Public Notice, document DA 13-2190, released on November 14, 2013. The full text of document DA 13-2190, and any subsequently filed documents in this matter will be available for public inspection and copying via ECFS, and during regular business hours at the FCC Reference Information Center, Portals II, 445 12th Street SW., Room CY-A257, Washington, DC 20554. It also may be purchased from the Commission's duplicating contractor, Best Copy and Printing, Inc., Portals II, 445 12th Street SW., Room CY-B402, Washington, DC 20554, telephone: (800) 378-3160, fax: (202) 488-5563, or Internet: www.bcpweb.com. Document DA 13-2190 can also be downloaded in Word or Portable Document Format (PDF) at <http://www.fcc.gov/encyclopedia/telecommunications-relay-services-trs>. To request materials in accessible formats for people with disabilities (Braille, large print, electronic files, audio format), send an email to fcc504@fcc.gov or call the Consumer and Governmental Affairs Bureau at (202) 418-0530 (voice), (202) 418-0432 (TTY).

Synopsis

1. On September 30, 2013, Sprint filed a petition (*Sprint Reconsideration Petition*) requesting reconsideration of certain rules adopted for IP CTS in the *IP CTS Reform Order*, published at 78 FR 53684, August 30, 2013, and codified at 47 CFR 64.604(c)(9), (11)(i) and (iii) of the Commission's rules. Sprint Corporation, *Petition for Reconsideration of Sprint Corporation*, CG Docket Nos. 13-24 and 03-123 (filed September 30, 2013) (*Sprint Reconsideration Petition*). First, Sprint asks that the Commission reconsider the rule prohibiting all providers from receiving compensation from the TRS Fund for minutes of use generated by consumers using IP CTS software and applications that consumers receive at no charge or purchase for less than \$75 on or after the effective date of the rule. Second, Sprint asks that the Commission modify its registration and certification requirements to allow access to IP CTS phones in public places. Third, Sprint seeks authorization

to utilize wording that differs slightly from the Commission's required wording for labels warning consumers that only registered users of IP CTS may use IP CTS with captions turned on. Such labels must be adhered to IP CTS devices and displayed on the device screens of software-based IP CTS applications.

Federal Communications Commission.

Karen Peltz Strauss,

Deputy Chief, Consumer and Governmental Affairs Bureau.

[FR Doc. 2013-28828 Filed 12-13-13; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6712-01-P

DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION

Surface Transportation Board

49 CFR Chapter X

[Docket No. EP 665 (Sub-No. 1)]

Rail Transportation of Grain, Rate Regulation Review

AGENCY: Surface Transportation Board, DOT.

ACTION: Request for Comments.

SUMMARY: The Surface Transportation Board invites public comment on how to ensure the Board's rate complaint procedures are accessible to grain shippers and provide effective protection against unreasonable freight rail transportation rates.

DATES: Comments are due by March 12, 2014. Replies are due by May 12, 2014.

ADDRESSES: Comments may be submitted either via the Board's e-filing format or in the traditional paper format. Any person using e-filing should attach a document and otherwise comply with the instructions at the E-FILING link on the Board's Web site, at <http://www.stb.dot.gov>. Any person submitting a filing in the traditional paper format should send an original and 10 copies to: Surface Transportation Board, Attn: Docket No. EP 665 (Sub-No. 1), 395 E Street SW., Washington, DC 20423-0001. Copies of written comments will be available for viewing and self-copying at the Board's Public Docket Room, Room 131, and will be posted to the Board's Web site.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

Nathaniel Bawcombe at (202) 245-0376. Assistance for the hearing impaired is available through the Federal Information Relay Service (FIRS) at (800) 877-8339.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: On November 2, 2006, the Board held a hearing in *Rail Transportation of Grain*,

Docket No. EP 665, as a forum for interested persons to provide views and information about grain transportation markets. The hearing was prompted by concerns regarding rates and service issues related to the movement of grain raised by Members of Congress, grain producers, and other stakeholders. When it closed that proceeding in January 2008, the Board reasoned that guidelines for simplified rate procedures had recently been adopted, providing a new avenue for rate relief for grain shippers. *Rail Transp. of Grain*, EP 665, slip op. at 5 (STB served Jan. 14, 2008).¹ The Board also noted that it would continue to monitor the relationship between carriers and grain interests, and that if future regulatory action were warranted, it would open a new proceeding. *Rail Transp. of Grain*, EP 665, slip op. at 5.

The Board recently concluded a proceeding to reform freight rail rate regulations generally. *See Rate Regulation Reforms*, EP 715 (STB served July 18, 2013), *appeal docketed*, No. 13-1230 (D.C. Cir. July 29, 2013). In that proceeding, parties representing grain shippers' interests argued that the proposed changes did not provide meaningful relief to grain shippers.² One party also noted that, despite increases in rates, no grain shipper has sought rate relief at the Board or the Interstate Commerce Commission since 1981, and that the Board should consider providing more substantial modifications to its rate process to provide a mechanism for grain shippers to challenge rates.³ On the other hand, one carrier argued that grain rates are not unreasonably high and that the Board's rate methodology has not been shown to be flawed with respect to that traffic.⁴

We believe it is appropriate to consider what regulatory changes could be implemented to ensure that the Board's rate case procedures are fully accessible to grain shippers and provide effective relief from excessive freight

¹ Referring to *Simplified Standards for Rail Rate Cases*, EP 646 (Sub-No. 1) (STB served Sept. 5, 2007), *aff'd sub nom. CSX Transp., Inc. v. STB*, 568 F.3d 236 (D.C. Cir.), *vacated in part on reh'g*, 584 F.3d 1076 (D.C. Cir. 2009).

² National Grain and Feed Association (NGFA) Opening 4-5, *Rate Regulation Reforms*, EP 715; Alliance for Rail Competition, Montana Wheat & Barley Committee, Colorado Wheat Administrative Committee, Idaho Barley Commission, Idaho Wheat Commission, Montana Farmers Union, Nebraska Wheat Board, Oklahoma Wheat Commission, South Dakota Wheat Commission, Texas Wheat Producers Board, and Washington Grain Commission Opening 6-12, *Rate Regulation Reforms*, EP 715.

³ NGFA Opening 3-4, *Rate Regulation Reforms*, EP 715.

⁴ BNSF Ry. Co. Reply 10, *Rate Regulation Reforms*, EP 715.